



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2023 Biennium

Bill # HB0336

Title: Interstate cooperative meatpacking compact

Primary Sponsor: Ler, Brandon

Status: As Introduced

- Significant Local Gov Impact     
 Needs to be included in HB 2     
 Technical Concerns  
 Included in the Executive Budget     
 Significant Long-Term Impacts     
 Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2022 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2023 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2024 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$1,113,252	\$1,117,174	\$1,139,517	\$1,162,308
Federal Special Revenue	(\$1,113,252)	(\$1,117,174)	(\$1,139,517)	(\$1,162,308)
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Special Revenue	(\$1,113,252)	(\$1,117,174)	(\$1,139,517)	(\$1,162,308)
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u>(\$1,113,252)</u>	<u>(\$1,117,174)</u>	<u>(\$1,139,517)</u>	<u>(\$1,162,308)</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** The Department of Livestock has been informed by the USDA Food Safety and Inspection Services (FSIS) that if HB 336 were to pass, the state meat inspection program which operates under the Federal Meat Inspection Act would be suspended and therefore the 50-50 federal match to continue the state program would no longer be available. The State would need to fully fund a state meat inspection program.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

#### **Department of Livestock**

1. The provision in HB 336 that permits interstate commerce of state inspected meat operations would result in a loss of federal funds to the Department of Livestock.
2. A federally unaccredited state program would cost the state approximately double current expenditures.

	<u>FY 2022</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2023</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2024</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025</u> <u>Difference</u>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$1,113,252	\$1,117,174	\$1,139,517	\$1,162,308
Federal Special Revenue (03)	(\$1,113,252)	(\$1,117,174)	(\$1,139,517)	(\$1,162,308)
<b>TOTAL Funding of Exp.</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$0)</b>
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Special Revenue (03)	(\$1,113,252)	(\$1,117,174)	(\$1,139,517)	(\$1,162,308)
<b>TOTAL Revenues</b>	<b>(\$1,113,252)</b>	<b>(\$1,117,174)</b>	<b>(\$1,139,517)</b>	<b>(\$1,162,308)</b>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	(\$1,113,252)	(\$1,117,174)	(\$1,139,517)	(\$1,162,308)
Federal Special Revenue (03)	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0

**NOT SIGNED BY SPONSOR**

\_\_\_\_\_      2/16/21      \_\_\_\_\_ KA      \_\_\_\_\_ 2/13/21  
*Sponsor's Initials*      *Date*      *Budget Director's Initials*      *Date*