Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Loretta Seipert
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 475 Lolo, Mt. 59847
E-Mail: liseipert@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Larry Seipert
ADDRESS: 192 Ann's Lane PO Box 975

Lolo Montana 59847

E-Mail: LSeipert@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Nik SardoT
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1074
           Florence 59833
E-Mail: miki@redquillfarms.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: GARY MARBLE
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 16106
Missoula 59808

E-Mail: GARY@MARBLE.COM

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:    Linna Frey

ADDRESS:  150 Hillview
           Missoula, MT 59803

E-Mail:  hjly@bigsky.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Rene Blackett

ADDRESS: 119 Liberty
           Missoula, MT 59801

E-Mail: HBlacketDQwest.Net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: John F. Peterson

ADDRESS: 8080 Spalding Lane
Missoula MT 59808

E-Mail: ____________________________

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Nancy L. Peterson
ADDRESS: 6800 Larkspur Ln.
Missoula, MT 59808
E-Mail: 

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Rusty Van Overbeck
ADDRESS: 18860 Moonlight Dr, Frenchtown, 59834
E-Mail: Rusty@BigSky.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Pauline Dean

ADDRESS: 2840 Santa Fe St. # 305

MS A

E-Mail: pollysplace@bresnan.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:  Glenda Kroll

ADDRESS:  10079 Miller Creek Road
            Missoula, Mt  59803

E-Mail:  Zibec@optimum.net

RECEIVED
APR 30 1999
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:  Jon Baker

ADDRESS:  2017 W Sussex Missoula MT 59801

E-Mail:  jonathan edward baker @ gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Mark J. Brady
ADDRESS: 1500 Cohe Ln. Missoula, MT 59808
E-Mail: markbrady@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Mark Beddy

ADDRESS: 1500 Cofi Ln.

Missoula, MT 59802

E-Mail: markbeddy@prosecutewmt
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Ethan Hewerly

ADDRESS: 2400 Old Fort Rd Apt 305

Missoula 59801

E-Mail: go9r9e@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Ted Kopetski

ADDRESS: 73-73 Stonehouse Ave

Missoula, MT 59803

E-Mail: Kopetski@yahoo.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: VANDENE KOPETSKI

ADDRESS: 7313 STONEHAYEN AVE.

Missoula, MT. 59803

E-Mail: vandene@wusmpt.net
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Ciancy Kenick

ADDRESS: 2813 Queen St

Missoula, MT 59801

E-Mail: Cbkenick @ yahoo.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Bill Chandler

ADDRESS: 2200 Tippecanoe Way

Missoula, MT 59808

E-Mail: bill.a.chandler@gmail.com

RECEIVED APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Scott Mendenhall
ADDRESS: 214 Saloon St.
Clancy, MT 59730

EMAIL: 

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:  

ADDRESS: 20, Box 77
Basin, MT 5941

EMAIL: glenhale@wildlife.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Roger A. Hagan

ADDRESS: 117 Gerber Rd

GREAT FALLS, MT 59405 - 8107

EMAIL: roger@msn.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Francis H. Rice

ADDRESS: 1317 Stewart St
Helena, MT 59601

EMAIL: 

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Broadwater County, I strongly prefer that my county be kept intact and together as one district in the new legislative districts. I understand that the map proposed by Commissioners Lamson and Smith -- the so-called "communities" plan, basically the Democrat party plan -- splits up Broadwater County into several different districts. I oppose that plan.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas -- please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Levi Brown

ADDRESS: 130 Main St. 
Winston, MT 59647

EMAIL: land.brown 98@yahoo.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Broadwater County, I strongly prefer that my county be kept intact and together as one district in the new legislative districts. I understand that the map proposed by Commissioners Lamson and Smith -- the so-called "communities" plan, basically the Democrat party plan -- splits up Broadwater County into several different districts. I oppose that plan.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Debra Brown

ADDRESS: 130 Main St.
    Winston, MT 59647

EMAIL: dbrown45347@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Don Leal

Address: POB 54

Email Address: don@perc.org

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Mary J McLaughlin

Address: 580 Little Basin Creek Rd
Butte, MT 59701-4613

Email Address: mmannieoakley@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Jessica Eyde
Address: 131 Mt View, Butte MT 59701
Email Address: Info@PioneerEq.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Huron A Rellisut
Address: 931 New Back Rd,
Deer Lodge, MT 59725
Email Address: eaglemtn@rfwave.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: ____________________________

ADDRESS: PO Box 1823
East Helena, MT 59635

EMAIL: Jon3@mt.gov

 Montana Legislative Services Division

APR 25 2012
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: [signature]

ADDRESS: 3871 Collins
Helena, MT 59602

EMAIL: devcolswid@ao1.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas—please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Karen Lewis

ADDRESS: 5871 Collins Dr
Helena, MT 59602

EMAIL: Karenlewisdd@aol.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

I agree with all the points presented in this letter; I sincerely hope you will give these requests serious consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: ____________________________

Address: __________________________

Helena, MT 59602

Email Address: __________________________
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawning district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Jeff Whiteside
Address: 5115 S. Warnath Rd.
Missoula, MT 59804
Email Address: jeff@jeffwhiteside.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(Name):

(ADDRESS): 1726 DeFor Street

         Missoula, Montana 59802-1915

(EMAIL): Bhoburas@AOL.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: __________________________

Address: BOX 4141

MISSOURI MT 59486

Email Address: MMLB@ROSNAR.NET

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: [Signature]

Address: P.O. Box 2445
STP-4501LIA 19115 5986

Email Address: Szedcarr@blackfoot.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: _________________________

Address: 1801 South Ave Wl
Missoula Mt. 59801

Email Address: jpc68855@msn.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Barbara Ford

Address: 2402 Harland Dr
Miles City, MT 59301

Email Address: ford33@yahoo.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Christian McCullough

Address: 2207 27th Avenue
          Great Falls, MT 59901

Email Address: ajoint@bresnan.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision).

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME): ___________________________ Lou Ann Skattum

(ADDRESS): 10 in. Donald Creek
               Livingston, MT 59047

(EMAIL): louannskattum@yahoo.com
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision).

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

(ADDRESS):

(EMAIL):

dskattum@wispwest.net.

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Drew Turiano

ADDRESS: 706 Harrison Ave.
Helena, MT 59601

EMAIL: drew74@q.com
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it’s not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision).

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):    Stoney Eop

(ADDRESS):  Box 1024
             Big Timber, MT, 59011

(EMAIL):    jasp@mtintouch.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas — please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: [Signature]

EMAIL: [Signature]
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME): Richard Anderson

(ADDRESS): PO Box 103
            Fromberg MT 59029

(EMAIL): M+Anderson@yahoo.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

ADDRESS: Box 116

READ M Berg, MT 59029

(EMAIL): edye@q.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

(ADDRESS):

(EMAIL):

Monty Joan Madison
677 17th Street
Jamestown, 59649
joan-mc@coin

RECEIVED
APR 30 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME): Scott L. Boggs

(ADDRESS): 1315 Hwy 78
Red Lodge, MT 59068

(EMAIL): sboggs@aol.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Roberto F. McDowell

Address: 126 McDowell Ln.

Email Address: ________________________________

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by nonpartisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

[Signature]

(NAME):

[Address]

(ADDRESS):

[Email]

(EMAIL):
Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

I hope you will include Carbon County and Stillwater county in the same Senate district.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Ed & Annie Halland

ADDRESS: 103 E. Bridger Road
          Bridger, MT 59014

EMAIL: bridgerhalland@q.com
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Brent & Audrey Lindgren
Address: PO Box 121
          Edgar, MT 59026
Email Address: alwallesen@yahoocom

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:    George & Kelly Resley

Address:  66 Granite Rd.
          Joliet, MT 59041

Email Address: kellyresley@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don’t know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn’t that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn’t just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn’t even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Anne Bowman

Address: P.O. Box 173
Boyd, MT 59613

Email Address: Melian74@mac.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

I hope you will include Carbon County and Stillwater county in the same Senate district.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: BOX 173 BB 10 Hwy 212

EMAIL: [Signature]@hotmail.com
Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Vicki I Kombo

Address: Box 568

Bridger, MT 59014

Email Address: 

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

I hope you will include Carbon County and Stillwater county in the same Senate district.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Kurt Ferderer

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 446 Joliet Montana 59041

EMAIL: DANE1706@gmail.com / Mountainladyinmt@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Nilea Brush
ADDRESS: 2840 Ridgeway Dr.
          Lolo, MT
E-Mail: niles.brush@umontana.edu

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Keith Baer
ADDRESS: PMB 335, 2814 Brooks
Missoula MT 59801
E-Mail: Keith B @ Montana.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Sheila Cook

ADDRESS: 1400 Clarkia lane

Missoula, MT 59801

E-Mail: makesmtn@msn.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Bill Bushman

ADDRESS: 2212 Greenough Court
Missoula, MT 59802

E-Mail: wbushman @ cherrykreekradio.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: 3828 Ballewcrest Dr
 Missoula, MT 59801
E-Mail: ihellegard@msn.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Scott Adler

ADDRESS: 750, Frontage Rd W
Drummond MT 59832

EMAIL: __________________________

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Scott Dunkerson

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 198
Drummond, MT 59832

EMAIL: ____________________________

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Heidi Wente

ADDRESS: 53 aspen lane
Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: 

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 753 Mustang Way, Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:  Victoria Rich

ADDRESS:  40 Prairie Falcon Ct.
            Kalispell, 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Marie Eve Johnson  
ADDRESS:  #11 Mountain Pine Lane, Kalispell MT
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Debbie Kramer
ADDRESS: 3150 N Ashley Lake Rd Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: doris hicks  doris hicks

ADDRESS: 40 prairie falls co.
KANSPEL, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 569 2 Hwy 93 So Somers MT
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

ADDRESS):

EMAIL):
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

(ADDRESS):

(EMAIL):
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it’s not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):  

Dennis Nelson

(ADDRESS):  

505 Wolf Creek Drive  
Bigfork MT 59911

(EMAIL):  

dnelson2@cham.com
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME): 

(ADDRESS): 
PO Box 261 
Belfield, MT 59911 

(EMAIL): 


To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

(ADDRESS):

EMAIL):
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it’s not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(Name): Bell Livingstone

(Address): 102 Nabor Way
Bigfork MT 59911

(Email): billkita@msn.com
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME): 

(RIGHT)

(ADDRESS): 

(EMAIL): 

BilRita@msn.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Robert Freedman

Address: 834 Kenas Rd.  
Kalispell, MT 59901

E-mail: bobcindylee@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It’s almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Cynthia Friedman

Address: 834 Kiener Rd.
          Laurel, MT 59001

E-mail: ________________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: **MARGIE DONOGHUE**

Address: 590 Yoeman Hall Rd.  
**KALISPELL, MT.**

E-mail: mdonoghue@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It’s almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Jane Denealove

Address: 33 Masquer DR.

Kalspell, MT

E-mail: jane.moore@hotmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It’s almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Donna Danz

Address: 657 Trap Road
          Columbia Falls, MT 59912

E-mail: danzd657@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Andy Tegeli

Address: 441 Kohler Lake Ln
          Kalispell, MT 59901

E-mail: andyX@centurytel.net
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(Signature)

(NAME):

(ADDRESS):

(EMAIL):
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It’s almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Jean Tegoli

Address: 441 Kohler Lake Ln
          Kalispell MT 59901

E-mail: jtegoli@yahoo.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It’s almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: John J. Donoghue

Address: 590 Yoeman Hall Rd. 
Kalispell MT 59901

E-mail: donoghue@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: [Signature]

Address: 100 Pleasure Run

E-mail: [Signature]
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Anita Hoye

Address: 804 7th Avenue East
          Kalispell MT 59901

E-mail: ________________________________
To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):  Shanna Lund

(ADDRESS):  6 Glacier Circle
             Kalispell, MT

(EMAIL):  shannalund@hotmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It’s almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Daniel Danz

Address: 657 Trap Rd.
Cal Falls, Mt. 59912

E-mail: danzd657@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Lancee Okagwasri

Address: 377 OrchardLn.
          Kalispell MT 59901

E-mail: alnuoz@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Albert D. Olszewski

Address: 377 orchard lane
          Kalispell, MT 59901

E-mail: ________________________________
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Cindy Benson

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 353
Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: ____________________________

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Edward J. Lud

ADDRESS: 4 Cattle Dr

Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: 

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:  Connie Sox

ADDRESS:  4 Little Dr
           Philipsburg  MT 59858

EMAIL:  fatlucklist@gmail.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Jenner

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 7
PHILIPSBURG, MT. 59858

EMAIL: jenner@montana.com
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: Box 923, Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: imagine@blackfoot.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: PO Box 31
          Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: JWEBB@174MT.COM
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 309 California St. PO. Box 456
Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: [Signature]

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Bruce Husby

ADDRESS: #3 Cattle Drive
Philipsburg MT 59853

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Bill Straub

ADDRESS: PO Box 96
Holl, Montana 59847

EMAIL: billandreneecblackfoot.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 45 E. Main St.
Helena, MT 59837

EMAIL: __________________________

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Doug Eddle

ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 97, HULL MT 59847

EMAIL: ________________________________

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the “Communities” map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don’t let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: D Inc. Lee

ADDRESS: 53195 Pop LN 8H1, 1.256 GRS MT 59858

EMAIL: ________________________________

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Glenda D. Brown

ADDRESS: 330 Goodrich Rd, Kalispell MT 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Tim Baldwin

ADDRESS: 806 3rd Ave W Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Tammy Lee Mast
ADDRESS: 290 B Two Mile Dr
Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Heidi Roedel

ADDRESS: 1376 Shelter Ridge, Kalispell, MT 59901

Please help make fair choices so that our legislators can fairly represent their people.
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Scott P Sargent

ADDRESS: 232 Juniper Bend Dr.
Kalispell, MT 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:**

Claire J. Ryan

**ADDRESS:**

253 Pine Needle Lane

Bigfork MT 59911
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 2335 West Valley Drive
Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Gary Kroeger
ADDRESS: 805 church dr. Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Bruce
ADDRESS: 2335 Kalispell Dr.
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Aug Baunhoff

ADDRESS: 218 Deer Trail, Somers, MT
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 218 Deer Trail, Somer
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Evelyn R. Gellospie

**ADDRESS:** 125 Pioneer Road, Kalispell, MT 59901-6806
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 345 Todd Lane, P.O. Box, Kalispell, Montana 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: _______________________

ADDRESS: 158’ Arbour Dr Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Richard Boyd Roth

ADDRESS: 1343 Laflin Ave E
Kalspell, MT 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Sam Nunnally

**ADDRESS:** P.O. Box 10819 Kalispell MT 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Lucy Rene Casey

**ADDRESS:** 31 Windward Loop Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: William H. Coven
ADDRESS: 31 Windward Loop, Kalispell, MT
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Mary Pat Murphy
ADDRESS: 875 Birch Grove Rd, Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: 

ADDRESS: 1001 S. Main Street Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Robert H Herron
ADDRESS: 249 White Pine Rd, Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Russell A. Gregory
ADDRESS: 850 St. Andrews Dr., #1811, Columbia Falls, MT 59912
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Laura E. Gregory

**ADDRESS:** 850 Saint Andrews Dr #1411 Col Falls MT 59912
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Carl Weniger  /  Carl R. Weniger

ADDRESS: 2041 Mission Trail Rd.
Kalispell, MT 59901-2240
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: James P. Lynch III (Jim Lynch)

ADDRESS: 470 Lake Hills Lane
Kalspelli, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Sandra Welch

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 260121, Martin City, MT 59926
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Mark Notelov

ADDRESS: 60 Box 7606 Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Keith Reger

ADDRESS: 1028 Stillwater Road, Kalispell, 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Steven Swellen

**ADDRESS:** 150 Ridge Run Drive, Whitefish 59937
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Harry J. Hyatt

ADDRESS: 160 Bjork Drive Bigfork, MT 59911
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Terry Kramer

ADDRESS: 3150 W Ashley Lake Rd Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Carl Glimm

ADDRESS: 5107 Ashley Lake Rd, Kal, MT 59920
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Matt Regier
ADDRESS: 134 Barron Way, Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Amy Regel

ADDRESS: 2201 Bucky Duck Dr. Kalispell
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Colene Regier

ADDRESS: 1078 Stillwater Road Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Katie Bollweg

ADDRESS: 634 Somers Ave Whitefish, MT

NAME: Katie Bollweg
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Brett Bullen

**ADDRESS:** 634 Smoky Ave. Whitefish MT 59937
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Calvin Ray Orgel

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 783 Whitefish MT
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:** Daniel D. Comerford

**ADDRESS:** 1750 Old Tally Lake Rd.
Whitefish MT 59937
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Angela Berry

ADDRESS: 541 Vonderheide Ln, Kalispell, MT 59901
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

Although most of the residents in Yellowstone are part of the city of Billings, not all of them are. There are distinctions between urban, suburban and rural areas. I believe the urban-rural map recognizes these differences and keeps communities within the county and city together. It preserves traditional neighborhoods, follows subdivisions like schools districts, and has compact and contiguous districts.

Two other proposed maps, the Existing District Map and the Lamson-Smith Democrat plan are both poor choices for the city of Billings and Yellowstone County. Both have very non-compact districts. Both cut through and across neighborhoods and communities within the city. Both were developed at one point in time by the Montana Democratic party as a way of bolstering their chances in legislative elections. The commission should reject these two maps as bad starting points for a new map. Political data and election results should not play a role in the development of legislative lines.

If you pay attention to neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other political subdivisions, both parties should have a reasonable chance of electing voters. The key is having candidates that work hard and reach out to voters rather than drawing districts where the outcomes are certain to go to one party or the other.

Sincerely,

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 5541 Gene Sarazen Dr.
Billings, MT 59106

EMAIL: [Email Address]
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Chad Cahoon

ADDRESS: 105 West Run Kalispell MT 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

**NAME:**

[Signature]

**ADDRESS:** 804 67th Ave. E. Kalispell MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 521 3rd Ave E, Kalispell 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: [Address information]
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Russell Miller  Russell Miller

ADDRESS: 510 Solberg, Kalispell, MT 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Paul W. Roberson

ADDRESS: 110 Rogers Rd, Col. Falls, Mt 59912
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Richard Robinson

ADDRESS: 166 Rogers Rd, Col. Falls, MT 59912
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Ann Black

ADDRESS: 190 Seven Hills Estates, Bigfork
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Charles J. Scantgen

ADDRESS: 265 Fairmont Rd., Kalispell, MT 59901
**Flathead County:** In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

---

**NAME:** Bonnie Kertzel

**ADDRESS:** 440 Peters Creek Way, Kalispell, 59901
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Carolyn M Robinson

ADDRESS: 370 E. Reserve Dr. Kalispell
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Jerry O’Neil

ADDRESS: 985 Walsh Road, Columbia Falls 59912
Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren’t as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 985 Wall Rd Cullman MT 59912