



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2023 Biennium

Bill #	HB0109	Title:	Provide for primary enforcement of seatbelt laws
Primary Sponsor:	Smith, Frank	Status:	As Introduced

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2022 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2023 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2024 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	<u>\$26,840</u>	<u>\$26,840</u>	<u>\$26,840</u>	<u>\$26,840</u>

Description of fiscal impact: HB 109 allows a vehicle to be stopped and fined for an adult occupant of the motor vehicle not wearing a seatbelt while operating a motor vehicle. The minimum fine imposed will be \$20 for each seat belt violation. This will create a positive impact to the state general fund as the result of anticipated fine revenue.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Justice

1. Assumptions for this revenue come from an average of 8,945 seat belt citations issued from 2016-2020. An additional 30% increase in citations is assumed.
2. MHP assumes additional revenue of \$26,840 due to the increase in citations. $(8,945 * 30\% = 2,684)$ $(2,684 * \$20 = \$53,680)$ $(\$53,680 / 2 = \$26,840)$.
3. Half of the fines are deposited into the state general fund and half go to the county in which the citation was written.

Department of Transportation

4. Using existing agency resources, MDT will continue to provide public information and education concerning the benefits of wearing seatbelts which includes the new requirements of 61-13-103 and 104, MCA.

	<u>FY 2022</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2023</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2024</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>				
<u>Expenditures:</u>				
Personal Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>Revenues:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840
TOTAL Revenues	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840	\$26,840

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

1. This bill would increase county revenue at the same level as the state. Based on the assumptions above, the estimated revenue to the counties would be approximately \$26,840.

<u>Frank J. Smith</u>	<u>1/12/21</u>	<u>KA</u>	<u>1/11/21</u>
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date