

CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name:	Western Energy – Rosebud Mine Area G (LUL 486-13)
Proposed Implementation Date:	July-September 2013
Proponent:	Western Energy Company, LLC, 138 Rosebud Lane, P.O. Box 99 Colstrip, MT 59323 Ph: 748-5100
Location:	Section 36 – T1N-R40E (Common School Trust)
County:	Rosebud

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

The proponent has applied to the DNRC for a Land Use License in order to conduct exploratory drilling for coal (3 core holes) to depths of up to approximately 120'-150', to seal the core holes with bentonite, and to complete baseline environmental work. Two holes will be drilled at each of the 3 locations, a pilot and a core hole, and drilling will take an estimated 1 days/location. All core material would be removed from the area. Motorized vehicles would be allowed to access the drilling sites off of the existing roads provided the most direct route is utilized. Three vehicles would be necessary at each drill site: pick-up truck, water tender, and rubber-tired drilling vehicle. Motorized vehicles would be limited to the existing roads for the environmental work. The State land involved includes Section 36-T1N-R40E, (Common School Trust) in Rosebud County.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

MMB Petroleum Engineer, Trevor Taylor, and Land Use Specialist, Spur Watson, conducted a field review in May 2013. Scoping was performed by contacting Lessees, the Montana Natural Heritage Program, and consulting Patrick Rennie, Montana DNRC Archaeologist.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

A Prospecting Permit from the Montana DEQ would need to be secured.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

No Action Alternative: The proposed Land Use License would not be granted. Current non-motorized recreational use and grazing leasing would continue.

Action Alternative: A Land Use License would be granted to Western Energy Company to conduct exploratory drilling for coal, sealing the core holes, baseline environmental work, mapping, and surveying on State land in Section 36-T1N-R40E. Current non-motorized recreational use and grazing leasing would continue.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES* potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain *POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS* following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

The proposed project area geology consists entirely of the Tongue River member of the Fort Union Formation, and where the ridge tops through Section 36 consist of clinker. Soils are characterized as loams at the locations of the 3 core hole locations. Motorized vehicle use would occur on existing roads and cross country by the most direct route off of an existing road to a proposed drill site. All 3 proposed drill sites are located on elevated, gradual sloping topography. Motorized vehicles would be limited to the existing roads for the environmental work and foot travel only utilized to access areas off of the existing roads. All motorized vehicle use would occur only during dry or frozen soil conditions. Minimal soil disturbance would occur as a result these activities, no significant impacts are expected.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

All three core hole locations are over ½ a mile from surface water. All core holes will be sealed with bentonite to prevent any potential ground water contamination. No significant impacts are anticipated.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

A short duration increase in pollutants and particulates would occur from machinery during proposed drilling activities. Minimal impacts to air quality are expected.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Some vegetative disturbance is expected. Each of the 3 proposed core holes are located near existing two-track roads that run through the section. All drill sites are located on elevated terrain and an average of 50' off of an existing road or two-track. Total ground disturbance for all 3 sites would amount to less than 1/10 of an acre of affected vegetation that would be exposed to three rubber-tired vehicles for ingress and egress to the drill sites. Motorized vehicles would be limited to the existing roads for the environmental work and foot travel only to access areas off of the existing roads. All motorized vehicle use would occur only during dry or frozen soil conditions. Minimal vegetative disturbance, less than one acre, would occur as a result these activities, no significant impacts are expected. Mitigation of any impacts on vegetation are as follows: The proponent will repair any soil damage and seed any disturbed areas with native grass seed, the composition of the mix shall be

approved by the Eastern Land Office prior to application. Proponent will monitor sites and control weeds for a period of one year after drilling.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

A variety of big game, small mammals, raptors, songbirds, and grouse use this area. Proposed project activities could temporarily disrupt wildlife movement and patterns. Due to the limited area (approximately 1/10 of an acre) exposed to proposed project activities off of existing roads, most nesting and calving activities should not be affected; minimal impacts are anticipated.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

A search was conducted using the Montana Natural Heritage Program database to identify point observations of species of concern within one mile of the proposed activities and no results were found. The species of concern that have been historically located within 1 mile of the proposed drill hole locations include the Brewers Sparrow, Sharp Tailed Grouse, the Northern Leopard Frog and the vascular plant, Little Indian Breadroot. No species of concern have been found to exist on Section 36.

Greater sage-grouse have been identified approximately 1.0 miles to the north of Section 36-T1N-R40E; although, the nearest identified active lek is located over 12 miles away. Due to the short-term, temporary nature (1 day for each of the 3 locations) and the minimal amount of vegetative and sage brush disturbance that would occur as a result of the proposed project, no significant impacts are anticipated.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

A field evaluation was conducted by DNRC Engineer Trevor Taylor and Land Use Specialist Spur Watson in which no identifiable historical or archaeological items were found to be at, or near the locations of the three proposed core holes. DNRC Archaeologist, Patrick Rennie was also consulted regarding the nature of the proposed action and the potential to impact historical and archaeological resources.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

All proposed drill locations are in relatively sparsely populated areas and far away from highways and public access roads. Due to the short term nature of the activity at each site, minimal impacts are expected.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

The proposed project will have a minimal impact on the land (less than 1/30 acre per core hole site), will use an insignificant amount of water for drilling the core holes, and will temporarily affect the air quality due to airborne dust particles resulting from three vehicles traveling to and from the core hole locations. No cumulative effects to environmental resources have been identified as a result of drilling the proposed core holes.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

No other studies, plans, or projects have been identified for the locations of the proposed core holes. There were no cumulative impacts identified as likely to occur as a result of the proposed drill holes.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

No human and health safety risks were identified as a result of the proposed project other than the typical occupational hazards that coincide with the drilling of the core holes.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

The proposed project is not expected to alter current or future industrial, commercial, and agricultural activities and production. The locations are situated in and near a forest fire burn area that is a result of a forest fire that occurred in 2012, and thus, much of the timber, grass, and shrubs have been burnt and are dead.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

The proposed project would not create, move, or eliminate jobs.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

None.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services.

None.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

No known zoning or management plans exist for this area.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

Legal access does not exist to the State section upon which the core holes are proposed to be drilled. No wilderness areas exist on or near any of the drill sites.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.

None.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

None.

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

None.

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

The proposed action has provided \$25 for a Land Use License application fee and would additionally provide a one-time rental fee of \$450 (\$150/hole) to the Trust. The existing grazing leases in the Sections listed above would continue to provide approximately \$880 annual revenue to the Trust (Average of historic rates).

EA Checklist Prepared By:	Name: Trevor E. Taylor	Date: July 2 nd , 2013
	Title: MMB Petroleum Engineer	

V. FINDING

25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:

After reviewing the Environmental Assessment, I have selected the Action Alternative, to issue a Land Use License. I believe this alternative can be implemented in a manner that is consistent with the long-term sustainable natural resource management of the area and generate revenue for the common school trust.

26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:

I conclude all identified potential impacts will be mitigated by utilizing the stipulations listed below and no significant impacts will occur as a result of implementing the selected alternative.

Stipulations:

1. Proponent will repair any soil damage and seed any disturbed areas with native grass seed. Proponent will monitor sites and control weeds for a period of one year after drilling.
2. All necessary permits will be secured.
3. All vehicle traffic must stay on established roads except when using most direct route to drill sites and will be limited to time periods/conditions when use of the road will not create ruts, i.e. periods when the soil moisture content is below 20 percent.
4. All vehicles must be washed, particularly the undercarriage, to assure removal of dirt and plant material and seeds prior to entering the tract.

27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

EIS
 More Detailed EA
 No Further Analysis

EA Checklist Approved By:	Name: Monte Mason	
	Title: MMB Bureau Chief	
Signature: /s/ Monte Mason		Date: 07/2/13