To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Emily Lambert
ADDRESS: 74 Elkhorn #1S Helena, MT 59601
EMAIL: ________________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Max Miller
ADDRESS: 101 Wild Rd, Bozeman
EMAIL: ________________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Boyd Evans
ADDRESS: Box 302, Browning, MT 59417
EMAIL: boyd.evans@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Rick A. Vaught
ADDRESS: 1008 N. 7th Ave. Bozeman, MT
EMAIL: rickvaught@yahoo.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: 424 4th St., Billings, MT 59101
EMAIL: kevin@kevinjohnsonmt.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Edith Clark
ADDRESS: PO Box 34, Sweet Grass, MT 59484
EMAIL: cyclone@vermontco.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Phil Caradan, MD
ADDRESS: 2944 Snow Chief Dr, Whitefish, MT 59937
EMAIL: drcaradan@mac.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Roger Steerman
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 673 Yellowstone Trail
EMAIL: rsteerman@q.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME:  

ADDRESS: 355 Gold Creek Rd, Helena MT  

EMAIL:  

[Signature]
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: 2400 11th St. NE Apt. 365
EMAIL: [Email]
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Dan Salmon
ADDRESS: 42470 Salmoner Road Rowan MT 59924
EMAIL: ____________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME:  Adele L. Hall
ADDRESS:  Bx 5234 - Missoula, MT 59055
EMAIL:  hilandque@midrivers.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: John R. Swanz
ADDRESS: 137 Big Careless Creek Rd., Judith Gap, MT
EMAIL: 
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Sheila Cook
ADDRESS: 1400 Clarkia lane, Missoula, MT 59802
EMAIL: makesmt@msn.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Jean O'Hara
ADDRESS: 2221 Holly St, Great Falls, MT 59401
EMAIL: jessica5440@ymail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: David Hart
ADDRESS: 80 Box 79607, Kalispell, 59904
EMAIL: davidc@bravehost.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Karen Hollandsworth
ADDRESS: 1463 Reserve Dr. Brady MT 59416
EMAIL: hgraner@3rivers.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Ron Fick
ADDRESS: P.O.Box 1391, Dillon MT 59725
EMAIL: RGFICK@AOL.COM
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: 1519 W. Kendrick, Hamilton, MT.

EMAIL:
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: 201 E Lineole #105 Helena MT 59601
EMAIL: [Signature]

NAME: Mark Perea
ADDRESS: 201 E Lineole #105 Helena MT 59601
EMAIL: gma.
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Shelby F. Demars (shelby f. demars)
ADDRESS: 201 12th Street B
EMAIL: sdemars@montanagroup.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: John Eyde
ADDRESS: 121 Mt View, Butte, MT 59701
EMAIL: john.eyde@yahoo.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Vindell Jackson
ADDRESS: 555 Wagner Lane, Sacramento
EMAIL: Vjack@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Peggy Miller
ADDRESS: Ray Bob Laurel, MT 59044
EMAIL: ____________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Nathaniel Miller
ADDRESS: 407 Wilda Ln Bozeman MT
EMAIL: ________________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Brandon Shannon
ADDRESS: PO BOX 8 Lolo, MT 59847
EMAIL: BSHANNON@BRESNAN.NET
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: [Address]
EMAIL: [Email]
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Beth Hindman
ADDRESS: 1010 Road 118, Wilbert, MT 59383
EMAIL: bhinek@midriver.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Mary J. McLaughlin
ADDRESS: 586 Little Basin Cr Rd Butte, MT 59701
EMAIL: mmarnicakley@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Janna Taylor
ADDRESS: PO Box 233, Dayton, MT 59914
EMAIL: jannataylor@montana.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Phil D Hill
ADDRESS: Box 5234 Missoula, Mont.
EMAIL: __________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Åsa frame Murray
ADDRESS: 2308 Hwy 59 S, Miles City, MT. 59301
EMAIL: MRCATTLE @ midrivers.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Will Biggs
ADDRESS: 2374 Peregrine ct Missoula MT 59808
EMAIL: willbiggs@brennan.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Gilda M. Jackson
ADDRESS: 555 Wagner Lane Kalispell 59901
EMAIL: Vjack@centurytel.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: William Brown
ADDRESS: 14 C. 91 E. Cut Bank, MT. 59427
EMAIL: ________________________________
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Edwin Johnson

ADDRESS: 60 Mule Heron Road, Missoula, MT 59801

EMAIL: edwin@montanaquide.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Liz Bangerter
ADDRESS: 34119 Blackhawk, Helena MT
EMAIL: lb4house@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Lindsey Clancy
ADDRESS: Bay 56 / Clancy
EMAIL: water@lindseydrilling.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: 240 Hoyt Rd Culbertson, MT 59427
EMAIL: [Signature]
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Mike Melbourne
ADDRESS: 276 Chestnut Valley Cascade MT 59911
EMAIL: MMelburn@men. net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Rodney Stockton
ADDRESS: 1430 Boston Rd. Helena, MT
EMAIL:
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Dorothy Ashcraft
ADDRESS: 24723 Evening Star Ln. Bigfork 59911
EMAIL: dashcraft49@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Phil Olson  Phil Olson
ADDRESS: Box 545  Bozeman, MT 59741
EMAIL: pu051039@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: William Ries
ADDRESS: 6880 Scotch Grass Dr. Helena MT 59602
EMAIL: WRCRuta@att.net
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Kimberly Ries
ADDRESS: 6880 Scratchgrovel Dr Helena, MT 59602
EMAIL: willandkimberlyries@q.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Greg White
ADDRESS: 627 Maple St, Great Falls, MT 59634
EMAIL: GregWhite@Gmail.com.
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Dave P. Halvorson

ADDRESS: 704 3rd St SE Sidney MT 59270

EMAIL: dphalvorson4th37@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME:  

ADDRESS:  

EMAIL:  

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME:  

ADDRESS: 2085 Beacon

EMAIL: shelleenell@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Carl Glimm
ADDRESS: 5107 Ashley Lakefield Kila, MT 59920
EMAIL: cgl@glimmhomes.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature] 10-71
ADDRESS: Box 191 Harrison, MT 59735
EMAIL: [Signature] 10-01
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Jay Bennett
ADDRESS: 784 Taylor Rd Libby MT 59923
EMAIL: jbrewd1@hotmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Darlyne Olson
ADDRESS: 13 Box 545 Manhattan, MT 59741
EMAIL: STRAWBERRY38@GMAIL.COM
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Philip Barnes
ADDRESS: 40491 Melita Island Rd. Wilson, MT 59086
EMAIL:bernowitz@yahoo.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Donald W Richmond
ADDRESS: Box 774 Harlem, MT 59526
EMAIL: don@richmondinsurance.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: H. Elwood English
ADDRESS: 5014 Dallard Dr., #1 Billings
EMAIL: Elwood.english@msn.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Champ Edmunds
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 17612 - Missouri, MO 5908
EMAIL: champ.edmunds@yahoo.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Rolland W. Karlin
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 113, 716 Stock St. Big Timber, MT 59011
EMAIL: rollandk@hotmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Rita A. Richman
ADDRESS: Box 774, Harlem, MT 59526
EMAIL: don@richmaninsurance.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME:  Chris PerkeTT
ADDRESS: PO Box 305, Forsyth, MT 59327
EMAIL: ChrisPerkeTT@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Mike Hang
ADDRESS: PO Box 169, Malta, MT 59538
EMAIL: nax.mel@its.terangle.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: John Pius
ADDRESS: 941 East Ln. Libby, MT 59923
EMAIL: Cabinetviewers@gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Bob CLIfford Torg
ADDRESS: 83 Brandywine St, Falls
EMAIL: clifford@q.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: Carl Bangerter
ADDRESS: 3419 Blackhawk Helena, MT 59602
EMAIL: Carl@whitings.org
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: David Moore
ADDRESS: 114 W Central Ave
EMAIL: Moore for Montana @ Gmail.com
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party's perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME: [Signature]
ADDRESS: Box 1040, Malta, MT 59538
EMAIL: [Blank]
To the Districting and Apportionment Commission:

As you start the process of drawing lines, it is important for you to keep your criteria in mind. Hundreds of Montanans have participated in the public input process, and it is essential for you to incorporate as much of the non-partisan concepts into your final map as possible.

One of the proposed maps, the so-called Communities Map should be discarded because it seeks to give one party undue advantages over another. Crafted by the Montana Democratic Party, that map does not follow the criteria you have set out in your rules. It overpopulates Republican leaning areas while under-populating Democrat areas, it has several districts that are not compact, it ignores counties as communities of interest, and too often blends urban, suburban and rural areas without respecting the difference each of those areas has. They were produced in conjunction with political data and voting preferences to engineer the best results for the Democrats.

Another map that should be discarded is the Existing Districts Map. It is a modified version of the map that was produced by the Montana Democrat Party ten years ago with little to no input from Republicans, independents and non-partisans. Redistricting should include more than one party’s perspectives and ideas.

The other maps, as well as the several regional or local maps produced by counties and cities, should be the basis for the new map. The Urban-Rural, Deviation, and Subdivision plans all contain good ideas based on your criteria, not partisan agendas. They were produced from start to finish by non-partisan legislative staffers. I hope that the final map achieves an overall deviation of less than one percent, stays more compact than the current map, maintains strong voting districts according to the Voting Rights Act, keeps most small counties together, and incorporates most of the non-partisan suggestions from the public comment.

Thank you for your work on this important project. I look forward to watching your progress as you complete your work.

NAME:  Sindy Barney
ADDRESS:  40991 Melaleuca Tr AC Polson MT 59860
EMAIL:  sbarney@yahoo.com