1	SENATE BILL NO. 28
2	INTRODUCED BY C. VINCENT
3	BY REQUEST OF THE WATER POLICY INTERIM COMMITTEE
4	
5	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT GENERALLY REVISING WATER LAWS REGARDING JUDICIAL
6	REVIEW OF WATER RIGHTS; AUTHORIZING THE WATER COURT TO REVIEW CERTAIN DECISIONS OF
7	THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS FOR
8	WATER RIGHT PERMITS AND CHANGES TO WATER RIGHT PERMITS; EXPANDING JURISDICTION OF
9	THE WATER COURT; CLARIFYING DUTIES OF THE CHIEF WATER JUDGE AND ASSOCIATE WATER
10	JUDGE; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-4-702, 3-7-223, 3-7-224, AND 3-7-225, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN
11	APPLICABILITY DATE AND A TERMINATION DATE."
12	
13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
14	
15	Section 1. Section 2-4-702, MCA, is amended to read:
	"2-4-702. Initiating judicial review of contested cases. (1) (a) Except as provided in 75-2-213 and
16	2 4 7021 Initiating judicial review of controlled success (1) (a) 2x00pt do provided in 70 2 270 and
16 17	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is
17	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is
17 18	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This
17 18 19	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief,
17 18 19 20	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.
17 18 19 20 21	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded.
17 18 19 20 21 22	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded from questioning the validity of that statute on judicial review, but the party may not raise any other question not
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded from questioning the validity of that statute on judicial review, but the party may not raise any other question not raised before the agency unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the court that there was good cause for failure
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded from questioning the validity of that statute on judicial review, but the party may not raise any other question not raised before the agency unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the court that there was good cause for failure to raise the question before the agency.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded from questioning the validity of that statute on judicial review, but the party may not raise any other question not raised before the agency unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the court that there was good cause for failure to raise the question before the agency.  (2) (a) Except as provided in 75-2-211, 75-2-213, and subsection (2)(c) subsections (2)(c) and (2)(e) of
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded from questioning the validity of that statute on judicial review, but the party may not raise any other question not raised before the agency unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the court that there was good cause for failure to raise the question before the agency.  (2) (a) Except as provided in 75-2-211, 75-2-213, and subsection (2)(c) subsections (2)(c) and (2)(e) of this section, proceedings for review must be instituted by filing a petition in district court within 30 days after
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	75-20-223, a person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final written decision in a contested case is entitled to judicial review under this chapter. This section does not limit use of or the scope of judicial review available under other means of review, redress, relief, or trial de novo provided by statute.  (b) A party who proceeds before an agency under the terms of a particular statute may not be precluded from questioning the validity of that statute on judicial review, but the party may not raise any other question not raised before the agency unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the court that there was good cause for failure to raise the question before the agency.  (2) (a) Except as provided in 75-2-211, 75-2-213, and subsection (2)(c) subsections (2)(c) and (2)(e) of this section, proceedings for review must be instituted by filing a petition in district court within 30 days after the written service of the final written decision of the agency or, if a rehearing is requested, within 30 days after the written

1 and all parties of record.

- (b) The petition must include a concise statement of the facts upon which jurisdiction and venue are based, a statement of the manner in which the petitioner is aggrieved, and the ground or grounds specified in 2-4-704(2) upon which the petitioner contends to be entitled to relief. The petition must demand the relief to which the petitioner believes the petitioner is entitled, and the demand for relief may be in the alternative.
- (c) If a petition for review is filed pursuant to 33-16-1012(2)(c), the workers' compensation court, rather than the district court, has jurisdiction and the provisions of this part apply to the workers' compensation court in the same manner as the provisions of this part apply to the district court.
- (d) If a petition for review is filed challenging a licensing or permitting decision made pursuant to Title 75 or Title 82, the petition for review must be filed in the county where the facility is located or proposed to be located or where the action is proposed to occur.
- (e) A party who is aggrieved by a final decision on an application for a permit or change in appropriation right filed under Title 85, chapter 2, part 3, may petition the district court or the water court for judicial review of the decision. If a petition for judicial review is filed in the water court, the water court rather than the district court has jurisdiction and the provisions of this part apply to the water court in the same manner as they apply to the district court. The time for filing a petition is the same as provided in subsection (2)(a).
- (3) Unless otherwise provided by statute, the filing of the petition may not stay enforcement of the agency's decision. The agency may grant or the reviewing court may order a stay upon terms that it considers proper, following notice to the affected parties and an opportunity for hearing. A stay may be issued without notice only if the provisions of 27-19-315 through 27-19-317 are met.
- (4) Within 30 days after the service of the petition or within further time allowed by the court, the agency shall transmit to the reviewing court the original or a certified copy of the entire record of the proceeding under review. By stipulation of all parties to the review proceedings, the record may be shortened. A party unreasonably refusing to stipulate to limit the record may be required by the court to pay the additional costs. The court may require or permit subsequent corrections or additions to the record."

- **Section 2.** Section 3-7-223, MCA, is amended to read:
- "3-7-223. Duties of chief water judge. The chief water judge shall:
- 29 (1) administer the adjudication of existing water rights by:
  - (a) coordinating with the department of natural resources and conservation in compiling information



submitted on water claim forms under Title 85, chapter 2, part 2, to <u>assure ensure</u> that the information is expeditiously and properly compiled and transferred to the water judge in each water division;

- (b) <u>assuring ensuring</u> that the water judge in each water division moves without unreasonable delay to enter the required preliminary decree; <u>and</u>
- (c) assuring ensuring that any contested or conflicting claims are tried and adjudicated as expeditiously as possible;
  - (2) conduct hearings in cases certified to the district court under 85-2-309;
- 8 (3) conduct proceedings for petitions for judicial review filed with the water court under 2-4-702;
- 9 (3)(4) assign court personnel to divisions and duties as needed;
- 10 (4)(5) assign the associate water judge to divisions and cases as needed; and
- 11 (5)(6) request and secure the transfer of water judges between divisions as needed."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3

4

5

6

7

- **Section 3.** Section 3-7-224, MCA, is amended to read:
- "3-7-224. Jurisdiction of chief water judge and associate water judge. (1) The chief water judge and the associate water judge may, at the discretion of the chief justice of the Montana supreme court, also serve as water judge for one of the water divisions.
- (2) The chief water judge and the associate water judge have jurisdiction over cases certified to the district court under 85-2-309, and all matters relating to the determination of existing water rights within the boundaries of the state of Montana, and all petitions for judicial review filed with the water court under 2-4-702.
- (3) With regard to the consideration of a matter within the chief water judge's jurisdiction, the chief water judge and the associate water judge have the same powers as a district court judge. The chief water judge and the associate water judge may issue orders, on the motion of an interested party or on the judge's own motion, that may reasonably be required to allow the judge to fulfill the judge's responsibilities, including but not limited to requiring the joinder of persons not parties to the administrative hearing being conducted by the department pursuant to 85-2-309 or 85-2-402 as considered necessary to resolve any factual or legal issue certified pursuant to 85-2-309(2)."

2728

- **Section 4.** Section 3-7-225, MCA, is amended to read:
- "3-7-225. Duties of associate water judge. The duties of the associate water judge are the same as those assigned to the chief water judge pursuant to 3-7-223(1) and (2) through (3)."



1 2

NEW SECTION. Section 5. Applicability. [This act] applies to final decisions on an application for a permit or change in appropriation right issued after October 1, 2017.

4

5

3

- NEW SECTION. Section 6. Termination. (1) [This act] terminates September 30, 2025.
- 6 (2) Petitions filed on or before September 30, 2025, may proceed after the termination of [this act] and 7 are not affected by this section.

8 - END -

