



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2023 Biennium

**Bill #** HB0685

**Title:** Revise judicial standards laws

**Primary Sponsor:** Tschida, Brad

**Status:** As Introduced

- Significant Local Gov Impact     
  Needs to be included in HB 2     
  Technical Concerns  
 Included in the Executive Budget     
  Significant Long-Term Impacts     
  Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2022</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2023</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2024</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2025</u> <u>Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** There is potential but unknown fiscal impact to the Judicial Branch from HB 685. There would be a fiscal impact to county governments for the proportional costs per constitutional amendment placed on the ballot.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions:

#### **Office of the Secretary of State**

1. There is no fiscal impact to the Office of the Secretary of State, since the fiscal impact is to county governments for the proportional costs per constitutional amendment placed on the ballot.

#### **Judicial Branch**

2. Section 1 (3) of HB 685 allows the commission to discipline a judge. The current rules require an investigation and formal hearing before disciplinary action can occur. Assuming the rules remain the same, the Judicial Branch is unable to predict if the number of formal hearings will increase requiring the payment of additional travel expenses for commissioners and witnesses and additional hearing expenses such as court reporters and hearings officers.

Sponsor's Initials

3/31/21

Date

KA

Budget Director's Initials

3-31-21

Date