1	HOUSE BILL NO. 10						
2	INTRODUCED BY K. MCCARTHY						
3	BY REQUEST OF THE OFFICE OF BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING						
4							
5	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING LAWS RELATED TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY						
6	CAPITAL PROJECTS; APPROPRIATING MONEY FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL PROJECTS						
7	FOR THE BIENNIUM ENDING JUNE 30, 2017; PROVIDING FOR MATTERS RELATING TO THE						
8	APPROPRIATIONS; PROVIDING FOR A TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE						
9	LONG-RANGE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM ACCOUNT; PROVIDING FOR THE						
10	DEVELOPMENT AND ACQUISITION OF NEW INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS FOR THE						
11	DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,						
12	THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE DEPARTMENT OF						
13	TRANSPORTATION, AND THE JUDICIAL BRANCH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE EFFECTIVE						
14	DATES."						
15							
16	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:						
17							
18	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Definitions. For the purposes of [this act], the following definitions apply:						
19	(1) "Chief information officer" has the meaning provided in 2-17-506.						
20	(2) "Information technology" has the meaning provided in 2-17-506.						
21	(3) "Information technology capital project" means a group of interrelated information technology						
22	activities that are planned and executed in a structured sequence to create a unique product or service.						
23	(4) "LRITP" means the long-range information technology program account in the capital projects fund						
24	type.						
25							
26	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Appropriations and authorizations. (1) All business application systems						
27	funded under this section must have a plan approved by the chief information officer for the design, definition,						
28	creation, storage, and security of the data associated with the application system. The security aspects of the plan						
29	must address but are not limited to authentication and granting of system privileges, safeguards against						
30	unauthorized access to or disclosure of sensitive information, and, consistent with state records retention policies,						

plans for the removal of sensitive data from the system when it is no longer needed. It is the intent of this subsection that specific consideration be given to the potential sharing of data with other state agencies in the design, definition, creation, storage, and security of the data.

- (2) Funds may not be released for a project until the chief information officer and the budget director approve the plans described in subsection (1).
- 6 (3) The following money is appropriated to the department of administration to be used only for the 7 indicated information technology capital projects:

•	maioatoa imormation toormolog	gy capital project				
8	Agency/	LRITP	State	Federal	Proprietary	Total
9	Project		Special	Special		
10			Revenue	Revenue		
11	DEPARTMENT OF ADMINIST	RATION				
12	Network and Security Upgrade	<del>S</del>				
13		<del>5,589,000</del>				<del>5,589,000</del>
14	Data Protection Initiative					
15		1,887,000				<del>1,887,000</del>
16	Statewide Public Safety Comm	unication Syster	<del>n</del>			
17		<del>2,000,000</del>				<del>2,000,000</del>
18	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECT	<del>IONS</del>				
19	Security System Replacement	Assessments				
20		<del>1,200,000</del>				<del>1,200,000</del>
21	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE					
22	Court Technology Improvemen	<del>it Program</del>				
23		<del>490,000</del>				<del>490,000</del>
24	STATEWIDE INFORMATION TECHN	OLOGY PROJECT	<u>'S</u>			
25		6,466,000				6,466,000
26	THE DEPARTMENT OF A	OMINISTRATION M	AY PRIORITIZE TH	E EXPENDITURE (	OF THE STATEWIDE	<u>EINFORMATION</u>
27	TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS APPROP	RIATION AMONG TH	HE NETWORK AND S	SECURITY UPGRAD	ES, DATA PROTEC	TION INITIATIVE,
28	STATEWIDE PUBLIC SAFETY COM	MUNICATION SYS	TEM, SECURITY SY	STEM REPLACEM	IENT/ASSESSMENT	TS, AND COURT
29	TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT PRO	OGRAM PROJECTS	S. THE DEPARTME	ENT WILL REPORT	THE USE OF THE	FUNDS TO THE



LEGISLATIVE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

30

4

5

1	ENTERPRISE ELECTRONIC CONTENT MANAGEMENT			
2	<u>1,500,000</u>			<u>1,500,000</u>
3	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUM	IAN SERVICES		
4	ENHANCED FEDERAL FINANCIAL PARTICIPATION AND	D A-87 COST AL	LOCATION WAIVER	
5	3,000,000		27,000,000	30,000,000
6	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
7	PPMS, Risk-Based Management, Linear Refere	ncing System		
8		650,000	4,350,000	5,000,000
9	Financial Management Suite			
10		3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
11				
12	NEW SECTION. Section 3. Fund tran	sfer. The amou	unt of <del>\$12</del> <del>\$7.3</del> <u>\$11.8</u> million	is transferred from
13	the general fund to the LRITP on July 1, 2015.			
14				
15	NEW SECTION. Section 4. Judicial bra	anch information	on technology capital proje	ects appropriation.
16	(1) There is appropriated to the supreme court \$834,000 from the LRITP for courtroom technology improvements			
17	in the judicial branch.			
18	(2) Before encumbering any funds appropriated in subsection (1), the office of court administrator shall			
19	submit a project and security plan, as described in [section 2(1)], to the chief information officer. The chief			
20	information officer shall promptly review the plan and, if necessary, make timely recommendations to the office			
21	of court administrator regarding implementation	of the plan.		
22	(3) As part of the annual report to the la	w and justice ir	nterim committee and the ho	ouse appropriations
23	subcommittee required under 3-1-702, the of	fice of court a	dministrator shall include	an update on the
24	implementation of projects funded under this sec	ction.		
25				
26	NEW SECTION. Section 5. Direction	TO DEPARTMENT	OF ADMINISTRATION STATE	E DATA CENTER. THE
27	DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION IS DIRECTED TO:			
28	(1) ENCOURAGE ALL STATE AGENCIES TO	O TRANSITION T	O THE STATE DATA CENTER	UNLESS THERE IS A
29	DOCUMENTED FINANCIAL OR SECURITY REASON THAT JUSTIFIES WHY THE AGENCY SHOULD NOT USE THE STATE DATA			
30	CENTER;			

1	(2) LEVERAGE THE STATE DATA CENTER FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE UNIVERSITY
2	SYSTEM TO USE; AND
3	(3) MARKET THE USE OF THE STATE DATA CENTER TO OTHER STATES OR THIRD PARTIES IF EXCESS CAPACITY
4	EXISTS.
5	
6	NEW SECTION. Section 6. Statewide networks efficiencies. The department of administration
7	IS DIRECTED TO LEVERAGE FEDERAL FUNDS AND OTHER RESOURCES TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT POSSIBLE TO ASSIST WITH
8	INFRASTRUCTURE OBLIGATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH FEDERAL AND OTHER PROGRAMS.
9	
10	NEW SECTION. Section 7. Appropriation Third-Party audit requirement for medical
11	MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT. (1) THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
12	IS APPROPRIATED \$7,500 IN GENERAL FUND AND \$67,500 IN FEDERAL FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING AN
13	INDEPENDENT AUDIT AS SET FORTH IN SUBSECTION (2).
14	(2) THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES SHALL RETAIN ITS CURRENT INDEPENDENT
15	VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION VENDOR TO AUDIT, REVIEW, AND ISSUE A REPORT REGARDING THE MEDICAID MANAGEMENT
16	INFORMATION SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR'S ACTIVITIES RELATED TO CONTRACT 12-12-1-01-001-1. AT
17	A MINIMUM, THE AUDIT AND REPORT BY THE CURRENT INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION VENDOR MUST:
18	(A) ANALYZE THE ABILITY OF THE REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR TO COMPLETE AND COMPLY WITH ALL
19	CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS, IN PARTICULAR, BY THE MAY 2017 IMPLEMENTATION DATE
20	PURSUANT TO AMENDMENT NUMBER 5 TO THE CONTRACT; AND
21	(B) REVIEW PROJECTS IN OTHER STATES WHERE THE REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR HAS IMPLEMENTED OF
22	IS IN THE PROCESS OF IMPLEMENTING A MEDICAID MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM TO UNDERSTAND AND
23	EXTRAPOLATE THE EXPERIENCES, IMPACTS, COSTS, AND DELAYS OF THOSE STATES AND ANALYZE THE POTENTIAL FOR
24	THE SAME ISSUES OCCURRING WITH THE MONTANA SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT IN THE FUTURE.
25	(3) THE OUTCOMES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CURRENT INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION
26	VENDOR MUST BE REPORTED TO THE LEGISLATIVE FINANCE COMMITTEE NO LATER THAN JULY 1, 2015.
27	
28	NEW SECTION. Section 8. FISCAL AGENT SERVICES FOR CURRENT LEGACY MEDICAID MANAGEMENT
29	INFORMATION SYSTEMS. IN THE EVENT OF NONPERFORMANCE OR BREACH OF CONTRACT 12-12-1-01-001-1 BY THE
30	MEDICAID MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR OR OF ADVERSE AUDIT
	[] acidatina

RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION VENDOR REGARDING THE INABILITY OF THE

2 REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR TO FULFILL ALL CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS OF THE 3 CONTRACT BY THE MAY 2017 IMPLEMENTATION DATE, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES IS 4 AUTHORIZED TO REQUEST A BID FOR A NEW FISCAL AGENT FOR THE CURRENT LEGACY MEDICAID MANAGEMENT 5 INFORMATION SYSTEM. THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT ACCEPT ANY BIDS FROM THE MEDICAID MANAGEMENT INFORMATION 6 SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR UNLESS AND UNTIL THE DEPARTMENT HAS REACHED AN AGREEMENT WITH 7 THE CONTRACT VENDOR ON ANY PENDING OR THREATENED LEGAL ACTION. 8 9 NEW SECTION. Section 9. Authorization for department of public health and human services 10 TO TERMINATE MEDICAID MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS REPLACEMENT CONTRACT. (1) IN THE EVENT OF 11 NONPERFORMANCE OR BREACH OF CONTRACT 12-12-1-01-001-1 BY THE MEDICAID MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS 12 REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR OR OF ADVERSE AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION AND 13 VALIDATION VENDOR REGARDING THE INABILITY OF THE REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR TO FULFILL ALL CONTRACTUAL 14 REQUIREMENTS, TERMS, AND CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT BY THE MAY 2017 IMPLEMENTATION DATE, THE DEPARTMENT 15 OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES IS AUTHORIZED TO TERMINATE CONTRACT 12-12-1-01-001-1 AND TO PROCURE 16 MEDICAID MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM SERVICES CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION AND APPROVAL OF THE 17 CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES. 18 (2) IF THE DEPARTMENT ELECTS TO TERMINATE THE CONTRACT, IT IS DIRECTED TO TAKE ALL LEGAL ACTION 19 NECESSARY TO RECOVER PREVIOUSLY APPROPRIATED FUNDS AND ANY OTHER DAMAGES CAUSED BY OR RELATED TO THE 20 REPLACEMENT CONTRACT VENDOR'S INABILITY TO TIMELY COMPLY WITH ITS CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS. 21 22 NEW SECTION. Section 10. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are 23 severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications, 24 the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications. 25 26 NEW SECTION. Section 11. Effective date. [This (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (2), [THIS act] 27 is effective July 1, 2015. 28 (2) [SECTION 7] AND THIS SECTION ARE EFFECTIVE ON PASSAGE AND APPROVAL. 29 - END -



1