

Judy T
3620 9
Great Falls
SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
EXHIBIT NO. 6
DATE 2-11-2013
BILL NO. SJ9

SJ9 – This is a joint resolution of the Senate and the House providing di
resolution is directly aimed at stopping the destruction of our private pr
3:00 PM Room 303
Monday, February 11, 2013

Senate Local Government Committee

- Edward Buttrey - Chair (R)
- Janna Taylor - Vice Chair (R)
- Shannon Augare - Member (D)
- Dick Barrett - Member (D)
- Scott Boulanger - Member (R)
- Sue Malek - Member (D)
- Alan Olson - Member (R)
- Bruce Tutvedt - Member (R)
- Gene Vuckovich - Member (D)

Americans firmly believe that every nation has an equal right to determine its own destiny. America's greatest gift to the world is an unwavering beacon of freedom. It must not be enslaved to any power, either by force of arms or by voluntary submission to global governance through participation in the United Nations.

Earth Summit II, the UN Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 produced Agenda 21, the ultimate plan of action to save the world from human activity.

The goal is control. In order to control people, the government must control the use of land. Control of the use of land includes control of the use of natural resources. Energy is the fuel that produces prosperity, and the government bureaucracy has decided that it will control access to energy by controlling the use of land and marine resources.

Our personal property rights are guaranteed by the constitution of the United States. Yet, more and more we hear that personal property rights are not absolute. In fact, at our last City Commission meeting in Great Falls one of our own City Commissioners said exactly that, "personal property rights are not absolute." This is a scary thought to me and I researched it.

I found the Global Biodiversity Assessment (GBA) which is a massive, 1,140-page document that supposedly provides the "scientific" basis for implementing the Convention on Biological Diversity and other environmental treaties. It discusses land-use extensively. Some of the more poignant revelations may be found in Section 11.2.3.13 (page 767):

"Property rights are not absolute and unchanging, but rather a complex, dynamic and shifting relationship between two or more parties, over space and time."

Under the UN's concept of land and resource management, the property owner is not even considered as one who may have a right to determine how his land is to be used. It is a higher authority that represents the "community" to whom "proof" must be offered that a proposed use is justified. This process effectively separates the right of ownership from the right of use, an objective discussed in Recommendation D.5(c)(v) of the 1976 document. And who, exactly, is this "higher authority" to whom proof must be presented? The authority envisioned by the UN is not local elected officials, but rather local "stakeholder councils" dominated by NGO professionals.

I urge you to support SJ9 and stop the destruction of our property rights through Agenda 21.