

Fiscal Note 2023 Biennium

Bill#	1# HB0174		Title:	Title: Generally revise winery laws Status: As Introduced	
Primary Sponsor: Holmlund, Ke		th L	Status:		
☐Significant Local Gov Impact		□Needs to be included in HB 2		⊠Technical Concerns	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	

FISCAL SHMMARY

	FISCAL SUMMANT						
	FY 2022 FY 2023		FY 2024	FY 2025			
	Difference	Difference	Difference	Difference			
Expenditures:							
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Federal Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Revenue:			•				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Federal Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> HB 174 has no fiscal impact to the state.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. HB 174 sets importation limit requirements on licensure for new Montana wineries and grants additional privileges for satellite tasting rooms and special permits for these wineries.
- 2. Current licensees are grandfathered in and may receive the additional privileges if they also abide by the importation limit requirements.
- 3. HB 174 also grants special permits to wineries and increases the amount of wine that a winery can sell or deliver directly to retailers or through a common carrier.
- 4. The Department of Revenue will absorb costs associated with changing and updating forms and tracking of licenses.

Technical Notes:

- 1. The responsible sales and server training in Section 2(e)(iv) should reference 16-4-1005, not 16-4-1006.
- 2. The effective date of passage and approval does not give the Department of Revenue enough time to update forms and tracking of licenses to ensure compliance.
- 3. A definition of wine variety as referenced on page 2 line 9 is necessary to avoid confusion.
- 4. Clarification is necessary on whether samples in the satellite tasting room are served with or without charge.
- 5. 16-3-411(2) and 16-3-411(3) have different lists of items that make up the 49% of importation requirements. Clarification is necessary to determine the intent of this.
- 6. There is potential for legal challenge on the basis of the equal protection clause of the Montana and US Constitutions, as similarly situated parties would be treated differently by the state.
- 7. Section 2(e) may increase the potential for legal challenge on the basis of the dormant commerce clause as Montana businesses would potentially be receiving a competitive advantage (24 permits vs. 10 permits) over out-of-state businesses. See US Supreme Court case Tenn. Wine and Spirits Realties Ass'n v. Thomas, 139 S. Ct. 2449 (2019).

Sponsor's Initials

Output

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date