

# Fiscal Note 2021 Biennium

Bill #	HB0374	,	Title:	Generally revise polling place hours laws	
Primary Sponsor:	Greef, Sharon		Status: As Introduced		
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact		□ Needs to be included in HB 2		☐ Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	

#### FISCAL SUMMARY

	FY 2020 Difference	FY 2021 Difference	FY 2022 Difference	FY 2023 Difference
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact</u>: HB 374 has no fiscal impact to the State, since it only applies to counties having fewer than 400 registered electors and only if the polling place hours are changed. The fiscal impact would be to the individual counties and would depend on the number of affected polling places that changed their polling place hours.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **Assumptions:**

## Office of the Secretary of State

- 1. The fiscal impact would only be to the counties having fewer than 400 registered electors and a change in polling place hours.
- 2. Per this legislation, if the hours for a polling place are changed, the election administrator must mail a notice to affected voters of the change in the polling place hours.

## Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

## Office of the Secretary of State

1. In the 2018 general election, 26.6% of the total ballots were voted in person. Based on this previous voter/polling place data, the total polling places potentially affected by this bill is 228.

2.	changed for all 228 affected be paid by the counties.	g places represent 126 ted polling places, wo	,841 voters. Postage to mail not ould be \$63,420 (126,841 x .50).	ices, if polling hours were These mailing costs would
6				
	Sponsor's Initials	2-12-19 Date	Budget Director's Initials	2/11/19 Date