

**Legislative Council Rules Subcommittee Committee Legislator/Staff Generated Rules Issues (6/29/2020)**

<b>Proposal#</b>	<b>Potential Rule Change</b>	<b>Existing Rule Impacted</b>	<b>Issue Raised</b>
1 - Joint Rules	JR 40-50 (2)(a) (i) does session committee bill or resolution cover a committee bill proposing referenda, revenue bill and bills implementing HB 2?	JR 40-50 (2)(a) (i)	Staff identified potential rule change.
2 - Joint Rules	JR 40-100(8) (a) on fiscal notes. Do we need a requirement to have a positive action in committee in order to request a revised fiscal note (“and on an amended bill”), or does it have to be engrossed in the bill after the floor adopts the committee report. In (9), it can proceed without the updated fiscal note, or the committee could ask for the bill to be rereferred. The Budget Office allowed the request based on an amendment without any action.	JR 40-100(8) (a)	Staff identified potential rule change.
3-Joint Rules	JR 40-110(4) - Should this be revised to state “The Legislative Fiscal Division or the Legislative Services Division” ?	JR 40-110(4)	Staff identified potential rule change.

<p>4-Joint Rules</p>	<p>JR 40-200 (1)(c)(iii) – discuss changing definition of revenue – Language from MS: “For the purposes of this rule, the term "revenue bill" shall include only those bills whose primary purpose is to increase or decrease taxes or to authorize the issuance of bonds or the borrowing of money. Bills which are primarily for regulatory purposes which have revenue provisions included shall not be considered as revenue bills for the purposes of this rule.”</p> <p>This change would impact fiscal note rule JR 40-100 (5) that if there is a zero impact, the fiscal note will only be printed for the committee hearing the bill and will be posted online. (A massive amount of paper on zero impact fiscal notes has been wasted.) “After the legislative day has elapsed, all fiscal notes <u>having a potential effect on the revenues, expenditures, or fiscal liability (language from (1))</u> must be reproduced <u>for the members of the committee hearing the bill and if the bill is reported out of committee, placed on the members’ desks, either with or without the chief sponsor’s signature.</u></p>	<p>JR 40-200 (1)(c)(iii) JR 40-100 (5)</p>	<p>Staff identified potential rule change.</p>
----------------------	--	--	--

5-Joint Rules	Sponsor: JR 40-40(6) works, but, HR 40-30 is problematic as the Senate doesn't follow the House Rule. The two chambers need to be consistent – either make the HR into a Joint rule(see also JR 10-130 (7) – the "or" might need to be made into an "and sign or initial" if this becomes a Joint Rule) or get rid of the House Rule. The form has helped greatly but inconsistent application causes confusion.	JR 40-40(6)	Staff identified potential rule change.
6-Joint Rules	JR 40-160(1) (3) and (6), change to one duplicate printed copy and in (7), strike "the Clerk of the Supreme Court". The Clerk of the Supreme Court no longer wants to get a copy.	JR 40-160(1)	Staff identified potential rule change.
7-Joint Rules	JR 40-50(2) - the 6 day introduction deadline could be clearer – legislative days or calendar days?	JR 40-50(2)	Staff identified potential rule change.
8-Joint Rules	JR 10-20 - "Legislative Day" definition clarification per delaying the start-up of the session.	JR 10-20	Staff identified potential rule change.
9-Joint Rules	JR 40-40 - review bill draft limits	JR 40-40	Legislator identified potential rule change.

10- House Rules	H 40-80 (2)(b) - Either suspend for bills sent to House Appropriations and returned unchanged or require only 1 <sup>st</sup> “specifically marked”. Incredible waste of paper and when it comes to crunch times, there is not enough time to print and get into packets. Maybe require the House to either save the previous copies of the bills or if they know that they will be rereferred, not pass them out.	H 40-80 (2)(b)	Staff identified potential rule change.
11 -Senate Rules and House Rules	Rule revision of the Senate and House Ethics Committee Process	S30-160, No similar House Rule	Legislative Council raised issue per the Commissioner on Political Practices discussion.
12 -Joint Rules, Senate Rules, House Rules	Modify the Legislative Rules to allow for remote/hybrid remote sessions.	Joint Rules, Senate Rules, House Rules - See attached applicable remote session constitutional, statutory, and rule provisions.	Legislative Council requested.