

Fiscal Note 2021 Biennium

Bill # HB0597		Title: Genera	ılly revise utility reg	ulation	
Primary Sponsor: Zolnikov, Daniel	Status: As Amended in House Committee				
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact ☐ Included in the Executive Budget	 ☑ Needs to be included in HB 2 ☑ Technical Concerns ☑ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☑ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached 				
	FISCAL SU FY 2020 <u>Difference</u>	MMARY FY 2021 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2022 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2023 <u>Difference</u>	
Expenditures:					
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State Special Revenue	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904	
Revenue:					
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State Special Revenue	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904	
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	\$0			\$0	

Description of fiscal impact: The Public Service Commission anticipates that this bill would generate roughly 80 contested cases per year that will require the hearings examiner process. As amended, the commission will require 10.00 FTE to meet the new requirements in HB 597.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Public Service Commission (PSC)

- 1. Section 4 requires the PSC to appoint independent hearings examiners for proceedings under Title 69. The hearings examiners must be assigned "with regard to the expertise required for the particular matter."
- 2. In order to ensure the independence of hearings examiners, the PSC assumes the hearings examiners must be separate from the staff that would advise the PSC when processing objections to proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law that result from the hearings examiner process, as provided in Section 4, paragraph 5.

- 3. The new hearings examiner feature will require additional 4.00 FTE attorneys (\$464,356 salary and benefits each year, \$11,200 for office packages and computers in FY 2020, and \$11,408 for phones, office supplies, and office space in each year of the biennium) to perform hearings examiner functions.
- 4. Section 4, paragraph 4(b), indicates that PSC staff may conduct discovery and be a party to the hearings examiner process. In order to be fair to all parties, the PSC assumes that staff participating as a party to the hearings examiner process will need to be separate from staff advising the PSC on the hearings examiners' proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. Using the Montana Consumer Counsel (MCC) as a model, the PSC estimates it will need to hire additional 2.00 FTE attorneys (\$232,178 salary and benefits each year, \$5,600 for office packages and computers in FY 2020, and \$5,704 for phones, office supplies, and office space in each year of the biennium) and 2.00 FTE rate analyst (\$173,284 salary and benefits each year, \$5,600 for office packages and computers in FY 2020, and \$5,704 for phones, office supplies, and office space in each year of the biennium) to perform this function.
- 5. Specialized training will cost approximately \$2,000 per FTE in each year of the biennium.
- 6. In addition, the PSC assumes it will require a consulting budget of \$750,000.
- 7. In addition to the FTE positions identified above, the PSC estimates that these new functions will require additional 2.00 FTE administrative assistants (\$102,765 salary and benefits each year, \$5,600 for office packages and computers in FY 2020, and \$5,704 for phones, office supplies, and office space in each year of the biennium).
- 8. The PSC estimates that at least 50 pages of rules will need to be developed, adopted, and filed. Rulemaking costs are estimated at \$3,000 (50 total pages at \$60 per page) in FY 2020.
- 9. If HB 597 passes, the current leased space for the PSC does not have the space available to accommodate the new staff required. Therefore, the PSC would need to split up between multiple leased spaces or the PSC would need to move to a different location, which would create an additional expense.

	FY 2020 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2021 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2022 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2023 <u>Difference</u>			
Fiscal Impact:							
FTE	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00			
Expenditures:							
Personal Services	\$919,067	\$916,715	\$916,716	\$916,716			
Operating Expenses	\$825,521	\$794,521	\$795,188	\$795,188			
TOTAL Expenditures	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904			
Funding of Expenditures:							
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
State Special Revenue (02)	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904			
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904			
Revenues:							
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
State Special Revenue (02)	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904			
TOTAL Revenues	\$1,744,588	\$1,711,236	\$1,711,904	\$1,711,904			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):							
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Sponsor's Initials

Date