

1 SENATE BILL NO. 370

2 INTRODUCED BY J. PRIEST

3

4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REQUIRING A COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS BEFORE ENACTMENT
5 OF HEALTH INSURANCE MANDATES; LIMITING INITIAL MANDATES TO STATE EMPLOYEE HEALTH
6 BENEFIT PLANS; REQUIRING TERMINATION DATES AND CONDITIONS FOR CONTINUATION; REQUIRING
7 THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION AND THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE TO PROVIDE
8 SEPARATE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES."

9

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

11

12 **NEW SECTION. Section 1. Cost-benefit analysis of mandates -- duty of insurance commissioner.**

13 (1) Any bill reported out of a committee of the legislature requiring a mandate for health insurance coverage of
14 specific services or payment for specified providers of services must include a cost-benefit analysis incorporating
15 an estimate of the extent to which the proposed mandate would:

16 (a) increase or decrease the cost of the coverage or the service;

17 (b) increase the appropriate use of the service;

18 (c) increase or decrease the administrative expenses of insurers and the premium and administrative
19 expenses of insureds; and

20 (d) increase or decrease the total cost of health care.

21 (2) Except as provided in subsection (3)(c), a bill that contains mandates must contain:

22 (a) a termination date of December 31 in the third odd-numbered year after the year in which the
23 mandate was enacted; and24 (b) a requirement for the initial application of the mandate to the state employee group health plan
25 regulated under Title 2, chapter 18. The effective date of the mandate under this subsection (2)(b) must be for
26 policies that become effective January 1 of the even-numbered year after enactment.27 (3) (a) The department of administration shall provide the legislature a cost-benefit analysis of the impact
28 of the mandate on the state employee group health plan prior to October 30 of the third year following enactment
29 of the mandate.

30 (b) The cost-benefit analysis must include information on the utilization and costs related to the

1 mandated coverage of specific services or payment for specified providers of services.

2 (c) If, after receiving the cost-benefit analysis under subsection (3)(a), the legislature acts on a bill
3 expanding the mandate to health insurance policies, plans, certificates of insurance, membership contracts, or
4 evidence of coverage regulated under Title 33, the provisions of subsection (2) do not apply and the bill must
5 include a repeal of the termination date required under subsection (2)(a) in the original bill.

6 (4) A majority of the members of a legislative committee reviewing a bill, other than a bill described in
7 subsection (3)(c), may assert through a vote that the bill contains a mandate and the provisions of subsections
8 (1) and (2) apply.

9 (5) If a bill is amended in committee to include a mandate of specific services or payment for specified
10 providers of services, the committee may not act on the bill until the cost-benefit analysis required under
11 subsection (1) is available.

12 (6) The cost-benefit analysis must be provided by the commissioner of insurance, who may contract with
13 a private entity. The commissioner of insurance shall pay the cost for the cost-benefit analysis required under
14 subsections (1) and (5) and shall provide the cost-benefit analysis in a timely fashion.

15
16 **NEW SECTION. Section 2. Codification instruction.** [Section 1] is intended to be codified as an
17 integral part of Title 5, chapter 4, and the provisions of Title 5, chapter 4, apply to [section 1].

18 - END -