To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Mahria Clark
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 32, Sweetgrass, MT 59454
EMAIL: }
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Elaine Herman
ADDRESS: 1644 Cold Rush Ave
EMAIL: Helena MT 59601
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Claudia Washburn
ADDRESS: 1012 Sandlight Dr
EMAIL: ted@tedwashburn.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Mark Moland
ADDRESS: 1437 Hidden Bay Lane Bismarck, ND 58501
EMAIL: molandfamily@comcast.net
I support fair non-partisan legislative districts!

*One percent or less variation in the size of districts: It's not fair if one representative has to represent 9400 people, and the next one up the road only has 8600. The people in the smaller district get easier access to their government.

*No political data should be used to draw districts. Districts should be drawn to represent people, not partisan politics

*Don't keep the current districts: The current districts divide communities in two, they have wildly varying population sizes — in general they're not unbiased.

Name/Address: Lisa Buseman 2212 Greenough Ct, Missoula, MT 59802
Signature/Date: Lisa Buseman 3/12/2012

*Paid for by the Montana Republican Party, Shirley Warshime, Treasurer, PO Box 935, Helena, MT 59624. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.*
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: John S. Cengwin
ADDRESS: PO Box 1374
          Missoula, MT 59806-1374
E-Mail: johnsangwin@hotmail.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Ethan Heeley
ADDRESS: 2400 Old Fort Rd. Apt 365
Missoula 59804
E-Mail: e.j.heeley@gmail.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Tessa Shannon

ADDRESS: 7182 #2 Uncle Robert Ln.,
Missoula, 59803

E-Mail: Shannon.Tessa@gmail.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Kay Dyna
ADDRESS: PO. BOX 3553
Missoula, Mt. 59806
E-Mail: Kay.Dyna@silversea.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Barbara A. Haines

ADDRESS: 5935 St. Francis Drive
Missoula, MT 59803-2945

E-Mail: bdwindyridge@msn.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:   Dick Haines (Dick Haines)  

ADDRESS:  5955 ST. FRANCIS AV.  
           MISSOULA, MT 59808  

E-Mail:  dickhaines214@msn.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: DAVE MIHALIC

ADDRESS: 908 POLARIS WAY
           MISSOULA, MT 59803

E-Mail: dave@himalic@bresnan.net
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: John Keefe
ADDRESS: 5071 Victor Ct
Missoula, MT 59803
E-Mail: jjskjeeffe.bresnan.net

_ Signature_
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Ashley Keefe
ADDRESS: 5071 Victor Ct
Missoula, MT 59803
E-Mail: jswkeefe@bresnan.net
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Enka Weinstein

ADDRESS: 7800 Grant Creek Rd
Missoula MT 59807

E-Mail: ___________________________
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Dylan Klarmeier

ADDRESS: 4309 Barbara Lane, Missoula, MT 59803

E-Mail: dylan.ke@hotmail.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: John Baker

ADDRESS: 1826 River Road
           Missoula, MT 59801

E-Mail: BAKG24.MISSOULA@GMAIL.COM
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Jeri L. Mihalic
ADDRESS: 908 Polaris Way
          Missoula, MT 59803
E-Mail: Mihalic@GreatMan.net
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:  [Signature]

ADDRESS:  6200 Hidden Lane/PO Box 16446 (for)  Missoula, MT 59808

E-Mail: ssrossiter@q.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:  
ADDRESS:  P.O. Box 3282  
Missoula, MT 59806  
E-Mail:  catully.4@msn.com
Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces “fair and competitive” results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Martin E. Weinstein
ADDRESS: 9800 Grant Creek Rd
E-Mail: martmertLaw@aol.com

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Dale Throm
Address: 600 12th St
Email Address: dale@throm.com
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Jeannine Steinfeldt
Address: 115 4th Ave
Laurel, MT 59044

Email Address: ____________________________

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: George Schueler
Address: 3825 Towhee
Billings, MT 59102

Email Address: gsk61974@yahoo.com
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Shilloy C. Lowe
Address: 1241 Alder Ave
Laurel MT 59044
Email Address: sshilloy@yahoo.com

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Richard Barnatt
Address: 909 Adobe Dr
Billings MT 59105
Email Address: richard@imt.net
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Donna J. Podolak
Address: 1329 Downey Rd
Laurel, MT 59044

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Victoria L. Miller
Address: 1516 Emerick Ln
Laurel, MT 59044

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Helen Halsnes
Address: 1102 8th Ave, Laurel, MT 59044
Email Address: alhalsnes@optimum.net

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Paul Rebuffee
Address: 4167 Heaven's Gate Rd, Butte, MT 59701
Email Address: drambur@juno.com
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Susan Lambur
Address: 5437 Nenassey Rd
Billings MT 59106
Email Address: drambur@juno.com

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Susan Smith
Address: 5522 Billy Casper Dr
Email Address: susanbiglersmith@hotmail.com
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Tracy L. Barndt
Address: 909 Adobe Dr.
Billings, MT 59105
Email Address: tuberndt@hotmail.com

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Max E. Miller
Address: 1544 Emerick Ln
Laurel, MT 59044
Email Address: ____________________________
neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was
developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in
elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead
draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and
other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what
the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair
representation.

Name: M. Diane Hayden
Address: 2031 Downy Rd.
Laurel MT 59040
Email Address: hayden.am1@gmail.com
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Steve Marstaeller
Address: 603 Yellowstone Ave, Billings, MT 59101

Email Address: 

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: H. Russell Toye
Address: 1241 Aspen Ave, Laurel, MT 59044

Email Address: vroosterre@yahoo.com
To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Robert Larson
Address: 2147 Overland Ave, Billings, MT 59102
Email Address: dr.robertlarson@gmail.com

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Name: Stacy Ruth Lillis
Address: 2004 Elm St., Billings, MT 59101
Email Address: stacy.lillis@gmail.com
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Robert G. Conley

Name

590 Swan Hwy

Address

Bigfork MT 59911

City State Zip

To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

The so-called "communities" plan is a poor choice for Yellowstone County. It slices through neighborhoods and communities to draw huge, strangely shaped legislative districts. It was developed by the Montana Democratic party to give their candidates an unfair advantage in elections. I urge the commission to reject the poorly-named "Communities" map and instead draw new districts based on neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other common sense subdivisions. The redistricting process should not be about deciding what the partisan makeup of the legislature should be. It should be about giving the people their fair representation.

Keith Bingham

Name:

PO Box 20874

Address:

Billings MT 59104

Email Address: faithelectricalservice@yahoo.com
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Deanna L Conley
Name

590 Swan Hwy
Address

Bigfork, MT 59911
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

M. Nail Strohschein
Name

552 Capistrano Dr.
Address

Kalispell, MT 59901
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Alice J. Brosten
Name
600 Brosten Ln.
Address
Bigfork MT 59911
City State Zip
5962031706 8001

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Anne M. Brosten
Name
600 Brosten Ln.
Address
Bigfork MT 59911
City State Zip
5962031706 8001

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706

RECEIVED
JUL 31 12
Montana Legislative Services Division
Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706

RECEIVED
DAMAGED BY MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT
Please accept our apology
U.S. Postal Service
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Helen L. Robbins  
Name  
P.O. Box 327  
Address  
Nashua, MT 59248  
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Keith Strohboehm  
Name  
552 Capistrano Dr.  
Address  
Kalispell, MT 59901  
City State Zip
Dear Commissioners,

Please support the Communities Plan for Missoula County. It keeps all small towns intact in the county and recognizes shared urban and suburban communities of interest in the area. The Communities Plan reflects the importance of heavily traveled transportation networks in the region. These transportation networks play an important role in commerce and trade. Like the current districts, the Communities Plan allows Missoula County legislators to listen to diverse interests from within the county, rather than forcing them to narrowly focus on residents from within city limits or solely concentrate on those outside city limits.

[Signature]
4800 MULERIA LANE
MISSOULA, MT 59803

Dear Commissioners,

Please support the Communities Plan for Missoula County. It keeps all small towns intact in the county and recognizes shared urban and suburban communities of interest in the area. The Communities Plan reflects the importance of heavily traveled transportation networks in the region. These transportation networks play an important role in commerce and trade. Like the current districts, the Communities Plan allows Missoula County legislators to listen to diverse interests from within the county, rather than forcing them to narrowly focus on residents from within city limits or solely concentrate on those outside city limits.

[Signature]
205 S 50 ST E
MISSOULA, MT 59801
Dear Commissioners,

Please support the Communities Plan for Missoula County. It keeps all small towns intact in the county and recognizes shared urban and suburban communities of interest in the area. The Communities Plan reflects the importance of heavily traveled transportation networks in the region. These transportation networks play an important role in commerce and trade. Like the current districts, the Communities Plan allows Missoula County legislators to listen to diverse interests from within the county, rather than forcing them to narrowly focus on residents from within city limits or solely concentrate on those outside city limits.

Jennifer Boyer
205 S 5th St E
Missoula, MT 59801

Miles Keay
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Michael J. Kello  
Name  
Address: 2920 St Ann  
City: Billings  
State: MT  
Zip: 59701

Diana Smith  
Name  
Address: 1274 Wilson Ave.  
City: Havre  
State: MT  
Zip: 59501
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Jerome T. Smith
Name
1274 Wilson Ave
Address
N Armenia MT 59501
City State Zip

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

L. F. Hall
Name
PO Box 1070
Address
E. Helena MT 59635
City State Zip

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Elder W. Schumacher
Address: Kalispell, MT 59901
City State Zip

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

John E. Jedlicka
995-Auction RD.
Kalispell, MT 59901

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Mike Pekarski
100 Sioux Street
Fort Peck, MT 59323
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Roger Wagner
Name
PO BOX 408
Address
NASHUA MT 59248
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Robert R Reall
Name
31 Bear Tracks Lane
Address
Trout Creek MT 59874-9620
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Mary Zollars
Address: 5 Riverside Ln
City: Thompson Falls, MT State: MT Zip: 59873

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Gary J. Meyer
Address: PO Box 182
City: Fordell MT State: MT Zip: 59223
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Lonna Derenburger
Name
PO Box 134
Address
Thompson Falls, MT 59873
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Kent Zollars
Name
5 Riverside Ln
Address
Thompson Falls, MT 59873
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: [Signature]
Address: Thompson Falls, MT 59873
City: Thompson Falls State: MT Zip: 59873

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: [Signature]
Address: Helena MT 59620
City: Helena State: MT Zip: 59620

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Montana Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Martha D. Humphreys
Address: P.O. Box 109
City: Nokomis
State: MT
Zip: 59853

Districting & Apportionment Commission
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706

RECEIVED
JUN 14 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division

RECEIVED
JUN 14 2012
Montana Legislative Services Division

Name: Thomas B. Humphreys
Address: P.O. Box 109
City: Nokomis
State: MT
Zip: 59853

Districting & Apportionment Commission
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Name

29 Teams Wheel C7

Address

Helen MT 59230

City State Zip

---

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

[Signature]

Name

34 Beaver Pkoe Road

Address

Helen MT 59844

City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Arthur K. Brown
Name
366 Grain East Rd.
Address
Missoula, MT 59208
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Eleanor Brown
Name
364 Grain East Rd.
Address
Missoula, MT 59208
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Douglas J. Adolphson
Address: PO Box 91
City: Glasgow State: Montana Zip: 59230

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUN 14 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Legislative Services Division</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Bonnie L. Meyer
Address: Box 1162
City: Fort Peck MT Zip: 59223

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUN 14 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Legislative Services Division</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Kurt Wertz
Name
69 Riverfront Drive
Address
Trout R. MT 59874
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Larry Ward
Name
Box 263
Address
Thompson Falls MT 59873
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Jane Ward
Address: Thompson Falls, MT 59873

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Ernest Scherzer
Address: Trout Creek, MT 59874
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Judy Reall

31 Bear Tracks Ln

Mount Creek, MT 59874

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Jean Monroe

905 S. Central

Plano, Mt 59857
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Dorothy Boulton
32 Whitham Dr.
Thompson Falls, MT 59873
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Kathleen M. McKich

June 14, 2012

Montana Legislative Services Division

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Frank Michak

June 14, 2012

Montana Legislative Services Division

Districting & Apportionment Commission
Legislative Services Division
PO Box 201706
Helena, MT 59620-1706
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Laura Wagner
PO Box 408
Nashua MT 59248

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Floyd R. Hopstad
1007 4th Ave North
Glasgow MT 59230
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Craig Anderson
Name
318 Signbird
Address
Glendive MT 59330
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Aldace Fleming
Name
211 River Ave
Address
Glendive MT 59330
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Susan Peterson
Name
300 Cooke
Address
Glenridge MT 59330
City State Zip

Dena Hoff
Name
434 Rd 261
Address
Glenridge MT 59330
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Amy Myran
Name

Sole E. Williams
Address
Glendive MT 59330
City State Zip

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Ikynal J. Schaefer
Name

4924 14th 206
Address
Junction Falls, MT 59823
City State Zip
I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Mark Sheets
Address: Thompson Falls, MT 59873

I support the Communities Plan because it:

- Guarantees our constitutional right of one person, one vote,
- Respects existing communities across Montana, including small towns, rural communities, cities, and suburban areas,
- Keeps intact more small towns than any other proposed plan,
- Provides strong protection of minority voting rights, so American Indian citizens can fully participate in our political process, and
- Creates a fair balance so that no one political party gains monopoly control of the Legislature.

Name: Rowdy Ogden
Address: Seeley Lake, MT 59868