

SB 316

SPONSOR'S REBUTTAL TO FISCAL NOTE

SPONSOR: Senator Curdy DATE: 2/20/2023

Short Title: Require public comment for air quality registrations.

Fiscal Note Version & Date:

Generally, why do you disagree with the fiscal note?
The fiscal note assumes that every running facility will require an annual registration which is not the case. DEQ may see a small number of registrations each year.

Specifically, what in the fiscal note do you feel is flawed?
(Describe specific assumptions, calculations, technical issues, etc.)
Too much money for a handful of registrations. Too much time to review comments. The time needed is to read comments. It is assumed that significant rule-making will take place that is not anticipated.

What is your estimate of the fiscal impact?
Minimal

SPONSOR SIGNATURE: W. Curdy