

Fiscal Note 2023 Biennium

D:11 4	HB0688		Trial Indiana	1 . C	1-/-				
Bill #	11100000	Title: Interim study of winemaking regulations							
Primary Sponsor: Kassmier, Joshua Status: As Introduced									
☐Significant Lo	cal Gov Impact	□Needs to be included i	n HB 2 ⊠Tecl	nnical Concerns	1949.44				
☐Included in the	Executive Budget	□ Significant Long-Term Impacts □ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached							
	-	FISCAL SU	MMARV						
		FY 2022 Difference	FY 2023 Difference	FY 2024 Difference	FY 2025 Difference				
Expenditures:									
General Fund		\$15,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$0				
Revenue:									
General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Net Impact-Ger	neral Fund Balance:	(\$15,000)	(\$10,000)	\$0	\$0				

Description of fiscal impact: HB 688 provides for a committee to study the issues of production and regulation of wine, winemaking, grape-growing, and use of the byproducts. The fiscal impact for the 2023 biennium is \$25,000.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. HB 688 provides for a committee on Montana viticulture including four legislative members.
- 2. For the purposes of this fiscal note, it is assumed that the four legislative members will be compensated pursuant to 5-2-302, MCA.
- 3. HB 688 has an effective date of July 1, 2021 and a termination date of December 31, 2022.
- 4. It is assumed that there will be six one-day meetings and two two-day meetings in Helena.
- 5. Due to the cyclical nature of the legislative interim, 60% of the appropriation is anticipated to be spent in FY 2022 and 40% in FY 2023.
- 6. The fiscal impact is broken down as follows:
 - a. Personal Services: \$3,689 (FY 2022) and \$2,460 (FY 2023)
 - b. Operating Costs (travel): \$6,963 (FY 2022) and \$4,642 (FY 2023)
 - c. Operating Costs (secretary, remote meeting coordinator, other support): \$4,348 (FY 2022) and \$2,898 (FY 2023)

- 7. HB 688 provides a \$25,000 appropriation.
- 8. It is unknown how many bills are seeking to add to the duties of the Legislative Services Division. The fiscal note of each bill is prepared based on the effect of each individual bill. However, when viewed as a package, the cumulative effect of passage of more than one bill would require additional analysis and may require additional resources. The division may not be able to absorb the research, legal, secretarial, and other support duties required to support this committee.

		FY 2022		FY 2023		FY 2024		FY 2025			
		Difference		Difference		Difference		Difference			
Fiscal Impact:											
FTE		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00			
Expenditures:											
Personal Services		\$3,689		\$2,460		\$0		\$0			
Operating Expenses		\$11,311	1	\$7,540		\$0		\$0			
TOTAL Expenditures		\$15,000		\$10,000		\$0	_	\$0			
Funding of Expenditures:											
General Fund (01)		\$15,000		\$10,000		\$0		\$0			
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	_	\$15,000	. =	\$10,000	_	\$0	_	\$0			
Revenues:											
General Fund (01)		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0			
TOTAL Revenues	_	\$0	: =	\$0	_	\$0	_	\$0			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):											
General Fund (01)		(\$15,000)		(\$10,000)		\$0		\$0			

Technical Notes:

- 1. HB 668 does not specify the following:
 - a. If members get paid for serving on the committees
 - b. How a presiding officer is selected
 - c. How vacancies are handled
- 2. HB 668 does not have a contingent voidness clause required pursuant to rule.

Sponsor's Initials