68th Legislature 2023 Drafter: Madelyn Krezowski, (406) 444-6857 SB0299.001.001

1	SENATE BILL NO. 299		
2	INTRODUCED BY B. USHER		
3			
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REQUIRING AN AUDIT OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH DISTRICT		
5	COURTS; AN	D PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE."	
6			
7	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:		
8			
9	NEW	SECTION. Section 1. Audit of judicial branch district courts. (1) There must be a one-time	
10	performance audit of the district courts. The performance audit must be conducted by or at the direction of the		
11	legislative aud	litor and may include but is not limited to:	
12	(a)	a comparison of the district court case-weight assignments and workload measurement system	
13	to similar courts in at least three other similar states. The following points of comparison are of particular		
14	interest:		
15	(i)	the average length of time required for cases in each district court to reach completion,	
16	including how long it takes a judge to issue a ruling after the matter if fully briefed and how those times compare		
17	to the current case-weight assignments, speedy-trial considerations for criminal cases, and completion		
18	expectations. This work must consider any applicable controlling directives, such as the Montana rules of		
19	evidence and civil procedure, individual case type legal requirements, and individual district court operational		
20	rules, including factors outside of judicial control.		
21	(ii)	the average amount of time judges are working; and	
22	(iii)	if and how time spent by judges on noncase activities, including time at conferences, trainings,	
23	meetings, oth	er court-related events, or making speeches to community or other organizations, is recorded;	
24	(b)	whether recent increases in numbers of district court judges have resulted in quicker	
25	resolutions of civil cases;		
26	(c)	the number of full-time equivalent employees in the district court program;	
27	(d)	a review of the status of the district court case management system and electronic filing	
28	system, including if any efficiencies have been realized with the implementation of the electronic filing system;		



Amendment - 1st Reading-white - Requested by: Andrea Olsen - (S) Judiciary

- 2023

68th Legislature 2023 Drafter: Madelyn Krezowski, (406) 444-6857 SB0299.001.001

1	and		
2	(e)	an analysis of budgets, costs, and functions of the district courts over time.	
3	(2)	The legislative auditor shall scope and plan the audit based on risk, including a consideration of	
4	the district court's financial, operational, and technological risks associated with meeting its intended purpose,		
5	goals, objectives, and legal mandates. The legislative auditor shall consider both rural and urban district courts		
6	as part of its scoping and selection process.		
7	(3)	The objectives of this audit must be formulated, defined, and conducted in accordance with	
8	industry standards established for auditing as described in 5-13-308.		
9	(4)	The cost of the audit in whole must be paid for by the judicial branch.	
10	(5) (4)	The audit must be completed by and presented to the legislative audit committee no later than	
11	December 15, 2024. Following review by the legislative audit committee, the audit and any audit followup must		
12	be delivered to members of the law and justice interim committee and the section D interim budget		
13	subcommittee who shall serve in the 69th legislature and be posted on the website of the legislative audit		
14	division.		
15			
16	NEW :	SECTION. Section 2. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.	
17		- END -	

