

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

On an Application for an

OPENCUT MINING AMENDMENT

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with requirements of the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). An EA functions to identify, disclose, and analyze the impacts of a proposed action. This document may disclose impacts that have no legislatively required mitigation measures, or over which there is no regulatory authority.

The state law that regulates gravel mining operations in Montana is the Opencut Mining Act. This law and the rules adopted thereunder place operational guidance and limitations on a project during its lifetime, and provide for the reclamation of land affected by opencut mining operations.

Local governments and other state agencies may have authority over different resources and activities under their regulations. Approval or denial of this Opencut Application will be based on a determination of whether or not the proposed operation complies with the Opencut Mining Act and the rules adopted thereunder. The DEQ approval of this application would not relieve the operator from the obligation to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or county statutes, regulations, or ordinances. The operator is responsible for obtaining any other permits, licenses, approvals, etc. that are required for any part of the proposed operation.

APPLICANT: Jim Gilman Excavating, Inc.

COUNTY: Jefferson

SITE NAME: Carlson Pit

DATE: May 2015

LOCATION: Section 10 & 15, T6 N, R6 W

PROPOSAL: The operator has applied for an amendment to add 9.0 acres to their existing 8.6 acre permit for the purpose of expanding the mine area. The total permitted area would be 17.6 acres. The operator proposes to mine, screen, crush, stockpile and transport 70,000 cubic yards of gravel from this site located approximately 5 miles northwest of Basin. The site would also be permitted for an asphalt plant. The currently permitted area has been reclaimed. The reclaimed area would be disturbed again under the current amendment and the additional acreage would be added to the north.

A reclamation bond would be held by DEQ to ensure that final reclamation of the site to rangeland/pasture would be completed by October 2019. This application contains all items required by the Opencut Mining Act and its implementing rules. Proponent commits to properly conducting opencut operations and would be legally bound by the permit.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
1. TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:	<p>The site is located in rolling rangeland hills with various nearby creeks and drainages.</p> <p>The geology of the site consists of alluvial fans.</p> <p>The onsite soils consist of cobbly loams. The operator would replace 14 inches of soil and 0 inches of overburden.</p> <p>The site receives approximately 14 inches of precipitation per year.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> An irreversible and irretrievable removal of gravel from the site would occur. A small impact to the quantity and quality of soils from salvaging, stockpiling, and resoiling activities also would occur, but this would not impair the capacity of the soils to support full reclamation. There are no unusual</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
	topographic, geologic, soil, or special reclamation considerations that would prevent reclamation success.
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION	<p>Red Rock Creek is located outside of and parallel to the eastern boundary. Water would be used onsite for the asphalt plant, crusher, and dust control. Water would be obtained from a source greater than 300 feet from the main permit area. Water would be stored onsite in a water storage tank.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed activities would have a minimal effect on the quantity and quality of the surface and groundwater resources.</p> <p><i>Cumulative:</i> Cumulative impacts by the proposed action on resources would be negligible.</p>
3. AIR QUALITY	<p>Air quality standards are based upon the Clean Air Act of Montana and pursuant rules and are administered by the DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau (ARMB). Its program is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health and the environment.</p> <p>Air quality permits would be required on the processing equipment before installment. Machinery, such as generators, crushers and asphalt plants, are individually permitted for allowable emissions. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is the usual standard applied.</p> <p>Fugitive dust is that which blows off the pit floor, stockpiles, gravel roads, farm fields, etc. It is considered to be a nuisance but not harmful to health.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Air quality standards as set by the federal government and enforced by the ARMB would allow minimal detrimental air impacts.</p>
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY	<p>There are no known rare or sensitive plants or cover types present in the site area. Onsite vegetation consists of sagebrush, juniper, conifers, yarrow, various wheatgrasses, and cheatgrass. Vegetation provides approximately 80 to 90% cover. The vegetation would be removed as soil is stripped and the site would be replanted with plant species compatible with the proposed reclaimed use.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> No long term detrimental impacts to the vegetation would occur.</p>
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:	<p>Although the area is used primarily for pasture/rangeland, it also supports populations of deer, rodents, song birds, coyotes, foxes, raptors, insects and various other animal species. Population numbers for these species are not known.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed mine is expected to temporarily displace some individual species and it is likely that the site would be re-inhabited following reclamation to similar habitat.</p>
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:	<p>The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) lists the following four species of concern in the vicinity of the site:</p> <p>Western Toad (<i>Bufo boreas</i>) is a toad covered with small round oval warts on a background color that is usually green or brown; the warts may be a reddish-brown and encircled by dark pigment. The toads habitat consists of low elevation beaver ponds, reservoirs, streams marshes, lake shores, potholes, wet meadows, and marshes, to higher elevation ponds, fens, and tarns at or near treeline.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
	<p>Gray wolf (<i>Canus lupus</i>) is the largest of the wild dogs. In Montana, its range is predominately the western mountainous portion of the state. This species is not migratory but may move seasonally following migrating ungulates within its territory. The gray wolf exhibits no particular habitat preference except for the presence of native ungulates within its territory on a year round basis.</p> <p>Wolverine (<i>Gulo gulo</i>) is a bear-like mustelid with massive limbs and long, dense, dark brown pelage, paler on the head, with two broad yellowish stripes extending from the shoulders and joining on the rump. Wolverines are limited to alpine tundra, and boreal and mountain forests in the western mountains. They feed on a variety of roots, berries, small mammals, birds' eggs and young, fledglings, and fish. They may attack moose, caribou, and deer hampered by deep snow.</p> <p>Canada Lynx (<i>Lynx Canadensis</i>) is a medium sized cat with silver-gray to grayish-brown upperparts and a white belly and throat. Lynx have long legs and a relatively short, compact body. Lynx inhabit subalpine forests and avoid large openings, but often hunt along edges in areas of dense cover. The Lynx's primary food consists of the snowshoe hare, although they also diet on squirrels and other small mammals.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> None of the listed species have been found on this site. Even if suitable habitat did exist on this site, the disturbance area would be small and large areas of similar or identical habitat surrounds the site. The possible impact to these species would be minimal.</p>
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES	<p>The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was notified of the application. It reported that no sites have been discovered previously within the designated search locale. There have been a few previously conducted cultural resource inventories done in the area. One 1999 inventory covered the pit area. A pedestrian survey of the area by DEQ personnel did not reveal any artifacts or signs of occupation. No signs were evident at depth in the previously disturbed area. SHPO does not feel that a cultural resource inventory is warranted at this site at this time.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> If during operations resources were to be discovered, activities would be temporarily moved to another area or halted until SHPO was contacted and the importance of the resources was determined.</p>
8. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY	<p>There are no unusual demands on land, water, air or energy anticipated as a result of this project.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Negligible impacts to land, water, air, or energy would occur.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
9. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS	Jefferson County zoning clearance has been obtained. The site is not zoned.
10. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	As seen on the aerial photo of the surrounding area, there are no nearby residences.

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
POPULATION AND HOUSING	<i>Impact:</i> This commercial pit is being sited in this area because of the location of the resource, and to provide resources for an MDT project.
11. AESTHETICS	The site is located in a common rangeland area. There would be a temporary alteration of aesthetics while mining is under way. However, reclamation would return the area to a visually acceptable landscape. This project is considered to be short-term, i.e., planned to take four years to complete. Hours of operation would be Monday through Friday, 7 am to 7 pm.
12. QUANTITY/ DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT	Existing employees would mainly be utilized for this operation. There is low potential that this project would create a significant number of new jobs. <i>Impacts:</i> New employment opportunities would be limited.
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL, AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION	The acreage listed in the proposal would be taken out of rangeland use. Upon completion of mining, the land would be reclaimed to rangeland/pasture. <i>Impacts:</i> Rangeland production would be reduced as soil stripping and operations progress across the site. When the entire site is opened up for mining and mine-related activities, all rangeland activities would cease, but would be restored as the site is reclaimed.
14. LOCAL, STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES, PERSONAL AND COMMUNITY INCOME	Local, state and federal governments would be responsible for appraising the property, setting tax rates, collecting taxes, etc., from the companies, employees, or landowners benefitting from this operation. Following reclamation, it is assumed the tax base would revert to pre-mine levels.
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES	Limited oversight by DEQ Opencut Program personnel would be conducted in concert with other area activity when in the vicinity.
16. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	Any industrial activity would increase the opportunities for accidental injury. There are agencies that require the Operator to implement specific safety measures. If followed there is no reason to believe that significant safety issues would be present.
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES	This activity would not inhibit the use of the identified resources.
18. NATIVE CULTURAL CONCERNS	<i>Impacts:</i> None identified.

19. Alternatives Considered:

- A.** Denial Alternative: The Department would deny an application that does not comply with the Act and Rules. No impacts to the natural or human environment would occur.
- B.** Approval Alternative: The Department would approve an application that complies with the Act and Rules. Impacts of this application are addressed in the body of the EA.

20. Public Involvement, Agencies, Groups or Individuals contacted: Montana State Historic Preservation Office, Montana Natural Heritage Program, Montana Department of Transportation.

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

SITE MAP
JIM GILMAN EXCAVATING, INC
CARLSON PIT AMENDMENT
SEC 15 & 10, T6N, R6W
JEFFERSON COUNTY
PERMIT BOUNDARY 17.6 ACRES
MARCH 23, 2015
DRAFTED BY: GEORGE FRIEZ



- LEGEND**
- PERMIT BOUNDARY
 - DETOUR ROAD BOUNDARY
 - MINE AREA
 - SOIL STOCKPILE
 - CRUSHER & HOT PLANT SITE
 - AGGREGATE STOCKPILE AREA
 - EXISTING & AMENDMENT COORDINATES
 - FUEL STORAGE WITH SECONDARY CONTAINMENT
 - REJECT FINES SLOPE BACKFILL SITE
 - RECYCLE ASPHALT STOCKPILE
 - TEST HOLE LOCATIONS
 - EQUIPMENT PARKING



© 2015 Geoplys

je 1549 52 N 11219 46 35 W/epw 562511

194 ft

RECEIVED BY OPENCUT 04/27/2015