

MONTANA ADMINISTRATIVE REGISTER

ISSUE NO. 1

The Montana Administrative Register (MAR or Register), a twice-monthly publication, has three sections. The Proposal Notice Section contains state agencies' proposed new, amended, or repealed rules; the rationale for the change; date and address of public hearing; and where written comments may be submitted. The Rule Adoption Section contains final rule notices which show any changes made since the proposal stage. All rule actions are effective the day after print publication of the adoption notice unless otherwise specified in the final notice. The Interpretation Section contains the Attorney General's opinions and state declaratory rulings. Special notices and tables are found at the end of each Register.

Inquiries regarding the rulemaking process, including material found in the Montana Administrative Register and the Administrative Rules of Montana, may be made by calling the Secretary of State's Office, Administrative Rules Services, at (406) 444-2055.

Page Number

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROPOSAL NOTICE SECTION

EDUCATION, Department of, Title 10

10-1-127 (Office of Public Instruction) Notice of Negotiated Rulemaking - Soliciting Applications for Membership on a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee to Develop K-12 Science Content Standards and Performance Indicators. 1-3

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, Department of, Title 17

17-381 (Solid Waste Management) Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Amendment - Transportation. 4-5

LIVESTOCK, Department of, Title 32

32-15-268 Notice of Public Hearing - Licensee Assessments. 6-7

NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION, Department of, Title 36

36-22-191 (Board of Land Commissioners and the Department) Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Amendment - Grizzly Bear Conservation Measures on the Stillwater and Coal Creek State Forests. 8-12

REVENUE, Department of, Title 42

42-2-947 Notice of Public Hearing on Proposed Amendment -
Universal System Benefits Programs. 13-16

RULE ADOPTION SECTION

COMMERCE, Department of, Title 8

8-2-139 Notice of Amendment - Administration of the Quality
Schools Grant Program. 17

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, Department of, Title 17

17-377 (Noise and Air Contaminants) Notice of Repeal -
Occupational Noise - Occupational Air Contaminants. 18

LABOR AND INDUSTRY, Department of, Title 24

24-301-308 Notice of Amendment, Adoption, and Repeal -
Modifications to the International Building Code Applicable to
Department and Local Government Code Enforcement -
Incorporation by Reference of International Swimming Pool and
Spa Code - Adoption by Reference of ARM 37.111.1115 Review of
Plans. 19

PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, Department of, Title 37

37-733 Notice of Amendment - Updating Hospice Reimbursement
Fee Schedules to Reflect New Federal Rates. 20

37-734 Notice of Amendment - Updating the Big Sky Rx Maximum
Premiums to Match the Federal Monthly Benefit Benchmark. 21

REVENUE, Department of, Title 42

42-2-931 Notice of Adoption, Amendment, and Repeal -
Fiduciaries - Estates - Trusts. 22-27

SECRETARY OF STATE, Office of, Title 44

44-2-207 (Commissioner of Political Practices) Notice of Adoption,
Transfer, Transfer and Amendment, and Repeal - Campaign
Finance Reporting, Disclosure, and Practices. 28-80

SECRETARY OF STATE, Continued

44-2-207 (State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee) Notice of Interim Committee Poll of the Legislature on Proposed Rule Action by the Commissioner of Political Practices - Campaign Finance Reporting, Disclosure, and Practices. 81-89

44-2-213 Notice of Amendment - Fees Charged by the Records and Information Management Division. 90

SPECIAL NOTICE AND TABLE SECTION

Function of Administrative Rule Review Committee. 91-92

How to Use ARM and MAR. 93

Accumulative Table. 94-104

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of soliciting applications) NOTICE OF NEGOTIATED
for membership on a negotiated) RULEMAKING
rulemaking committee to develop K-)
12 science content standards and)
performance indicators)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. The Office of Public Instruction intends to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to develop and amend Board of Public Education rules relating to K-12 content standards and performance indicators for science and to consult on the preparation of an economic impact statement. This negotiated rulemaking process is required by 20-7-101, MCA, for any rules relating to standards of accreditation.

2. The proposed rules will establish K-12 grade level content and performance standards for science.

3. Interests that are likely to be significantly affected by the proposed rules are those related to Montana K-12 public schools of all sizes.

4. The individuals proposed to represent state agencies on the negotiated rulemaking committee are: Jael Prezeau, Office of Public Instruction, Content Standards and Instruction Division Administrator and Peter Donovan, Board of Public Education, Executive Director.

5. The agency is seeking applications from interested parties to serve on the committee. The agency will seek individuals likely to be significantly affected by the proposed rules, including individuals from the following groups: school district trustees, K-12 school administrators, K-12 teachers, higher education faculty, school business officials, parents, and taxpayers. Members of the committee will be selected based on the following criteria:

- cultural diversity
- geography
- science content experience
- district and school size
- grade levels served

6. The proposed working schedule for the negotiated rulemaking committee is as follows:

(a) On January 8, 2016, this notice will be published in the Montana Administrative Register (MAR). The notice will also be mailed to persons known to

the agency to have an interest in this matter.

(b) Applications for membership on the negotiated rulemaking committee must be received no later than January 29, 2016. After receipt and consideration of the comments and applications, the agency will establish a negotiated rulemaking committee no later than February 19, 2016. The members selected to serve on the committee must be able to adequately represent the interests of the persons that will be significantly affected by the proposed rules. The committee members will be notified in writing of their selection and receive an information packet.

(c) The negotiated rulemaking committee will convene its first meeting in March or April of 2016. Teleconferencing and e-mail correspondence will be utilized as much as possible. The committee will begin with an initial draft of amendments to current Board of Public Education content standards and performance indicators for science at this meeting.

(d) If the negotiated rulemaking committee is successful in achieving a consensus on the proposed rules, the committee will transmit a report to the agency specifying the areas in which the committee has reached consensus and the issues that remain unresolved.

(e) Thereafter the Superintendent of Public Instruction will develop recommendations and present them to the Board of Public Education for formal rulemaking.

7. Any individual or entity interested in applying for or nominating another person for membership on the committee must submit the following information in writing to Jael Prezeau, jprezeau@mt.gov, Office of Public Instruction, P.O. Box 202501, Helena, Montana 59620, no later than January 29, 2016:

(a) the person's name or the nominee's name, address, and contact information including telephone or e-mail address;

(b) evidence that the person or nominee represents any of the specific criteria of interest groups listed above;

(c) the name of the school district in which the nominee lives or works, and the relationship of the person or nominee to it;

(d) a commitment that the person or nominee will be able to participate in the negotiated rulemaking process and will actively participate in good faith in the development of the rules under consideration; and

(e) the ability of the person or nominee to cover committee participation costs (such as telephone calls, travel, and per diem expenses).

8. Interested parties may submit their views and comments concerning the proposed negotiated rulemaking process to Beverly Marlow, Office of Public Instruction, P.O. Box 202501, Helena, Montana 59620, faxed to (406) 444-2893, or electronic mail to bemarlow@mt.gov no later than February 8, 2016.

9. The agency proposes to limit the size of the negotiated rulemaking committee to no more than fifteen persons and two "alternate" members selected in the event a member is unable to participate. However, after receipt of comments and applications, the agency may determine that a smaller or larger number is necessary to adequately represent the interests of the persons significantly affected

by the proposed rules. The selected committee members may represent other parties or agencies that have a significant relationship with Montana schools.

10. The agency will make reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities who wish to participate on the committee. If you require an accommodation, please advise the agency of the nature of the accommodation you need when applying for membership on the committee.

11. Please note the following concerning the process of negotiated rulemaking:

(a) "Interest" for the purpose of this process means multiple parties that have similar points of view or that are likely to be affected in a similar manner in relationship to matters affected by the rule(s) (2-5-103(5), MCA).

(b) Negotiated rulemaking is not a substitute for the public notification and participation requirements of the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, and a consensus agreement by a negotiated rulemaking committee may be modified by an agency as a result of the subsequent rulemaking process (2-5-102, MCA).

12. The specific grant of rulemaking authority authorizing the Board of Public Education to adopt the proposed rules is found in 20-7-101, MCA. The proposed rules will implement Title 20, chapter 7, part 1, MCA.

/s/ Ann Gilkey
Ann Gilkey
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Denise Juneau
Denise Juneau, Superintendent
Office of Public Instruction

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of ARM)
17.50.523 pertaining to transportation)
)
) (SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On February 1, 2016, at 9:30 a.m., the Department of Environmental Quality will hold a public hearing in Room 111, Metcalf Building, 1520 East Sixth Avenue, Helena, Montana, to consider the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule.

2. The department will make reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities who wish to participate in this public hearing or need an alternative accessible format of this notice. If you require an accommodation, contact Elois Johnson, Paralegal, no later than 5:00 p.m., January 18, 2016, to advise us of the nature of the accommodation that you need. Please contact Elois Johnson at Department of Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620-0901; phone (406) 444-2630; fax (406) 444-4386; or e-mail ejohnson@mt.gov.

3. The rule proposed to be amended provides as follows, stricken matter interlined, new matter underlined:

17.50.523 TRANSPORTATION (1) Solid waste must be transported in such a manner so as to prevent its discharge, dumping, spilling, or leaking from the transport vehicle.

(2) Waste haulers transporting oilfield exploration and production waste must cover and secure loads and keep loads covered and secure while in transit in a manner that prevents discharge, dumping, spilling, or leaking from the transport vehicle.

AUTH: 75-10-204, MCA

IMP: 75-10-204, MCA

REASON: The proposed amendment to ARM 17.50.523 makes coverage mandatory for loads containing oilfield exploration and production waste while in transit. The department has a greater concern for this type of solid waste because the geologic formations that contain oil and gas deposits also contain naturally occurring radionuclides, which are referred to as naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM). Because the extraction process concentrates the naturally occurring radionuclides and exposes them to the surface environment and human contact, these wastes are classified as technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive material (TENORM). TENORM may be harmful to human health and the environment if not properly managed. This amendment is necessary to ensure

proper management of oilfield exploration and production waste during transit.

4. Concerned persons may submit their data, views, or arguments, either orally or in writing, at the hearing. Written data, views, or arguments may also be submitted to Elois Johnson, Paralegal, Department of Environmental Quality, 1520 E. Sixth Avenue, P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620-0901; faxed to (406) 444-4386; or e-mailed to ejohnson@mt.gov, no later than 5:00 p.m., February 5, 2016. To be guaranteed consideration, mailed comments must be postmarked on or before that date.

5. Paul Nicol, attorney for the Department of Environmental Quality, has been designated to preside over and conduct the hearing.

6. The department maintains a list of interested persons who wish to receive notices of rulemaking actions proposed by this agency. Persons who wish to have their name added to the list shall make a written request that includes the name, e-mail, and mailing address of the person to receive notices and specifies that the person wishes to receive notices regarding: air quality; hazardous waste/waste oil; asbestos control; water/wastewater treatment plant operator certification; solid waste; junk vehicles; infectious waste; public water supplies; public sewage systems regulation; hard rock (metal) mine reclamation; major facility siting; opencut mine reclamation; strip mine reclamation; subdivisions; renewable energy grants/loans; wastewater treatment or safe drinking water revolving grants and loans; water quality; CECRA; underground/above ground storage tanks; MEPA; or general procedural rules other than MEPA. Notices will be sent by e-mail unless a mailing preference is noted in the request. Such written request may be mailed or delivered to Elois Johnson, Paralegal, Department of Environmental Quality, 1520 E. Sixth Ave., P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620-0901, faxed to the office at (406) 444-4386, e-mailed to Elois Johnson at ejohnson@mt.gov; or may be made by completing a request form at any rules hearing held by the department.

7. The bill sponsor contact requirements of 2-4-302, MCA, do not apply.

8. With regard to the requirements of 2-4-111, MCA, the department has determined that the amendment of the above-referenced rule will not significantly and directly impact small businesses.

Reviewed by:

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

/s/ John F. North
JOHN F. NORTH
Rule Reviewer

BY: /s/ Tom Livers
TOM LIVERS, Director

Certified to the Secretary of State, December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF LIVESTOCK
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of) NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
ARM 32.2.406 pertaining to licensee)
assessments)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On November 12, 2015, the Department of Livestock published MAR Notice No. 32-15-268 pertaining to the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule at page 2017 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 21.

2. The notice of proposed agency action is amended as follows because the required number of persons has requested a public hearing.

3. On January 28, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., the Department of Livestock will hold a public hearing in the auditorium at the Scott Hart Building, 302 N. Roberts, Helena, Montana, to consider the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule.

4. The Department of Livestock will make reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities who wish to participate in the rulemaking process and need an alternative accessible format of this notice. If you require an accommodation, contact the Department of Livestock no later than 5:00 p.m., January 21, 2016, to advise us of the nature of the accommodation that you need. Please contact Executive Officer, Department of Livestock, 301 N. Roberts St., Room 308, P.O. Box 202001, Helena, MT 59620-2001; telephone: (406) 444-9525; TTD number: 1 (800) 253-4091; fax: (406) 444-4316; e-mail: MDOLcomments@mt.gov.

5. Concerned persons may submit their data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed action either orally or in writing at the hearing. Written data, views, or arguments may also be submitted to Executive Officer, Department of Livestock, 301 N. Roberts St., Room 308, P.O. Box 202001, Helena, MT 59620-2001, by faxing to (406) 444-1929, or by e-mailing to MDOLcomments@mt.gov to be received no later than 5:00 p.m., February 5, 2015.

6. Cinda Young-Eichenfels, Department of Livestock, has been designated to preside over and conduct this hearing.

7. The department maintains a list of interested persons who wish to receive notices of rulemaking actions proposed by this agency. Persons who wish to have their name added to the list shall make a written request that includes the name, e-mail, and mailing address of the person to receive notices and specifies for which program the person wishes to receive notices. Notices will be sent by e-mail unless a mailing preference is noted in the request. Such written request may be mailed or delivered to the contact person in 5 above or may be made by completing a request form at any rules hearing held by the department.

8. An electronic copy of this notice of public hearing is available through the Secretary of State's web site at <http://sos.mt.gov/ARM/Register>. The Secretary of State strives to make the electronic copy of the notice conform to the official version of the notice, as printed in the Montana Administrative Register, but advises all concerned persons that in the event of a discrepancy between the official printed text of the notice and the electronic version of the notice, only the official printed text will be considered. In addition, although the Secretary of State works to keep its web site accessible at all times, concerned persons should be aware that the web site may be unavailable during some periods, due to system maintenance or technical problems.

BY: /s/ Cinda Young-Eichenfels
Cinda Young-Eichenfels
Rule Reviewer

BY: /s/ Martin Zaluski
Martin Zaluski
Interim Executive Officer
Board of Livestock
Department of Livestock

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF LAND COMMISSIONERS AND
THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
AND CONSERVATION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of ARM)
36.11.432 and 36.11.470 regarding)
grizzly bear conservation measures on)
the Stillwater and Coal Creek State)
Forests)

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
ON PROPOSED AMENDMENT

To: All Concerned Persons

1. The Department of Natural Resources and Conservation will hold a public hearing at 4:00 p.m. on Thursday, January 28, 2016, at the Forestry Division Headquarters, Clark Fork Conference Room, 2705 Spurgin Road, Missoula, Montana, 59804, to consider the amendment of the above-stated rules.

2. The department will make reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities who wish to participate in this rulemaking process or need an alternative accessible format of this notice. If you require an accommodation, contact the agency no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, January 25, 2016, to advise the department of the nature of the accommodation that you need. Please contact Gary Frank, DNRC Forest Management Bureau, 2705 Spurgin Road, Missoula, MT 59804-3199; telephone (406) 542-4328, fax (406) 542-4217, e-mail gfrank@mt.gov.

3. The rules as proposed to be amended provide as follows, new matter underlined, deleted matter interlined:

36.11.432 GRIZZLY BEAR MANAGEMENT ON BLOCKED STILLWATER UNIT LANDS (1) To minimize adverse impacts to grizzly bears when conducting forest management activities within the 90,517 acres of land area known as the Stillwater Block, as referenced in the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Forested State Trust Lands Habitat Conservation Plan (DNRC HCP) the department shall:

(a) establish seven security zones totaling 22,007 acres;

(b) comply with the following restrictions within the established seven security zones:

(i) prohibit motorized activities, including public, administrative, or commercial forest management, during the grizzly bear "non-denning period" each year (see ARM 36.11.403(46) for "non-denning" definition);

(ii) permit motorized activities during the grizzly bear "denning period" each year (see ARM 36.11.403(22) for "denning" definition);

(iii) allow commercial forest management activities below 6,300 feet elevation during the denning period of each year;

(iv) prohibit any permanent road construction;

(v) construct and reclaim any temporary roads and/or skid trails in a manner preventing future use by motorized vehicles, including off-road vehicles, during the non-denning period;

(vi) minimize the duration of air- and ground-based harvest activities to the extent practicable when conducting commercial forest management activities near identified security zones during the non-denning period, particularly in known areas of seasonal importance for bears;

(vii) minimize the duration of administrative activities near security zones to the extent practicable;

(viii) make efforts to design helicopter flight routes in a manner that avoids and/or minimizes flight time across security zones during the non-denning period, and/or known seasonally secure areas;

(ix) where practicable, design flight paths to occur greater than one mile from potentially affected security zones during the non-denning period, or areas of known seasonal importance;

(x) permit short-term disturbance, subject to (vi) and (vii), in any security zone at any time and for the necessary duration to address road sedimentation issues required by the Aquatic Conservation Strategies in the DNRC HCP and ARM 36.11.421; and

(c) comply with the following measures on the remaining 68,510 acres of blocked lands outside of security zones:

(i) implement access management and seasonal restrictions, and road construction requirements according to the DNRC HCP Transportation Plan measures that apply to Class B Lands as defined in the DNRC HCP; and

(ii) allow motorized public activities, commercial forest management activities, and administrative activities during the denning and non-denning periods, as allowed by the DNRC HCP Transportation Plan.

~~(1) The department shall adhere to the following when conducting forest management activities on blocked Stillwater unit lands (Stillwater and Coal Creek state forests) within the Northern Continental Divide ecosystem (NCDE):~~

~~(a) Use BMU and BMU subunits for analysis purposes where applicable.~~

~~(b) Conduct road density estimates using standardized techniques accepted by the interagency grizzly bear committee, NCDE subcommittee, or other techniques approved by the forest management bureau chief.~~

~~(c) Design projects to result in no net increase in the proportion of each BMU subunit (trust lands only) that exceeds an open road density of one mile per square mile from baseline levels calculated in 1996.~~

~~(i) In the event a road is encountered that is not in the existing baseline, and evidence suggests the road existed prior to 1996, the road would be added to the 1996 baseline data and revised baseline levels would be calculated. This shall apply only during the non-denning period.~~

~~(ii) The department may allow temporary increases in road density above 1996 baseline levels for each BMU subunit upon approval by the forest management bureau chief. In such situations, the department shall apply alternative methods to minimize impacts on grizzly bears to the maximum extent practicable.~~

~~(d) Design projects to result in no net decrease from baseline levels calculated in 1996 in the proportion of each BMU subunit (trust lands only) designated as security core. The department shall map security core areas. Security core areas shall remain intact for periods approximating 10 years, to the extent practicable.~~

~~(i) The department may allow temporary decreases in security core below 1996 baseline levels for each BMU subunit upon approval by the forest management bureau chief. In such situations, the department shall apply alternative methods to minimize the impacts on grizzly bears to the maximum extent practicable.~~

~~(e) For project-related activities that would occur within or immediately adjacent to security core areas, make efforts to conduct human activities during the denning period (November 16 to March 31). The department shall construct temporary roads and skid trails to prevent future use by motorized vehicles during the non-denning period after completion of project-related activities.~~

~~(f) When conducting project activities in or near identified security core areas during the non-denning period, minimize the duration of air and ground-based harvest activities to the extent practicable, particularly in known areas of seasonal importance for bears.~~

~~(i) The department shall make efforts to design helicopter flight routes in a manner that avoids and/or minimizes flight time across security core areas and/or known seasonally secure areas.~~

~~(ii) Where practicable, the department shall design flight paths to occur greater than one mile from potentially affected core areas or areas of known seasonal importance.~~

~~(g) Where procedures are lacking and to the extent practicable, use published information, professional judgment, and available technology to locate and provide for secure areas of known seasonal importance for displaced bears where displacement risk is deemed high. Where feasible, the department may expand security core areas with additional buffers and/or temporary road restrictions to reduce temporary losses of effective security core area.~~

~~(h) Calculate total road density for analysis purposes and make efforts to reduce total road density to the extent practicable.~~

~~(i) Consider seasonal closures and activity restrictions for mitigating proposed actions.~~

~~(j) Monitor road closures annually for effectiveness and make necessary repairs within one operating season.~~

~~(k) Retain no less than 40 percent of any BMU subunit (trust lands only) in hiding cover. In situations beyond department control where disturbances may temporarily reduce hiding cover within a BMU subunit, the department shall make efforts to minimize further reductions of hiding cover.~~

~~(l) To provide additional security for grizzly bears, retain cover that provides visual screening adjacent to open roads, where practicable.~~

~~(m) Prohibit contractors and purchasers conducting contract operations from carrying firearms while operating.~~

AUTH: 77-1-202, 77-1-209, 77-5-201, 77-5-204, MCA
IMP: 77-5-116, 77-5-204, 77-5-206, 77-5-207, MCA

36.11.470 LANDS SUBJECT TO A HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

(1) For trust land parcels subject to a ~~habitat conservation plan~~ DNRC HCP contractually entered by the department and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) pursuant to Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the department shall implement the terms of the ~~habitat conservation plan~~ DNRC HCP.

(2) If there are conflicts between the conservation strategies in the ~~habitat conservation plan~~ DNRC HCP and the administrative rules for forest management in this subchapter, the department shall implement the terms of the ~~habitat conservation plan~~ DNRC HCP, except for ARM 36.11.432 Grizzly Bear Management on Blocked Stillwater Unit Lands. ARM 36.11.432 shall supersede the DNRC HCP where it conflicts with the DNRC HCP.

AUTH: 77-1-202, 77-1-209, 77-5-201, 77-5-204, MCA

IMP: ~~77-1-202, 77-1-203, 77-1-301, 77-5-103, 77-5-116, 77-5-204, 77-5-206, 77-5-207, MCA~~

REASONABLE NECESSITY: The amendments to these rules are reasonably necessary as a requirement of a settlement agreement approved October 9, 2015, in U.S. District Court, *Friends of the Wild Swan et al. v. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service et al.*, Case No. CV-13-61-M-DWM. The judge approved a settlement agreement negotiated between the DNRC and the plaintiffs concerning the DNRC HCP. Included in the terms of the settlement agreement and court order was the requirement for DNRC to promulgate the conservation measures set forth in the settlement agreement into DNRC administrative rules within six months of the date of the entry of the order. Implementing these measures establishes security zones to minimize adverse impacts on grizzly bears when conducting forest management activities. As per the settlement agreement, these rules replace commitments GB-ST2, GB-ST3, GB-ST5 (3.) and (4.) in the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Habitat Conservation Plan.

4. Concerned persons may submit their data, views, or arguments, either orally or in writing, at the hearing. Written data, views, or arguments may also be submitted to Gary Frank, 2705 Spurgin Road, Missoula, MT 59804-3199; telephone (406) 542-4328; fax (406) 542-4217; or e-mailed to gfrank@mt.gov, and must be received no later than 5:00 pm on Friday, February 5, 2016.

5. Gary Frank, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, has been designated to preside over and conduct the public hearing.

6. An electronic copy of this notice of public hearing on proposed adoption is available through the department's web site at <http://www.dnrc.mt.gov>. The department strives to make the electronic copy of this notice of public hearing on proposed amendment conform to the official version of the notice, as printed in the Montana Administrative Register, but advises all concerned persons that in the

event of a discrepancy between the official printed text of the notice and the electronic version of the notice, only the official printed text will be considered.

7. The department maintains a list of interested persons who wish to receive notices of rulemaking actions proposed by this agency. Persons who wish to have their name added to the list shall make a written request that includes the name, e-mail, and mailing address of the person to receive notices and specifies that the person wishes to receive notices regarding conservation districts and resource development, forestry, oil and gas conservation, trust land management, water resources, or a combination thereof. Notices will be sent by e-mail unless a mailing preference is noted in the request. Such written request may be mailed or delivered to Lucy Richards, P.O. Box 201601, 1625 Eleventh Avenue, Helena, MT 59620; fax (406) 444-2684; e-mail lrichards@mt.gov; or may be made by completing a request form at any rules hearing held by the department.

8. The bill sponsor contact requirements of 2-4-302, MCA, do not apply.

9. With regard to the requirements of 2-4-111, MCA, the department has determined that the amendment of the above-referenced rules will not significantly and directly impact small businesses.

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

/s/ John E. Tubbs
JOHN E. TUBBS
Director
Natural Resources and Conservation

/s/ Mark Phares
MARK PHARES
Rule Reviewer

Certified to the Secretary of State on December 28, 2015

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of) NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON
ARM 42.29.101, 42.29.102, and) PROPOSED AMENDMENT
42.29.103 pertaining to universal)
system benefits programs)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On February 1, 2016, at 1:30 p.m., the Department of Revenue will hold a public hearing in the Third Floor Reception Area Conference Room of the Sam W. Mitchell Building, located at 125 North Roberts, Helena, Montana, to consider the proposed amendment of the above-stated rules. The conference room is most readily accessed by entering through the east doors of the building facing Sanders Street.

2. The Department of Revenue will make reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities who wish to participate in this public hearing or need an alternative accessible format of this notice. If you require an accommodation, advise the department of the nature of the accommodation needed no later than 5 p.m. on January 22, 2016. Contact Laurie Logan, Department of Revenue, Director's Office, P.O. Box 7701, Helena, Montana 59604-7701; telephone (406) 444-7905; fax (406) 444-3696; or e-mail lalogan@mt.gov.

3. The rules proposed to be amended provide as follows, new matter underlined, deleted matter interlined:

42.29.101 DEFINITIONS The following definitions apply to this chapter:

(1) through (12) remain the same.

(13) "Universal system benefits (USB)" programs" means public purpose programs for:

(a) through (f) remain the same.

AUTH: 69-8-413, MCA

IMP: 69-8-402, MCA

REASON: The department proposes amending ARM 42.29.101 to change the term being defined in (13) to match with the term currently used in statute and in practice by the department and to add the commonly referenced acronym "USB" to the term being defined.

42.29.102 PURPOSE (1) Universal system benefits (USB) programs (~~USBP~~) credit and expenditure rules are designed to help utilities, cooperatives, large customers, the state ~~USBP~~ USB programs fund administrator, and the general public ensure that money generated through a universal system benefits non-by-passable charge produces public purpose benefits.

(2) There may be activities, programs, or expenditures that are not addressed within the rules that qualify for credits or expenditures. Activities, programs, or expenditures identified in the rules are not intended to be all inclusive. An omission in the rules in no way implies or intends to affect credit amounts.

AUTH: 69-8-413, MCA

IMP: 69-8-103, 69-8-501, MCA

REASON: The department proposes amending ARM 42.29.102 to change USBP to USB, in (1), to match with the acronym used in statute and in practice by the department. The department further proposes amending the structure of the sentences in (2) by adding serial commas, for better clarity.

42.29.103 CLAIM PROCEDURE ANNUAL REPORTING AND PENALTIES

(1) Annual USB programs summary reports required pursuant to 69-8-402, MCA, must be filed with the department on or before March 1 of each year. ~~Credits claimed on annual reports filed after March 1 will not be allowed until the subsequent calendar year.~~

(2) The department shall provide written notice to each public utility or large customer claiming the USB credit that fails to submit a USB programs annual summary report by March 1 of each year. Penalties, authorized by 69-8-414, MCA, shall be imposed if the department does not receive a public utility's or large customer's USB programs annual summary report within 20 business days of the date on the department's written notice.

(3) If the department does not receive a USB programs annual summary report from the public utility or large customer claiming the USB credit within 20 business days of the department's written notice, as set forth in (2), the department shall impose a \$1,000 administrative penalty against the public utility or large customer. For each additional 30 days that the public utility or large customer does not file a USB programs annual summary report with the department, an additional \$1,000 administrative penalty, up to a maximum of \$5,000, will be assessed.

(2)(4) Documents submitted by the ~~credit claimant~~ public utility or large customer shall be subject to the department's protective orders regarding confidential or proprietary materials. A ~~credit claimant~~ public utility or large customer claiming confidential or proprietary materials shall move the department for a protective order 30 days in advance of filing the USB programs annual summary report to allow an order to be issued prior to the ~~annual~~ report being filed. The motion for protective order must specify the material sought to be protected and the reason such materials should be considered confidential or proprietary.

(a) and (b) remain the same.

~~(3)~~(5) The department shall publish a public notice listing:

(a) the names of the ~~claimants~~ public utilities or large customers who have filed application for the credits as shown on the annual summary reports;

(b) when the USB program annual summary report was filed;

(c) the specific date by which ~~challenges may be made~~ interested persons must file any challenge to a public utility's or large customer's claim for credit; and

(d) where to file the challenge.

~~(4)~~(6) Publication of the public notice will occur within 20 days of the department receiving the public utility's or large company's USB programs annual summary report. The department shall publish the public notice on its web site and in the six major newspapers of general circulation for the state of Montana. ~~Those newspapers are: Independent Record; Montana Standard; Billings Gazette; Missoulian; Chronicle; and Great Falls Tribune.~~

~~(5)~~(7) Claimed credits shall be presumed to be acceptable unless proven otherwise, and the burden of proving ineligibility of a credit lies with the challenging party. If the department receives a challenge to a claimed credit, it shall promptly notify the public utility or large customer claiming the credit claimant in writing of the challenge, in writing, and provide a copy of the filed interested person's challenge ~~with~~ and any supporting documents to the claimant.

AUTH: 69-8-413, MCA

IMP: 69-8-402, 69-8-414, MCA

REASON: The department proposes amending ARM 42.29.103 to implement Senate Bill (SB) 312, L. 2015, which added a notification requirement on the department and a penalty provision for utilities and large customers who fail to timely file a universal system benefits (USB) program annual summary report each year, effective March 1, 2016.

The department proposes striking the last sentence of (1) because although a late filing penalty will now apply, the credit may still be claimed in the year even if filed after the March 1 deadline.

The department proposes adding new (2) to inform the public utility or large customer that they will have 20 business days after being notified by the department to file their USB program annual summary report or incur assessment of an administrative penalty.

The department proposes adding new (3) to provide the penalty structure for the late filing of the USB program annual summary report, as set forth in proposed new (2), and as provided for in 69-8-414, MCA, with the passage of SB 312.

In addition to renumbering the remaining sections of the rule following the addition of the two new sections, the department proposes incorporating additional detail into these remaining sections to make them clearer. The department will also be posting all future public notices online, in addition to the newspaper notifications, and has added that detail to newly numbered (6).

The department further proposes updating the title of the rule to better reflect the rule content as amended.

4. Concerned persons may submit their data, views, or arguments, either orally or in writing, at the hearing. Written data, views, or arguments may also be submitted to: Laurie Logan, Department of Revenue, Director's Office, P.O. Box 7701, Helena, Montana 59604-7701; telephone (406) 444-7905; fax (406) 444-3696; or e-mail lalogan@mt.gov and must be received no later than February 12, 2016.

5. Laurie Logan, Department of Revenue, Director's Office, has been designated to preside over and conduct this hearing.

6. The Department of Revenue maintains a list of interested persons who wish to receive notices of rulemaking actions proposed by this agency. Persons who wish to have their name added to the list shall make a written request that includes the name and e-mail or mailing address of the person to receive notices and specifies that the person wishes to receive notice regarding a particular subject matter or matters. Notices will be sent by e-mail unless a mailing preference is noted in the request. A written request may be mailed or delivered to the person in 4 above or faxed to the office at (406) 444-3696, or may be made by completing a request form at any rules hearing held by the Department of Revenue.

7. An electronic copy of this notice is available on the department's web site at revenue.mt.gov/rules. The department strives to make the electronic copy of this notice conform to the official version of the notice, as printed in the Montana Administrative Register, but advises all concerned persons that in the event of a discrepancy between the official printed text of the notice and the electronic version of the notice, only the official printed text will be considered. While the department also strives to keep its web site accessible at all times, in some instances it may be temporarily unavailable due to system maintenance or technical problems.

8. The bill sponsor contact requirements of 2-4-302, MCA, apply and have been fulfilled. The primary sponsor of Senate Bill 312, L. 2015, Mike Phillips, was contacted by letter on October 6, 2015, and December 14, 2015.

9. With regard to the requirements of 2-4-111, MCA, the department has determined that the amendment of the above-referenced rules will not significantly and directly impact small businesses. Documentation of the department's determination is available at revenue.mt.gov/rules or upon request from the person in 4.

/s/ Laurie Logan
Laurie Logan
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Mike Kadas
Mike Kadas
Director of Revenue

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of) NOTICE OF AMENDMENT
ARM 8.2.503 pertaining to the)
administration of the Quality Schools)
Grant Program)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On November 25, 2015, the Department of Commerce published MAR Notice No. 8-2-139 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule at page 2060 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 22.

2. The department has amended the above-stated rule as proposed.

3. The department has thoroughly considered the comments and testimony received. A summary of the comments received and the department's response are as follows:

COMMENT #1: One commenter suggested that the Quality Schools program amend the proposed guidelines to incorporate a new siting tool to assist schools with school facility planning.

RESPONSE #1: The department is interested in this new tool as a way to assist schools, but needs additional time to understand how it will relate to school facility projects funded by the Quality Schools Grant Program before including in the application process. No changes have been made to the proposed application and guidelines at this time.

/s/ Kelly A. Lynch
KELLY A. LYNCH
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Douglas Mitchell
DOUGLAS MITCHELL
Deputy Director
Department of Commerce

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the repeal of ARM)
17.74.101 and 17.74.102 pertaining to)
occupational noise and occupational air) (NOISE AND AIR CONTAMINANTS)
contaminants)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On November 25, 2015, the Department of Environmental Quality published MAR Notice No. 17-377 regarding a notice of proposed repeal of the above-stated rules at page 2062, 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 22.

2. The department has repealed the rules exactly as proposed.

3. No public comments or testimony were received.

Reviewed by: DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

/s/ John F. North
JOHN F. NORTH
Rule Reviewer

By: /s/ Tom Livers
TOM LIVERS, DIRECTOR

Certified to the Secretary of State, December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRY
STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of)	NOTICE OF AMENDMENT,
ARM 24.301.146 modifications to the)	ADOPTION, AND REPEAL
international building code applicable)	
to department and local government)	
code enforcement, the adoption of)	
NEW RULE I incorporation by)	
reference of international swimming)	
pool and spa code, and the repeal of)	
ARM 24.301.801 adoption by)	
reference of ARM 37.111.1115)	
review of plans)	

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On October 15, 2015, the Department of Labor and Industry (department) published MAR Notice No. 24-301-308 regarding the public hearing on the proposed amendment, adoption, and repeal of the above-stated rules, at page 1619 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue No. 19.

2. On November 5, 2015, a public hearing was held on the proposed amendment, adoption, and repeal of the above-stated rules in Helena. No comments were received by the November 13, 2015, deadline.

3. The board has amended ARM 24.301.146 exactly as proposed.

4. The board has adopted New Rule I (24.301.175) exactly as proposed.

5. The board has repealed ARM 24.301.801 exactly as proposed.

/s/ DARCEE L. MOE
Darcee L. Moe
Rule Reviewer

/s/ PAM BUCY
Pam Bucy, Commissioner
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRY

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of) NOTICE OF AMENDMENT
ARM 37.40.830 pertaining to)
updating hospice reimbursement fee)
schedules to reflect new federal rates)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On November 12, 2015, the Department of Public Health and Human Services published MAR Notice No. 37-733 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule at page 2024 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 21.

2. The department has amended the above-stated rule as proposed.

3. No comments or testimony were received.

4. The department proposes to apply increases in the hospice reimbursement rates retroactively to October 1, 2015. The implementation date of the rate increase is consistent with the federal approval of the hospice reimbursement rate fee increase and the effective dates of the promulgated federal regulations. Decreases in hospice rates would not be applied retroactively, but would be effective upon adoption of the proposed rule amendment.

/s/ Valerie Bashor
Valerie Bashor, Attorney
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Richard H. Opper
Richard H. Opper, Director
Public Health and Human Services

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of) NOTICE OF AMENDMENT
ARM 37.81.304 pertaining to)
updating the Big Sky Rx maximum)
premiums to match the federal)
monthly benefit benchmark)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On November 12, 2015, the Department of Public Health and Human Services published MAR Notice No. 37-734 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule at page 2028 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 21.

2. The department has amended the above-stated rule as proposed.

3. No comments or testimony were received.

4. The department intends to apply this rule amendment retroactively to January 1, 2016. A retroactive application of the proposed rule amendment does not result in a negative impact to any affected party.

/s/ Susan Callaghan
Susan Callaghan, Attorney
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Richard H. Opper
Richard H. Opper, Director
Public Health and Human Services

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the adoption of New) NOTICE OF ADOPTION,
Rules I through XI, amendment of) AMENDMENT, AND REPEAL
ARM 42.15.108 and 42.15.301, and)
repeal of ARM 42.15.407 and)
42.17.316 pertaining to fiduciaries,)
estates, and trusts)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On July 16, 2015, the Department of Revenue published MAR Notice No. 42-2-931 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed adoption, amendment, and repeal of the above-stated rules at page 897 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 13.

2. On August 10, 2015, a public hearing was held to consider the proposed adoption, amendment, and repeal. No public testimony was received at the hearing. Pamela Guschausky, with Anderson Zurmuehlen; Patrick Dougherty, with Worden Thane P.C.; Joseph V. Womack, with Waller & Womack P.C.; and Sherill Frickle, submitted written comments.

3. The department adopts New Rule III (42.30.103), New Rule IV (42.30.104), New Rule VI (42.30.107), New Rule VII (42.30.106), New Rule VIII (42.30.202), New Rule IX (42.30.203), New Rule X (42.30.204), and New Rule XI (42.30.110), amends ARM 42.15.108 and 42.15.301, and repeals ARM 42.15.407 and 42.17.316 as proposed.

4. Based upon the comments received and after further review, the department adopts New Rule I (42.30.101), New Rule II (42.30.102), and New Rule V (42.30.105), as proposed, but with the following changes from the original proposal, new matter underlined, deleted matter interlined:

NEW RULE I (42.30.101) DEFINITIONS (1) through (9) remain as proposed.

(10) "Irrevocable trust" means a trust that cannot be modified or terminated except as provided in 72-38-411; or 72-38-412, MCA.

(11) through (15) remain as proposed.

(16) "Resident trust" means any trust that ~~is principally administered in Montana and includes,~~ establishes a sufficient connection to Montana. Factors that may be considered to determine whether a trust established sufficient connection to Montana include, but are not limited to, the testator's, grantor's, settlor's, or creator's domicile; the location where the trust was created; the location of trust property; the beneficiaries' domicile; the trustees' domicile; and the location of the trust's administration. Examples of resident trusts include, but ~~is~~ are not limited to:

(a) through (c) remain as proposed.

- (d) any trust created by the will of a decedent who was a Montana resident at the time of the decedent's death; ~~and or~~
- (e) through (22) remain as proposed.

NEW RULE II (42.30.102) FIDUCIARY – FILING REQUIREMENTS

(1) through (4)(a) remain as proposed.

(b) A bankruptcy estate of a married couple filing ~~jointly~~ a joint bankruptcy petition must file a Form FID-3, but complete only the heading portion and report the amount of tax computed on the attached copy of the joint Montana tax return. A joint bankruptcy estate is limited to one personal exemption in computing the amount of tax due. A married couple cannot use the filing status of married filing separately on the same return to determine their separate Montana income tax liabilities for a bankruptcy estate. ~~If a married couple does not file a joint return, but~~ both spouses are filing for bankruptcy individually, then each spouse will complete a separate Form FID-3 and ~~an~~ separate individual Montana income tax return. Credits and payments that are applicable to the bankruptcy estate are reported on Form FID-3.

(c) through (6) remain as proposed.

(7) If the fiduciary of a decedent's estate, a bankruptcy estate, or a trust is filing for a short tax year and the applicable tax forms are not available, the fiduciary may use the prior year's tax forms. If the fiduciary uses the prior year's tax forms, the fiduciary must incorporate any tax law changes that are effective for the applicable tax year but may use the prior year's exemption amount and tax rate brackets. A short-year tax return may not be filed electronically.

(8) remains as proposed.

NEW RULE V (42.30.105) FIDUCIARY - MONTANA DISTRIBUTABLE NET INCOME AND MONTANA INCOME DISTRIBUTION DEDUCTION – CHARACTER

(1) through (6)(b) remain as proposed.

(c) Since the interest income of the estate is 20 percent of the Montana DNI, 20 percent of the distribution to beneficiaries B and C is considered interest income. Likewise, 10 percent of the estate's Montana DNI is dividends and 70 percent is farm income. ~~The estate is entitled to a distribution deduction of \$25,000 against gross income in 2016 for the distribution to beneficiaries B and C and computes its own Montana income tax liability on the \$25,000 income retained in the estate; and~~

~~(i) Beneficiary C, a resident of Montana, must report the entire distribution of \$12,500 on a Montana individual income tax return; and~~

~~(ii) Beneficiary B, a resident of Arizona, is required to report the entire distribution of \$12,500 as Montana source income on a Montana individual income tax return because the income was distributed from a Montana resident estate.~~

5. The department has thoroughly considered the comments received. A summary of the comments and the department's responses are as follows:

COMMENT 1: Pamela Guschausky commented that proposed New Rule I(10) should also include trusts modified or terminated under 72-38-412, MCA, in addition to 72-38-411, MCA, as an exception.

RESPONSE 1: The department appreciates Ms. Guschausky's comments and agrees that it is reasonable to include 72-38-412, MCA, as an exception also. The department has amended the rule to include this reference.

COMMENT 2: Regarding the department's proposed definition of "resident trust" in New Rule I(16), the department received comments from Ms. Guschausky and Patrick Dougherty.

Mr. Dougherty contended that the rule could not utilize the terms "resident" and "nonresident" to define a trust because a trust is not a human being. He commented that 15-30-2101(28), MCA, limits the definition of "resident" to natural persons.

Mr. Dougherty also commented that the department has no statutory authority to create definitions in regards to the situs or nexus status of nonhuman entities and such definitions must be addressed by the legislative process.

Mr. Dougherty further commented that he believes the proposed rules violate constitutional due process and protection under the commerce clause.

Ms. Guschausky stated that the proposed definition of "resident trust" is broader than the "resident trust" definition in the Uniform Trust Code, at 72-38-103 and 72-38-108, MCA.

RESPONSE 2: The department appreciates these comments. The department has the statutory authority to adopt definitions of terms it uses in rule. The definition of resident trust does not conflict with 15-30-2101(28), MCA, but instead clarifies what constitutes resident and nonresident trusts and estates as utilized in the proposed rules. Further, the department's terms are consistent with those used by other states because nearly every state that imposes a fiduciary income tax delineates between nonresident and resident trusts and estates.

The legislature authorized the department to promulgate rules to administer all revenue laws of the state, including fiduciary income tax, and to provide for the corresponding allocation of income, gain, loss, deduction, expense, and/or credit. Further, the legislature directed the department to tax estates and trusts in the same manner as individuals. The proposed rules are consistent with statutory authority and reasonably necessary to effectuate its purpose.

The department disagrees with Mr. Dougherty's position that the proposed rules violate constitutional due process or the commerce clause. A review of applicable jurisprudence and rule provisions demonstrates that the proposed rules are constitutional.

The department agrees with Ms. Guschausky's concern that the resident trust definition, as originally proposed, may cause confusion. The Uniform Probate Code does not define "resident trust," but instead governs a trust's principal place of administration. The Uniform Probate Code provides that it does not preclude any other means for establishing a sufficient connection with the designated jurisdiction. The phrase "principally administered" was not meant to expand the Uniform Probate Code's treatment of a trust's principal place of administration, but instead was intended to clarify that trusts with ties to Montana were principally administered in Montana notwithstanding that their principal places of administration may be located elsewhere.

To address the potential for confusion in the proposed definition, the department has amended New Rule I to clarify that a trust with sufficient connection to Montana is a "resident trust." The department added some of the factors that it considers in determining whether a trust possesses sufficient connection to Montana to the definition to provide further guidance to taxpayers. The department also added language to clarify that the list of resident trusts in the definition are intended as illustrative examples.

COMMENT 3: Regarding the proposed provisions for bankruptcy estates and short period returns under New Rule II, the department received comments from Ms. Guschausky, Joseph Womack, and Sherill Frickle.

Ms. Frickle requested explanation of the impact on joint bankruptcy estates in regard to filing status. She asked for clarification on the number of personal exemptions required on a return filed "married filing separate on the same form" and filed jointly.

Ms. Frickle, Ms. Guschausky, and Mr. Womack all commented on the department's acceptance of short-year decedent estate returns and further requested that the department make additional changes to the proposed new rule to permit filing of short-year tax returns for decedent estate returns be extended to bankruptcy estate returns.

RESPONSE 3: The department appreciates these comments and agrees that the rules addressing short-year tax returns for decedent estate returns may be extended to bankruptcy estate returns. The department has amended the language in New Rule II to include bankruptcy estate returns.

In response to Ms. Frickle's request for explanation in regard to the filing status and allowed exemptions for joint bankruptcy estates, the department has amended the language in New Rule II to clarify the requirements. Specifically, as amended, the rule now explains that married couples filing a joint bankruptcy petition may file a joint bankruptcy estate return; however, only one personal exemption is allowed on such return. Married couples filing a joint bankruptcy petition may not use the filing status "married filing separately on the same form."

COMMENT 4: Ms. Guschausky asked for an explanation of the supporting statement required in proposed New Rule II(4)(I). She further asked for explanation regarding the purpose of a Montana supporting statement beyond a complete federal Split-Interest Trust Information return (Form 5227).

RESPONSE 4: The department is requesting a supporting statement because the federal Form 5227 may not adequately address differences for Montana purposes. For example, if there are distributions to a taxable beneficiary that is a nonresident individual, the federal Form 5227 and Schedule K-1 would not differentiate Montana source income from non-Montana source income.

COMMENT 5: Ms. Guschausky expressed her support for New Rule II(7), as proposed, suggested adding trusts as well, and not limiting it to a decedent's estate.

She explained that many trusts are closed and final during the year as well including grantor trusts.

RESPONSE 5: The department agrees that it is reasonable to include trusts in New Rule II(7). The department has amended the rule to reference this inclusion.

COMMENT 6: Regarding proposed New Rule V(5), Ms. Guschausky commented that short-term versus long-term has no relevance for Montana tax purposes and proposed changes create an unnecessary adjustment between federal and state when preparing the Montana fiduciary return and resulting form K-1s. She also states that the instructions for Form CLT-4 state that a capital loss must be deducted in the year incurred and asked for explanation of intent in regard to carryover losses for corporate entities.

RESPONSE 6: The department appreciates the comment from Ms. Guschausky. Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Reg 1.642(h)-1(b) directs that "the net operating loss carryover and the capital loss carryover are the same in the hands of a beneficiary as in the estate or trust, except that the capital loss carryover in the hands of a beneficiary which is a corporation is a short-term loss irrespective of whether it would have been a long-term or short-term capital loss in the hands of the estate or trust."

Thereby, the rule stays in line with federal reporting requirements, rather than requiring different reporting standards for the same capital loss carryover.

COMMENT 7: Ms. Guschausky commented that New Rule V(6) changes the definition of Montana source income as defined in ARM 42.2.304 and 15-30-2101, MCA.

RESPONSE 7: The rule was not intended to change the definition of Montana source income and appears to be confusing. Because the other provisions exemplify the intention of New Rule V(6), the department has amended the rule to remove the confusing language.

COMMENT 8: Regarding proposed New Rule VI(4), Ms. Guschausky commented that the proposed rule does not seem to incorporate the exemption pertaining to an Internal Revenue Code (IRC) 645 election which provides a temporary exemption from estimated tax payment requirements for the tax years ending two years after the decedent's or grantor's death.

RESPONSE 8: Section 15-30-2512, MCA, provides guidance on the requirement for estimated tax payments. While the IRC provides an exception for decedent estates, and by extension section 645 electing trusts, the Montana Code Annotated contains no such exception and thereby the standard rules for estimated payments apply. The IRC exception is not binding for Montana purposes because Montana law addresses the requirement for estimated tax payments.

COMMENT 9: Mr. Dougherty commented that the department made no clear attempt to comply with the requirements of 2-4-111, MCA, or address the issue of the proposed rules' effect on small businesses.

RESPONSE 9: The department complied with the requirements of 2-4-111, MCA. The department stated its findings in paragraph 12 of proposal notice MAR 42-2-931 and included the online location for documentation of its findings and the contact information to request a copy of the documentation directly from the department.

The two-page impact study was prepared by department senior economist Dan Dodds and remains available at revenue.mt.gov/rules at this time, and is also available upon request from Laurie Logan at lalogan@mt.gov.

/s/ Laurie Logan
Laurie Logan
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Mike Kadas
Mike Kadas
Director of Revenue

Certified to the Secretary of State December 28, 2015.

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL PRACTICES
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the adoption of New)	NOTICE OF ADOPTION,
Rules I through XII; the transfer of)	TRANSFER, TRANSFER AND
ARM 44.10.309, 44.10.311,)	AMENDMENT, AND REPEAL
44.10.505, 44.10.538, and 44.10.543;)	
the transfer and amendment of ARM)	
44.10.101, 44.10.201, 44.10.301,)	
44.10.303, 44.10.305, 44.10.307,)	
44.10.321, 44.10.323, 44.10.327,)	
44.10.329, 44.10.330, 44.10.331,)	
44.10.333, 44.10.334, 44.10.335,)	
44.10.336, 44.10.337, 44.10.338,)	
44.10.401, 44.10.403, 44.10.405,)	
44.10.407, 44.10.409, 44.10.413,)	
44.10.501, 44.10.503, 44.10.511,)	
44.10.513, 44.10.515, 44.10.517,)	
44.10.519, 44.10.521, 44.10.525,)	
44.10.531, 44.10.533, 44.10.535,)	
44.10.536, 44.10.537, 44.10.539,)	
44.10.540, 44.10.541, 44.10.542, and)	
44.10.544; and the repeal of ARM)	
44.10.325, 44.10.332, 44.10.411,)	
44.10.507, and 44.10.523 pertaining)	
to campaign finance reporting,)	
disclosure, and practices)	

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On August 13, 2015, the Office of the Commissioner of Political Practices (COPP) published MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed adoption, transfer, transfer and amendment, and repeal of the above-stated rules at page 1138 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 15.

2. On September 2 and 3, 2015, public hearings were held on the proposed adoption, transfer, transfer and amendment, and repeal of the above-stated rules in Helena. 41 written comments and 26 oral testimony comments were received by the September 10, 2015, deadline.

3. Concise Statement For or Against Adoption

The Office of the Commissioner of Political Practices (COPP) adopts the rules as described in this adoption notice.

The principal general reason articulated for adoption of these rules is transparency. This is accomplished by adding an earlier reporting period, requiring electronic reporting and expanding reporting to include a new group of

electioneering communication expenditures. These terms are all described in detail in the adopted rules.

The principal general reason articulated against adoption is that of privacy. Privacy is argued to be impacted because the rules are argued to interfere with the private rights of groups and individuals to make statements concerning candidates or campaigns without disclosure of the amount spent or the source of the funds spent and without the necessity of filing reports with the COPP.

Because there are several proposed rules, more specific reasons for and against adoption are set out in detail in the comments and responses set out in this adoption notice. There are 190 of these comments and responses published in this adoption notice and these are incorporated by reference into this general statement of reasons. There are differences in the rules as proposed and as adopted and those differences are identified and published in this notice of adoption. The agency notes that the rules, as adopted, reflect and conform to the comments of Senator Duane Ankney (see comments 89, 117, and 150), the principal sponsor of Senate Bill 289, the 2015 legislation that triggered many of the rules hereby adopted.

4. The COPP has adopted the following rules exactly as proposed: New Rule VI (44.11.601), IX (44.11.604), XI (44.11.607), XII (44.11.608).

5. The COPP has transferred ARM 44.10.309 (44.11.107), 44.10.311 (44.11.606), 44.10.505 (44.11.407), 44.10.538 (44.11.705), and 44.10.543 (44.11.710) exactly as proposed.

6. The COPP has transferred and amended ARM 44.10.303 (44.11.104), 44.10.305 (44.11.105), 44.10.331 (44.11.226), 44.10.335 (44.11.702), 44.10.336 (44.11.703), 44.10.403 (44.11.303), 44.10.405 (44.11.201), 44.10.407 (44.11.304), 44.10.409 (44.11.306), 44.10.501 (44.11.301), 44.10.517 (44.11.504), 44.10.521 (44.11.406), 44.10.536 (44.11.701), 44.10.539 (44.11.706), 44.10.540 (44.11.707), 44.10.541 (44.11.708), 44.10.542 (44.11.709), and 44.10.544 (44.11.711) exactly as proposed.

7. The COPP has repealed ARM 44.10.325, 44.10.332, 44.10.411, 44.10.507, and 44.10.523 exactly as proposed.

8. The COPP has adopted, amended, and transferred the following rules from the proposal notice with the following changes, based upon the comments received and after further review. New matter underlined, deleted matter interlined:

NEW RULE I (44.11.203) PRIMARY PURPOSE (1) The term "primary purpose" refers to a the major, principal, or important goal, function, or reason for existence ~~for~~ of a political committee.

(2) The commissioner may determine that a the primary purpose of a political committee is to support or oppose candidates or ballot issues based upon any one or more of the following criteria:

(a) remains as proposed.

(b) allocation of staff or members' activity, both during an election and otherwise; or

(c) the statement of purpose, articles of incorporation, bylaws, or goals;

(3) The commissioner, in determining the primary purpose of a political committee, may also consider any one or more of the following criteria:

~~(d)~~ (a) reportable election activity;

~~(e)~~ (b) the history of the political committee and the number of elections in which it has participated or registered;

~~(f)~~ (c) receipt of contributions in response to an appeal or that are designated for a specified candidate, ballot issue, petition, or reportable election activity;

(g) through (k) remain as proposed but are renumbered (d) through (h).

~~(3)~~ (4) If the commissioner finds, pursuant to ARM 44.11.204 and based on his or her analysis of the information provided on the political committee's statement of organization (Form C-2), or any other information known or provided to the commissioner's office, that an organization's primary purpose is to support or oppose a candidate or ballot issue, then that organization shall file and report as an independent committee, ballot issue committee, or political party committee, pursuant to these rules, and not as an incidental committee.

(5) The COPP's determination of the primary purpose of a political committee shall be based upon a preponderance of the evidence.

(6) Once notified of its classification by the COPP, a political committee may submit additional information and request to be reclassified pursuant to ARM 44.11.204.

IMP, 13-1-101, 13-37-114, 13-37-226, 13-37-232, MCA

NEW RULE II (44.11.220) STATEMENT OF CANDIDACY (1) and (2) remain as proposed.

(3) A statement of candidacy shall include, ~~but not be limited to:~~

(a) and (b) remain as proposed.

NEW RULE III (44.11.221) BUSINESS INTEREST DISCLOSURE (1) For purposes of this rule, "state officer" means elected officials, including candidates for statewide or state district offices, state district court candidates, Supreme Court candidates, department directors, or anyone appointed to fill any of these offices, 2-2-106, MCA.

(2) through (3) remain as proposed.

AUTH, ~~13-37-114~~ 2-2-136, MCA

IMP, ~~13-2-2-106~~ 2-2-106, MCA

NEW RULE IV (44.11.240) CONSEQUENCES FOR FAILURE TO FILE REQUIRED STATEMENTS, REPORTS, OR DISCLOSURES (1) The

commissioner, following inspection of the candidate or committee's required statements, disclosures, or reports or lack thereof, may take actions, including ~~but not limited to~~ any of the following:

(a) and (b) remain as proposed.

(c) reclassify a political committee as provided in ~~13-37-226~~, MCA ARM 44.11.204;

(d) through (f) remain as proposed.

(g) issue a finding of sufficient evidence of violation of Montana's Campaign Practice and Finance laws as ~~provided by~~ after an investigation authorized by 13-37-111 and 13-37-123, MCA;

(h) through (2) remain as proposed.

NEW RULE V (44.11.408) ELECTRONIC CONTRIBUTIONS, REPORTING

(1) through (1)(b) remain as proposed.

(c) Any electronic contribution ~~must~~ shall be deposited in the designated campaign account within five business days of actual receipt or conversion.

(2) through (2)(c) remain as proposed.

(d) When receiving a payment by credit card:

(i) the candidate shall report the service charge as a campaign expenditure.

(ii) a committee shall report the service charge as a campaign expenditure if paid from the campaign account; or

(iii) as an in-kind contribution received from the committee's associated organization.

(3) through (5) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-207, 13-37-229, 13-37-232, MCA

NEW RULE VII (44.11.602) COORDINATION (1) A "coordinated expenditure" means any election communication, electioneering communication, or reportable election activity that is made by a person:

~~(a) funded or facilitated by:~~

~~(i) an expenditure as defined in 13-1-101, MCA, and further defined in ARM 44.11.501;~~

~~(ii) a payment of money by any person; or~~

~~(iii) a purchase, distribution, loan, advance, promise, pledge, gift, or provision of anything of value by any person.~~

~~(b) in cooperation with, in consultation with, under the control of, or at the direction of, in concert with, at the request or suggestion of, or with the express prior consent of a candidate or political committee or an agent of a the candidate or political committee.~~

~~(c) The coordination of an expenditure need not require agreement, cooperation, consultation, request, or consent on every term necessary for the particular coordinated expenditure, but only requires proof of one element, such as content, price, or timing, ~~but only requires one of these elements~~ to be met as a fact of a coordinated expenditure.~~

~~(2) Whether an election communication, electioneering communication, or election activity may constitute a "coordinated expenditure" depends upon conduct, communications, or relationships involving a person and a candidate or political committee or an agent of a candidate or political committee, or involving an individual who acted within the previous twelve months as a paid agent or consultant to the candidate or a political committee supporting the candidate. When~~

determining whether a communication or reportable election activity is coordinated the following may be considered, whether:

(a) it is based on information that is provided by the candidate or agent of the candidate directly or indirectly to the person funding or facilitating the communication or activity, or any person involved in creating, producing, or disseminating it.

(b) it was made by or through any candidate's agent in the course of the agent's involvement in the current campaign.

(c) the person funding or facilitating the communication or reportable election activity retains the paid services of a person or individual who:

(i) currently, or during the six months immediately preceding the election in which the candidate's name will appear on the ballot, received compensation from the candidate or the candidate's agent; and

(ii) the person or individual is involved in creating, producing, or disseminating the communication or reportable election activity.

(d) the communication or reportable election activity replicates, reproduces, republishes or disseminates, in whole or in substantial part, any material designed, produced and paid for, or distributed by the candidate, except as set forth in (3)(e).

(e) the candidate or the candidate's agent has made or participated in any discussion or in making any decision regarding the content, timing, location, media, intended audience, volume of distribution, or frequency of placement of the communication or activity.

(f) the person funding or facilitating the communication or reportable election activity has:

(i) established a written firewall policy designed to prevent the flow of information about the candidate's campaign plans, projects, activities, or needs from the persons providing services to the candidate to persons involved in the creation, production, or dissemination of the communication or reportable election activity; and

(ii) prior to the preparation or distribution of any communication or reportable election activity has distributed the firewall policy to all relevant employees, consultants, and clients affected by the policy; and

(iii) filed the firewall policy with the COPP.

(3) A "coordinated expenditure" does not mean any election communication, electioneering communication, or reportable election activity consisting of:

(a) an ~~independent~~ uncoordinated expenditure or an independent reportable election activity funded or facilitated by a person;

(b) and (c) remain as proposed.

(d) activity by an individual acting solely on his or her own behalf independently of any candidate or the candidate's agent ~~political committee~~; or

(e) remains as proposed.

(4) A "coordinated expenditure" does not exist solely because:

(a) of personal or professional relationships between a candidate and other persons;

(b) the person funding or facilitating the communication or reportable election activity has previously made a contribution to the candidate;

~~(b)~~ (c) after publication or distribution, the person funding or facilitating the communication or reportable election activity informs the candidate or an agent of

the candidate that the person has made an expenditure or funded the activity, provided that there is no other exchange of information, not otherwise available to the public, relating to details of the expenditure or funding the activity; or

~~(e) (d) the funding or facilitating of the communication or reportable election activity is made at the request or suggestion of a candidate or an agent of a candidate for the benefit of another candidate or political committee where the other potentially benefitted candidate or political committee has no involvement.~~

~~(5) There shall be a rebuttable presumption that any funding or facilitating of an election activity is not independent of the candidate on whose behalf, or for whose benefit, the activity is conducted, when:~~

~~(a) it is based on information that is provided by the candidate or an agent of the candidate directly or indirectly to the person funding or facilitating the activity;~~

~~(b) it is made by or through any candidate's agent in the course of the agent's involvement in the current campaign;~~

~~(c) the person funding or facilitating the activity retains the services of a person who consults with or provides services benefitting the candidate related to campaign activity or fundraising strategy for that same election, except as provided in (6);~~

~~(d) the activity replicates, reproduces, republishes, or disseminates, in whole or in substantial part, any material designed, produced, paid for, or distributed by the candidate;~~

~~(e) the candidate or political committee or an agent of a candidate or political committee has made or participated in any discussion or in making any decision regarding the content, timing, location, mode, intended audience, volume of distribution, or frequency of placement of any communication broadcast or conveyed as part of the activity;~~

~~(f) the person funding or facilitating the activity has an employee or agent who is also involved in activities described in (5)(a) through (e) on behalf of the candidate; or~~

~~(g) the candidate, during the twelve months prior to the election, raised money for election activity for the person funding or facilitating the election activity.~~

~~(6) There shall also be a rebuttable presumption that any funding or facilitating of an election activity is not independent of the candidate on whose behalf, or for whose benefit, the activity is conducted, when a person involved in funding or facilitating the activity also acted within the previous twelve months as a paid agent, consultant, employee, or vendor to the candidate or political committee supporting the candidate where there is no contemporaneous writing creating a documented firewall signed by the person and filed with the commissioner stating that the person is not involved with activity described in (1) through (5) with respect to the candidate. A vendor engaging only in arms-length transactions as a third-party supplier or service provider to candidate(s) or political committee(s) may satisfy this requirement by signing and filing a single written firewall statement for any applicable twelve-month election cycle.~~

~~(7) A "coordinated expenditure" shall be treated and reported as an in-kind contribution from and expenditure by the person funding, facilitating, or engaging in the election communication, electioneering communication, or reportable election~~

activity. Both the candidate and the committee shall report the coordinated expenditure and/or in-kind contribution as the case may be.

NEW RULE VIII (44.11.603) DE MINIMIS (1) through (1)(d) remain as proposed.

(e) other factors and circumstances ~~the commissioner determines are relevant~~ similarly showing limited value or minimal harm.

(2) ~~These criteria will be considered and applied on a case-by-case basis.~~

~~(3) Acts, contributions, or expenditures that may, depending on the circumstances, be considered de minimis include, but are not limited to:~~

(a) through (f) remain as proposed.

(g) expenses associated with volunteer services or efforts, including ~~but not limited to~~ the cost of gas, parking, and meals.

(4) remains as proposed but is renumbered (3).

IMP, 13-1-101, 13-37-114, MCA

NEW RULE X (44.11.605) ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATION

(1) through (1)(e)(ii) remain as proposed.

(iii) refers to a political party, ballot issue, or other question submitted to the voters in the election; ~~and.~~

~~(f) may also include an independent expenditure.~~

(2) through (3)(c) remain as proposed.

(d) any other regular or normal communication by a local government or a state agency that ~~only includes non-election~~ information about a candidate, ballot issue, or election. A communication concerning a bond issue by local government or a state agency is not regular and normal communication and is subject to reporting and disclosure as an electioneering communication. For purposes of this rule the terms local government and state agency shall have the same meaning as the definitions of the terms in 2-2-102, MCA.

(4) An electioneering communication may also be an independent expenditure.

(5) The determination whether a particular communication is an electioneering communication or is excluded from the definition of the term will be based on the purpose, timing, and distribution of the communication, as well as the facts and circumstances surrounding its creation and distribution.

(5) and (6) remain as proposed but are renumbered (6) and (7).

(8) The COPP shall maintain a form which will allow a political committee to report an electioneering communication without designating the expenditure as in support of or in opposition to candidate(s) or issue(s).

44.10.101 (44.11.101) ORGANIZATIONAL RULE (1) through (4) remain as proposed.

AUTH, 2-4-201, 13-37-114, MCA

44.10.201 (44.11.102) ADVISORY OPINIONS AND SELECTED INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN ATTORNEY GENERAL RULES, REGARDING DECLARATORY RULINGS AND RULEMAKING

(1) In cases when a formal declaratory ruling proceeding is requested by a person through the filing of a petition as prescribed in ARM 1.3.226, the commissioner adopts and incorporates by reference the Attorney General's Organizational and Procedural Rules ARM 1.3.227 through 1.3.229 effective August 15, 2008, and are available online from the secretary of state at <http://www.mtrules.org/>.

(2) remains as proposed.

(3) In cases when the COPP engages in agency rulemaking, the commissioner adopts and incorporates by reference the Attorney General's Organizational and Procedural Rules ARM 1.3.201, 1.3.202, and 1.3.304 through 1.3.313 effective August 15, 2008, and are available online from the secretary of state at <http://www.mtrules.org/>.

44.10.301 (44.11.103) INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS (1) through (12) remain as proposed.

(13) "Earmarked Contribution" is as described in ~~13-37-217, MCA,~~ and defined in ARM 44.11.404.

(14) remains as proposed.

(15) "Election Activity" means any activity that may constitute reportable election activity under Title 13, MCA. ~~action by any person, candidate, or political committee that concerns, relates to, or could be reasonably interpreted as an attempt to influence or affect an election or that supports or opposes a candidate or ballot issue. Election activity includes reportable election activity.~~

(16) through (21) remain as proposed.

(22) "Immediate Family" is defined as described in 2-2-302, MCA, and further defined in ARM 44.11.608 and 44.11.703.

(23) through (30) remain as proposed.

(31) "Reportable Election Activity" includes ~~but is not limited to~~ accepting a contribution, a contribution in response to an appeal, or a designated contribution, or making an expenditure, a contribution, a coordinated expenditure, an independent expenditure, or an in-kind contribution or expenditure, or making an election communication or electioneering communication.

(32) and (33) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-1-101, Title 13, ch. 35 and 37, 13-37-114, MCA

44.10.307 (44.11.106) COMPLAINTS OF VIOLATIONS (1) through (2)(b) remain as proposed.

(c) be signed and verified by the oath of or affirmation of the complainant, taken before any officer authorized to administer oaths.

(3) remains as proposed.

(4) No investigation shall be required and a complaint may be dismissed if the complaint is frivolous on its face, illegible, too indefinite, does not identify the alleged violator, does not cite the statute or rule that is alleged to have been violated, is unsigned, or is not verified by the oath of or affirmation of such person,

taken before any officer authorized to administer oaths or affirmations. In addition, no investigation shall be required and may be dismissed if the complaint does not contain sufficient allegations to enable the commissioner to determine that it states a potential violation of a statute or rule within the commissioner's jurisdiction. The commissioner may request additional information from the complainant or the alleged violator prior to making a determination whether to proceed with a full investigation and whether to dismiss a complaint under this rule.

(5) and (6) remain as proposed.

44.10.321 (44.11.401) CONTRIBUTION – DEFINITION (1) For the purposes of Title 13, chapters 35 and 37, MCA, and these rules, the term "contribution" as defined in 13-1-101, MCA, includes, ~~but is not limited to:~~

(a) each contribution as described in 13-37-229 and 13-37-232, MCA;

(b) through (e) remain as proposed.

(2) For the purposes of determining compliance with political party contribution limits established pursuant to 13-37-216, MCA, a "contribution" does not include a coordinated expenditure made solely by a political party committee in the form of provision of personal services by paid staff of the political party that benefit the associational interest of the political party but also constitute reportable election activity benefitting a particular candidate of the same political party.

(3) For the purposes of determining compliance with contribution reporting required by 13-37-225 through ~~13-37-229~~ 13-37-232, MCA, any coordinated expenditure not counted toward contribution limits pursuant to (2) must be reported as a contribution and shall be reported based upon the actual cost for such paid staff including, but not limited to, total compensation in the form of any salaries, wages, bonuses, benefits, expense reimbursement, or other supplemental payments, and a pro rata share of any taxes, fees, or assessments paid by the political party committee for each staff person.

(4) Whether or not the candidate has determined the office sought or the political committee has determined what reportable election activity it will participate in at the time the contribution is received has no effect on the responsibility to report the contribution, and any such contribution shall ~~also~~ be subject to ~~the limitations of~~ 13-37-219, MCA.

IMP, 13-1-101, 13-37-219, 13-37-225, 13-37-229, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.323 (44.11.501) EXPENDITURE – DEFINITION (1) For the purposes of Title 13, chapters 35 and 37, MCA, and these rules, the term "expenditure" as defined in 13-1-101, MCA, includes, ~~but is not limited to:~~

(a) remains as proposed.

(b) expenses incurred by a candidate or political committee with respect to polls, surveys, and the solicitation of funds for reportable election activity;

(c) through (h) remain as proposed.

(2) The term "in-kind expenditure" means a third party reportable election activity expenditure, such as payment for goods or services, that does not go through the campaign depository. In the event that the third party election activity involves the furnishing of services, property, or rights without charge or at a charge

that is less than fair market value in a manner that creates a reportable election expense, then the difference between the amount charged and the fair market value must be reported as an in-kind expenditure. An "in-kind ~~contribution~~ expenditure" includes, but is not limited to, the forgiveness of any loan or debt owed by a candidate or political committee.

(3) and (4) remain as proposed.

(5) An expenditure does not include reportable election activity carried out solely by one individual that is not coordinated with any candidate, ballot issue, or political committee.

IMP, 13-1-101, ~~13-37-429~~ 13-37-229, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.327 (44.11.202) POLITICAL COMMITTEE, DEFINITION AND TYPES

(1) A political committee has the meaning as defined in 13-1-101, MCA. A political committee exists under Title 13, chapters 35 and 37, MCA, and these rules by virtue of its receipt of one or more contributions or through making one or more expenditures. A political committee, ~~including each incidental or independent committee,~~ must register with the commissioner at the time and in the manner set out in these rules, see ARM 44.11.201 and 44.11.302.

(2) through (5) remain as proposed.

(6) An incidental committee is a political committee that does not have the primary purpose of supporting or opposing candidates or ballot issues. Incidental committee reportable election activity may consist of:

(a) through (c) remain as proposed.

(7) An independent committee is a political committee that has the primary purpose of supporting or opposing candidates or ballot issues but is neither a ballot issue nor a political party political committee. Independent committee reportable election activity may consist of:

(a) and (b) remain as proposed.

(8) Provided its reportable election activity is all within a single reporting period, a political committee may file a single report of its election expenditures or contributions, identifying the report as an opening and closing report.

(9) and (10) remain as proposed.

44.10.329 (44.11.204) POLITICAL COMMITTEE, CLASSIFICATION

(1) and (2) remain as proposed.

(3) The commissioner may reclassify a political committee if the status of that committee should change pursuant to ~~ARM 44.11.204~~ or pursuant to (5).

(4) and (5) remain as proposed.

44.10.330 (44.11.224) DESIGNATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS (1) Aggregate contributions for ~~each election~~ elections in a campaign are limited according to 13-37-216 and 13-37-218, MCA, and as explained by ARM 44.11.222. An "election" in a campaign is either a primary election or a general election.

(2) For purposes of applying aggregate contribution limits per election the following apply:

(a) aggregate contribution limits for each election, as set forth in 13-37-216 and ~~13-37-218~~, MCA, apply to a primary election and to a general election as defined in ARM 44.11.222;

(b) through (3) remain as proposed.

44.10.333 (44.11.225) LIMITATIONS ON CONTRIBUTIONS FROM POLITICAL PARTY COMMITTEES (1) and (2) remain as proposed.

(3) For the purposes of determining compliance with political party contribution limits established pursuant to 13-37-216, MCA, a "contribution" does not include a coordinated expenditure made solely by a political party committee in the form of provision of personal services by paid staff of the political party that benefit the associational interest of the political party but also constitute reportable election activity benefitting a particular candidate of the same political party.

44.10.334 (44.11.222) ELECTIONS TO WHICH AGGREGATE CONTRIBUTION LIMITS APPLY (1) The term "aggregate contributions" means the total of all of the following contributions made by or received from a person for ~~all~~ elections each election in a campaign:

(a) through (2) remain as proposed.

(a) in partisan primary elections, if two or more candidates compete for one party's nomination, it is a "contested primary," resulting in two elections to which the contribution limits in 13-37-216 and ~~13-37-218~~, MCA, apply. For the two candidates seeking Party A's nomination in the primary election for a public office, it is a contested primary with respect to Party A's nomination; or

(b) if only one candidate seeks Party B's nomination for the same public office, it is not a contested primary with respect to Party B's nomination, and there is only one election to which the contribution limits in 13-37-216 and ~~13-37-218~~, MCA, apply; or

(c) remains as proposed.

(d) when an incumbent judicial officer is the only candidate who files a declaration for nomination in the primary election, and subsequently faces a vote, pursuant to 13-14-212, MCA, for or against retention in the general election, there is no "contested primary," and there is only one election to which the contribution limits in 13-37-216 and ~~13-37-218~~, MCA, apply.

IMP, 13-37-216, ~~13-37-218~~, MCA

44.10.337 (44.11.223) AGGREGATE CONTRIBUTION LIMITS FOR WRITE-IN CANDIDATES (1) through (4) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-216, 13-37-218, 13-37-240, MCA

44.10.338 (44.11.227) LIMITATIONS ON INDIVIDUAL AND POLITICAL PARTY CONTRIBUTIONS TO A CANDIDATE (1) through (2) remain as proposed.

(3) Pursuant to 13-37-216 and 13-37-218, MCA, all contributions must be included in computing these limitation totals, except the personal services exemption found in ARM 44.11.401.

(4) remains as proposed.

44.10.401 (44.11.302) STATEMENTS AND REPORTS, FILING (1) remains as proposed.

(a) Pursuant to 13-37-226, MCA, candidates for a state office filled by a statewide vote of all the electors of Montana, incidental committees, independent committees, and ~~statewide~~ ballot issue committees shall file all reports electronically.

(b) and (c) remain as proposed.

(d) Candidates listed in (c) shall file all reports electronically only if the total amount of contributions received or the total amount of expenditures made exceeds \$500, for all elections in a campaign, excluding the filing fee paid by ~~a candidate~~ an individual.

(2) ~~Pursuant to~~ As provided by 13-37-226, MCA, electronic filing is mandatory for those candidates ~~and committees~~ listed in (1) except for those qualifying under (1)(d). Candidates listed in (1)(c) may submit a written request for a waiver from the requirement that reports be filed electronically. Electronic filing is mandatory for committees who are required to file electronically by statute. Committees who are required to file electronically by this rule, may apply for a waiver. The commissioner may provide a waiver if the candidate or committee establishes that they cannot file electronically for reasons such as they do not have reasonable access to the technology necessary to file electronically.

(3) through (4)(b) remain as proposed.

(c) If the candidate or committee faxes in a report and fails to file the original report with the COPP, the candidate or committee thereby acknowledges the signature on the fax has the same force and effect as the original signature on the report or statement.

(d) remains as proposed.

44.10.413 (44.11.305) NONRESIDENT AND FEDERALLY FILING COMMITTEES, REPORTS (1) through (1)(b) remain as proposed.

(c) If a federally filing committee cannot satisfy the requirements set forth in these rules, it shall file reports on the COPP's forms for the periods in which the committee makes expenditures and contributions in elections in Montana. Such reports shall contain the information required by 13-37-229 through 13-37-232, MCA, and these rules.

(2) and (2)(a) remain as proposed.

(b) if a nonresident committee cannot satisfy the requirements set forth in (a), it shall file reports on Montana forms for the periods in which the committee makes expenditures and contributions in elections in Montana. Such reports shall contain the information required by 13-37-229 through 13-37-232, MCA, and these rules.

44.10.503 (44.11.409) MONETARY DEPOSITS AND EXPENDITURES, ONLY THROUGH DEPOSITORY (1) Any candidate or agent of any candidate or political committee who receives a contribution on behalf of a candidate or political committee shall, before the end of the fifth business day, transfer it to the campaign treasurer with full disclosure of the source, as required by 13-37-229 and 13-37-232, MCA, and ARM 44.11.404 and 44.11.407.

(2) through (6) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-111, 13-37-205, 13-37-207, 13-37-208, 13-37-209, 13-37-215, 13-37-226, 13-37-229, 13-37-231, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.511 (44.11.402) CONTRIBUTIONS, REPORTING (1) through (4) remain as proposed.

(5) For the purposes of 13-37-226, MCA, the report required to be filed within two business days shall be filed as follows:

(a) it shall be ~~delivered~~ electronically filed within two business days after the receipt thereof, to the commissioner's office; or

(b) if the candidate or committee faxes in a report and fails to file the original report with the COPP, the candidate or committee thereby acknowledges the signature on the fax has the same force and effect as the original signature on the report or statement.

(c) remains as proposed.

44.10.513 (44.11.403) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION, REPORTING AND VALUATION (1) through (3) remain as proposed.

(4) The value of an in-kind contribution shall be ~~determined as follows:~~ calculated and recorded in writing. The written record is a campaign record as defined by 13-37-208, MCA. The calculation and written record shall show one of the following values for the in-kind contribution:

(a) ~~it shall be reported as its fair market value~~ the actual monetary cost, value or worth of the item of property, right or service contributed at the time of the in-kind contribution;

(b) ~~it shall be reported as the difference between the~~ if there is no actual cost or value as set out in (a), then the reasonable fair market value of the item of property, right or service based on an appropriate comparison made at the time of the in-kind contribution and the amount charged the contributee;

(c) ~~it shall be reported as the actual monetary value or worth at the time of the contribution in the event that the candidate or ballot committee paid for a portion of the value established by (b), then the difference between the amount paid and the value set by (b);~~ or

(d) ~~if in the event that, due to extraordinary circumstances none of these provisions would be~~ it is not appropriate or possible to determine the value set by (b), then or no reasonable fair market value can be established, it shall be sufficient to report a precise description of such in-kind contribution so received must be made of the property, right or service received by the candidate or ballot committee.

(5) ~~Upon receiving or making an in-kind contribution, its~~ The value shall be calculated and reduced to writing reflecting the calculation method used under (4) and the writing shall be retained by the treasurer and available for inspection as provided by 13-37-111, 13-37-208, and 13-37-209, MCA. The value shall also be reported and disclosed as a contribution as defined and required by ~~consistent with~~ ARM 44.11.502.

IMP, 13-37-111, 13-37-208, 13-37-209, ~~13-37-211~~, 13-37-229, MCA

44.10.515 (44.11.405) LOANS AS CONTRIBUTIONS, REPORTING

(1) through (3) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-111, 13-37-217, 13-37-229, 13-37-231, MCA

44.10.519 (44.11.404) EARMARKED CONTRIBUTION, REPORTING

(1) For the purposes of 13-37-217 and 13-37-229, and 13-37-232, MCA, and these rules, an "earmarked contribution" is a contribution made with the express, implied, oral, written, direct, or indirect designation or instruction, that all or part of it be transferred to or expended on behalf of a specified candidate, ballot issue committee, political party committee, independent committee, or petition for nomination. An earmarked contribution is the same as a designated contribution.

(2) and (3) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-217, 13-37-229, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.525 (44.11.505) DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS OWED TO A CANDIDATE OR POLITICAL COMMITTEE, REPORTING (1) Pursuant to 13-37-229 and 13-37-232, MCA, each report required by 13-37-226, MCA, shall disclose all debts and obligations owed to a candidate or political committee. Debts and obligations shall continue to be reported so long as they remain outstanding.

(2) through (4) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-229, 13-37-231, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.531 (44.11.502) EXPENDITURES, REPORTING (1) through (3) remain as proposed.

(4) ~~An~~ The date of each expenditure shall be reported ~~on the date and for in~~ the reporting period during which it is made.

(5) through (9) remain as proposed.

(a) the reports required to be filed within two business days shall be filed electronically, pursuant to ARM 44.11.302; and

(b) ~~independent, political party, and incidental committees shall, within two business days of making an expenditure of \$500 or more for a reportable election activity, file a Form C-7E if the expenditure is made between the 17th day before the election and the day of the election; and~~

~~(c)~~ all expenditures and contributions reported under ~~(b)~~ (a) shall also be included on the post-election report.

44.10.533 (44.11.503) IN-KIND EXPENDITURE, REPORTING AND VALUATION (1) A candidate or political committee shall report an in-kind expenditure on the appropriate reporting schedule and shall describe what was received made consistent with the reporting requirements specified in ARM 44.11.502.

(2) and (3) remain as proposed.

~~(4) Upon making an in-kind expenditure, its~~ The value of an in-kind expense shall be calculated and reduced to recorded in writing. Because an in-kind expense becomes a contribution to the receiving candidate or ballot committee, this regulation works in tandem with ARM 44.11.403 and the record keeping requirements of that regulation apply. The calculation and written record shall show one of the following values for the in-kind expense: The value shall be reported consistent with ARM 44.11.502. The writing must reflect the calculation method used under (3) and the writing shall be retained by the treasurer and available for inspection as provided in 13-37-111, 13-37-208, and 13-37-209, MCA.

(a) the actual monetary cost, value or worth of the item of property, right or service at the time of the in-kind expense;

(b) if there is no actual cost or value as set out in (a) then the reasonable fair market value of the item of property, right or service based on an appropriate comparison made at the time of the in-kind expense;

(c) in the event that the candidate or ballot committee paid for a portion of the value established by (b), then the difference between the amount paid and the value set by (b); or

(d) in the event that, due to extraordinary circumstances, it is not appropriate or possible to determine the value established by (b), then a precise description must be made of the property, right or service expended.

(5) The value under (4) shall be reported and disclosed as a contribution as defined and required by ARM 44.11.502.

IMP, 13-37-208, 13-37-209, 13-37-211, 13-37-229, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.535 (44.11.506) DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS OWED BY A CANDIDATE OR POLITICAL COMMITTEE, REPORTING (1) Pursuant to 13-37-229 and 13-37-232, MCA, each report required by 13-37-226, MCA, shall disclose all debts and obligations owed by a candidate or political committee. Debts and obligations shall continue to be reported so long as they remain outstanding.

(2) through (5) remain as proposed.

IMP, 13-37-229, 13-37-231, 13-37-232, MCA

44.10.537 (44.10.704) (44.11.704) APPLICABILITY OF RULES (1) through (4) remain as proposed.

9. The COPP has thoroughly considered the comments and testimony received. A summary of the comments received and the COPP's responses are as follows:

COMMENT 1: Several commenters expressed thanks to the COPP for getting the proposed rules to them by mail and e-mail and on the web site, for holding the public hearings, and for holding open the written comment period for an extended time.

RESPONSE 1: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 2: The proposed rules are well written, well organized, and keep similar subjects grouped logically together.

RESPONSE 2: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 3: One commenter expressed concern that the currently docketed campaign finance practice complaints should be determined within the existing rules.

RESPONSE 3: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP will apply existing rules to currently docketed campaign practice complaints.

COMMENT 4: One commenter expressed that the proposed rules reasonably respond to the challenge of developing clear rules for the vast majority of political actors, large and small, that seek to engage in political speech accountability without undue administrative burdens, while also providing flexible regulatory standards for the most sophisticated actors who may attempt to minimize disclosure contrary to the spirit of the law. Any effective regime of rules should balance both of those goals according to the activity regulated.

RESPONSE 4: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 5: Several commenters expressed support for the rules requirements to disclose donors, citing their right to know who is funding messages in elections regardless of the amount of money spent.

RESPONSE 5: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 6: Several commenters expressed concern that potential candidates are not willing to consider runs for elected office in Montana at this point, given the amount of unreported and undisclosed activity, and expressed gratitude for the provisions of the Disclose Act in attempting to close some of the obvious loopholes in our laws.

RESPONSE 6: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 7: Several commenters pointed out that the Disclose Act was a bipartisan effort of legislators and organizations of several sessions to increase reporting and disclosure in Montana elections.

RESPONSE 7: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 8: One commenter stated that you cannot just pick out pieces of the rules and say that this particular piece is too onerous, or this piece is too broad because the rules work together as a whole to provide a complete structure for reporting and disclosure in Montana.

RESPONSE 8: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 9: Several commenters pointed out that many of the objections received in response to the proposed rules came from organizations and persons who opposed the passage of the bill, and that they are fighting to make the rules to benefit themselves rather than the people of Montana.

RESPONSE 9: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 10: Several commenters objected to the format of the proposed amendment and adoption of the rules, stating that they would require the hiring of a lawyer to understand what was going on.

RESPONSE 10: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP is required to comply with the publication rules of the Montana Administrative Procedure Act.

COMMENT 11: One commenter stated that the best way to win an election was not through campaign material, but that having a good ground game and getting out and talking to the voters win elections.

RESPONSE 11: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 12: One commenter wanted the COPP to stop the people who violate the laws before worrying about where the money is coming from.

RESPONSE 12: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 13: One commenter stated that the Commissioner is an appointed position as an executive over free speech, and that it removes the ability to have a fair and open due process to candidates and committees.

RESPONSE 13: The COPP rejects this comment because the COPP's Sufficiency Decisions and following enforcement process provides for full due process.

COMMENT 14: Several commenters wanted strengthened reporting and disclosure for organizations who lobby or advocate to change or influence laws, rules or

regulations in Montana. Another commenter stated that the proposed rules were not inclusive enough, because they did not address lobbying by dark money groups for legislative actions.

RESPONSE 14: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 15: One commenter believed that the COPP's rules limit the amount that an individual, person, candidate, or committee could spend.

RESPONSE 15: This comment is rejected as the proposed rules do not further restrict any of Montana contribution limits or prohibitions.

COMMENT 16: Several commenters expressed support for the new laws and proposed rules which require increased transparency in campaign finance reporting in Montana elections.

RESPONSE 16: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 17: Several commenters stated that they did not believe that the COPP had authorization to engage in rulemaking outside of the provisions of the Disclose Act.

RESPONSE 17: The COPP rejects this comment because Montana law requires that the COPP biannually review its rules, and determine whether any new or existing rule should be adopted, amended, or repealed, 2-4-314, MCA.

COMMENT 18: One commenter wanted the COPP to define "public need" and "people's informational interest" as found in the COPP's Statements of Reasonable Necessity.

RESPONSE 18: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP notes that it has received phone calls and e-mails demonstrating great public need for the rules, as well as rules that help candidates, committees, and the public participate in our elections on an even playing field.

COMMENT 19: Several commenters provided testimony that they believed the new laws would require the COPP to change a committee's IRS tax status.

RESPONSE 19: The COPP rejects this comment because the COPP does not have jurisdiction over federal law which makes determinations regarding an entity's tax reporting obligations. Montana law requires reporting regardless of tax status, 13-37-233, MCA.

COMMENT 20: One commenter suggested that the Commissioner should adopt a rule requiring the Commissioner to take a lie detector test and have the results published prior to requirement of any information from any group.

RESPONSE 20: The COPP rejects this comment because the Commissioner operates within the authority provided by Montana law and that law does not require such a test.

COMMENT 21: One commenter provided comments on a copy of the proposed rules which had the strikethrough and underlining removed, which indicated the deletion of old language, and adoption of new language. The resulting comments are often unintentionally irrelevant.

RESPONSE 21: The COPP will address the comments which are substantive to the rules in the comments.

COMMENT 22: One commenter wanted to know if the COPP was addressing the recent ruling on contribution limits in the rulemaking process.

RESPONSE 22: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP did consider relevant law when drafting the rules.

COMMENT 23: Several commenters requested that the primary purpose rule be strengthened so that the provisions of the rule could not be evaded. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 23: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rule as proposed.

COMMENT 24: Several commenters pointed out that the primary purpose "test" has become a significant means by which campaign groups try to avoid disclosure in Montana, claiming that their activity is de minimis or not the organization's primary purpose. The rules should ensure that all campaign groups are treated equally for disclosure purposes. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 24: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rule as proposed.

COMMENT 25: A couple commenters pointed out that candidates have to fully report and disclose their contributors, where as a committee that claims only incidentally be involved in elections is only required to report and disclose its earmarked or solicited contributions. They point out that the Disclose Act was enacted to reaffirm the COPP as a neutral arbitrator, and make the determination of when a committee should be reporting all their donors as an independent committee. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 25: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 26: One commenter questioned why the COPP has the right to examine an organization to determine its primary purpose. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 26: This comment is rejected because the COPP has for decades had authority (see ARM 44.10.329) to examine an organization in order to properly determine political committee status.

COMMENT 27: Several commenters were worried that the new law and proposed rules would require a membership organization to disclose their membership or donors. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 27: This comment is rejected because there is no requirement of membership disclosure of entities who incidentally become a political committee. The rules do require disclosure of donors who make earmarked contributions or contributions in response to an appeal to support the committee's election activity.

COMMENT 28: A couple commenters stated that they made contributions to membership organizations, and that they are willing to have their name, occupation, and amount of contribution disclosed, and that it is their expectation that all groups do the same. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 28: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 29: Several commenters suggested that the determination of primary purpose made by the COPP is too open-ended, provides too much latitude and discretion to the COPP which would result in unequal treatment between organizations. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 29: The COPP accepts this comment and, as set out below, amends several parts of the rule regarding primary purpose.

COMMENT 30: Several commenters suggested that "election activity" as a consideration to determining a group's "primary purpose" was overbroad in (2)(d) and (f). New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 30: The COPP accepts this comment and amends the rule to accommodate the suggestion by adopting inserting "reportable" in front of "election activity."

COMMENT 31: Several commenters suggested that once the COPP classified a committee that there would be no basis for appeal. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 31: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the rule to add a reference to the review process found at ARM 44.10.329 (44.11.204).

COMMENT 32: One commenter suggested the addition of 13-1-101 and 13-37-114, MCA, to the implementing statutes, as that is where primary purpose is defined. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 32: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the rule as suggested.

COMMENT 33: One commenter suggested the COPP delete the word "major" and two commenters suggested the deletion of the term "important" from (1) of the proposed rule. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 33: The COPP rejects this comment because a primary purpose determination distinguishes between incidental (that is, less than major or important) and independent political committees.

COMMENT 34: The statute says "primary purpose is determined by the commissioner by rule and includes criteria such as the allocation of budget, staff, or members' activity or the statement of purpose or goal of the person or individuals that form the committee," 13-1-101(22)(b), MCA. The proposed rule changes the construction of the statute, only applying allocation to the budget. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 34: The COPP accepts this comment, and adopts an amendment clarifying (2)(b) also is based on allocation.

COMMENT 35: Several commenters requested a percentage or tipping point at which a group's primary purpose is determined thereby moving the group from being an incidental to an independent committee and requiring increased disclosure. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 35: The COPP rejects the percentage determination because a very large group can carry out a major election activity with a small percent of its budget.

COMMENT 36: Many commenters requested that the COPP's determination be based on a "preponderance of the evidence" standard. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 36: The COPP accepts this comment and amends the rule adding (5) to accommodate the suggested change.

COMMENT 37: Many commenters objected to the change from "the" to "a" in the COPP's proposed rule regarding primary purpose, stating that the rule was contrary to the enabling legislation. Several commenters pointed out case law which supports the COPP's wording of the proposed rule, noting that an entity's "primary purpose" need not be its exclusive or even a majority purpose, and that an organization may

have a primary purpose to which it dedicates only a minority of its resources to over a given time period. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 37: The COPP accepts this comment, and will amend the rule to accommodate the suggestion.

COMMENT 38: Several commenters stated that they had had incidental committees for ballot issue measures for anywhere from the past 3 or 4 election cycles, to the past 20 years. Their concern is with the COPP's proposed items for consideration in determining a committee's primary purpose regarding (2)(d) "election activity" and "(e) the history of the committee and the number of election in which it has participated or registered", "(f) the receipt of contributions in response to an appeal or that are designated for a specific candidate, ballot issue, petition or election activity", and "(g) the number and cost of reportable election expenditures made." New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 38: The COPP accepts the suggested change to (3)(a) which will be amended to read "reportable election activity" as stated above. The COPP rejects the remaining suggested changes to (3) because all of these criteria assist the COPP, the public, and committees to assess whether or not an organization's primary purpose is one of supporting or opposing candidates or ballot issues, rather than incidentally making an expenditure and becoming involved in an election.

COMMENT 39: Many commenters worried that if the COPP classified their reportable election activity as the work of an independent committee rather than an incidental committee, that their organizations could lose their tax reporting status. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 39: The COPP rejects this comment as the COPP classification is, as is the group's tax status, dependent on the group's actions. Montana law requires disclosure regardless of a group's tax status, 13-37-233, MCA.

COMMENT 40: Many commenters pointed out that the IRS treats ballot initiatives as lobbying rather than as an electioneering communication. This results in many of the organizations maintaining a separate PAC for candidate or independent expenditures, and utilizing incidental committee status for ballot issues or measures. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 40: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 41: One commenter suggested that the COPP include out of state election activity in consideration of a committee's primary purpose. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 41: The COPP rejects this comment because the rule as proposed includes out of state reportable election activity as a factor in making a determination of an entity's primary purpose.

COMMENT 42: One commenter suggested changing "solicited or earmarked" to "in response to an appeal" and "designated." New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 42: The COPP accepted the earlier comment and the rules as proposed reflect these proposed changes.

COMMENT 43: One commenter referenced a rebuttable presumption which was in an earlier draft of the proposed primary purpose rule, stating that the timeframe was too long. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 43: The COPP accepted the earlier comment and the rule as proposed reflects this proposed change.

COMMENT 44: Several commenters concurred with the proposed amendments of the Montana Trial Lawyers Association to avoid potential reclassification of an organization from incidental to independent committee status. New Rule I, 44.11.203.

RESPONSE 44: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the rule to accommodate some of the MTLA suggestions for the reasons stated above, as well as the following reasons: (1) the word "a" was amended from the proposal notice to "the." Section (2) now contains clarification of the statutory language statute as enacted, and a new (3) is added to the rule which contains other similar criteria for committees, persons, the COPP, and the public to consider when making a determination of whether their committee is incidental or independent. The proposed (3) is renumbered to (4) but otherwise adopted as proposed. (5) and (6) were added for the reasons stated above.

COMMENT 45: One commenter requested that the COPP adopt an amended rule that if a candidate fails to appoint a treasurer, that the candidate will perform the duties of the treasurer. New Rule II, 44.11.220.

RESPONSE 45: The COPP rejects this comment because Montana statutes require the appointment of a treasurer, 13-37-201, MCA.

COMMENT 46: One commenter suggested that the COPP adopt an amendment to the rule which would require only the disclosure of a mailing address on the form. New Rule II, 44.11.220.

RESPONSE 46: The COPP rejects this comment as unnecessary as the COPP allows the candidate to choose to provide either their mailing address, or both their mailing and physical address.

COMMENT 47: One commenter suggested that the rule authority should also include 2-2-136, MCA. And further suggested clarification of which "elected candidates" the rule applies to by referring to 2-2-106, MCA. New Rule III, 44.11.221.

RESPONSE 47: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the rule as suggested.

COMMENT 48: Two commenters recommended language be added to the rule specifying that the degree of punitive action shall be in keeping with the level and number of offenses. New Rule IV, 44.11.240.

RESPONSE 48: The COPP rejects this comment because enforcement, while nuanced, is proceeding efficiently under the proposed language.

COMMENT 49: One commenter stated that (1)(b) could require the production of records that could be 10, 15, or 20 years old and wholly unrelated to the complaint being investigated. New Rule IV, 44.11.240.

RESPONSE 49: The COPP rejects this comment because candidates and committees are only required to maintain records for a period of 4 years, or the term of the office, whichever is longer, 13-37-208, MCA.

COMMENT 50: One commenter stated that the rule shows a clear progression of statutory enforcement actions that the Commissioner can take, and appreciates them being gathered into one rule for clarity. New Rule IV, 44.11.240.

RESPONSE 50: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 51: Several commenters expressed concern that requiring candidates and committees to report electronic contributions as received on the date the contribution was made to the service provider will artificially inflate the public's perception of the recipient's financials. They suggested changing the rule to reporting the contribution on the date it is deposited in their campaign account and available to the recipient. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 51: The COPP rejects this comment because the rule as proposed streamlines reporting obligations by eliminating the need to first report debt (13-37-229(1)(g), MCA (2015)), and later report the physical receipt of the contribution 13-37-229, MCA. Further the rule allows the candidate to enter the contribution into their report in the true name of the donor.

COMMENT 52: Several commenters stated that it is imperative that all campaign donations be reported and disclosed as quickly as possible to the voters. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 52: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 53: One commenter stated that this rule presumes that a candidate or committee are watching their account every day in order to be able to report correctly. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 53: The COPP rejects this comment because it adds no new requirements. Montana law already requires that accounts be kept current within 5 days for reporting, and available for inspection, 13-37-228 and 13-37-209, MCA. Further, certain candidates and committees are required to report within 2 business days of receiving contributions or making expenditures in the final days of an election, 13-37-226, MCA.

COMMENT 54: One commenter pointed out that (4) requires the contribution to be returned to the contributor, and requested an amendment that allows an over the limit contribution to be donated to a charity as specified by the personal benefit rules. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 54: The COPP rejects this comment because the over the limit funds cannot be accepted and controlled by the campaign but must be returned to the contributor.

COMMENT 55: One commenter stated that the rule that anonymous contributions should never be accepted conflicts with the 44.10.512 (44.11.406) Mass Collections at Fund-Raising Events rule. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 55: The COPP rejects this comment because the mass fundraising rule allows collection of donations of under \$35 without reporting and disclosing the name of the contributor, but there is no exemption allowed under law for accepting and retaining anonymous contributions, 13-37-217, MCA.

COMMENT 56: One commenter stated that it is unclear whether or not the rule will apply to receiving contributions by credit card. They stated that IRS rules allow the associated organization to pay the administrative processing fees for a committee, and that the COPP's rules should be amended to show that. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 56: The COPP rejects this comment because a payment by credit card is still an electronic contribution, so the rule would cover the acceptance in that manner. This is a nuanced comment, and the COPP will adopt an amendment clarifying its application. For a candidate, the payment of processing fees by a committee would be an in-kind contribution subject to limits, and would violate Montana law if the entity were a corporation. For a committee the payment of processing fees by an associated organization would be a contribution from the organization to the committee, and should be reported as such. The COPP further adopts amendments to ARM 44.10.513 (44.11.403) and ARM 44.10.533 (44.11.503)

explaining in simpler language how to properly report and disclose in-kind contributions and expenditures.

COMMENT 57: Several commenters provided informational testimony stating that receiving payment from an online payment portal can happen anywhere from immediately up to 21 days. Most seemed to receive a physical check within 5 days. One commenter pointed out that you can set up an e-mail alert to notify you of receipt of a contribution, and that you only have to check it once a week to keep your records current according to the rules. New Rule V, 44.11.408.

RESPONSE 57: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 58: One commenter suggested requiring attribution on election materials by "an individual acting on his or her own behalf" could raise freedom of speech concerns. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 58: The COPP rejects this comment because the regulation is applied with due deference to anonymity protection afforded by *McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Comm'n*, 514 U.S. 344, 347 (1995). See e.g. *Colstad v. Devers*, COPP-2013-CFP-026.

COMMENT 59: One commenter suggested that the attribution rule require the disclosure of a physical address for organizations to avoid the appearance that some committees are in-state entities rather than out of state entities. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 59: The COPP rejects this comment because Montana law requires "for election communications, electioneering communications, or independent expenditures financed by a political committee that is a corporation or a union " that the attribution requires "the address of the principal place of business", 13-35-225, MCA, and the rule clarifies that it is the "physical address" of the corporation or union's principal place of business.

COMMENT 60: One commenter suggested that the COPP's traditional commitment to working informally with candidates and committees when it comes to attribution omissions during a campaign, while important, need not necessarily be in the proposed rule. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 60: The COPP rejects this comment because recent legislation (13-35-225(5), MCA) added the COPP's informal approach as a statutory requirement.

COMMENT 61: One commenter stated that (3)(a)(ii) requires attribution language to be large enough to read, and requested an amendment allowing an exemption for social media communications. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 61: The COPP rejects the comment because the attribution is required by 13-35-225(1), MCA, and there is no exception in the law for web site materials. (See also COPP-2014-AO-0015).

COMMENT 62: One commenter, responding to an earlier draft version of the rule, submitted a comment that requiring (3)(b)(i) attributions to be spoken at the end of the message could have potential First Amendment implications. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 62: The COPP accepted the comment and changed the rule as proposed to reflect that the attribution must be spoken within the communication.

COMMENT 63: One commenter requested that the COPP amend the proposed rule to state that party designations required by (4)(b) apply only to state and local candidates. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 63: The COPP rejects this comment because it not necessary as campaign practice laws and rules only apply to state and local candidates.

COMMENT 64: One commenter wanted the COPP to add the requirement in (3)(b) that visual content communication should also be required to speak the attribution language in the communication. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 64: The COPP rejects this comment because the disclosure provided by the written attribution meets the purposes of the statute.

COMMENT 65: One commenter wanted the proposed rule to allow "GOP" when referring to the Republican Party in communications. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 65: The COPP rejects this comment because neither the Libertarian nor Democratic Parties have a similar nickname for their political party and the designations need to be consistent.

COMMENT 66: One commenter wanted to know if attributions would be required on "educational materials." New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 66: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. If the educational materials are election communications or electioneering communications, 13-35-225, MCA, requires attribution of the item provided to the voters of Montana.

COMMENT 67: One commenter wanted to know if their old campaign signs would be unusable because the attribution is printed on the back. New Rule VI, 44.11.601.

RESPONSE 67: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. You can easily bring

the old signs into compliance by placing a sticker with the attribution on the front of the sign.

COMMENT 68: One commenter stated that (1)(a) is superfluous and confusing. Its elimination clarifies which criteria must be met for an expenditure to be treated as coordinated. Another commenter stated that the reference to "political committee" in (1)(b) is vague and could be read to cover committees other than a candidate's principal campaign committee. Another commenter suggested adopting plain language for (1)(c). New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 68: The COPP accepts these comments as applied to (1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(c). Accordingly the COPP has rewritten (1)(a-c) in a single plain language paragraph (1) that drops the words objected to by the commenters. The COPP rewrite is based on the proposed paragraph (1) language submitted by the Montana Trial Lawyers Association (MTLA).

COMMENT 69: One commenter asked if coordinated expenditures have to have both parties in agreement in order to report the expenditure or contribution. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 69: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. See (1)(b) and (c) of the proposed rule, and (1) of the adopted rule.

COMMENT 70: One commenter states that the suggestion in (2) that coordination can be found based on "relationships" between a campaign and a person making an independent expenditure runs afoul of the constitutional principles set forth in *Colorado Republican*, and should be deleted. Another commenter objects to the focus of (2) stating that coordination as it is found today is based on the conduct or actions of candidates and committees who coordinate. The rule should focus on the conduct of the parties, not the relationship between them. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 70: The COPP accepts the comments and drops (2) entirely. The paid agent provision is incorporated into new paragraph (2) which defines the actions that trigger a particular relationship leading to coordination.

COMMENT 71: A couple commenters requested that the COPP clearly define the phrase "an agent of the candidate or political committee." New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 71: The COPP rejects this comment because an agent is defined elsewhere in statute at 28-10-101, MCA.

COMMENT 72: One commenter stated that membership communications are specifically excluded from the definition of expenditure in 13-1-101, MCA, and that the coordination rule does not follow the exceptions in the statute. Several commenters requested the COPP adopt an exemption from the coordination rule for

information which is obtained from publicly available sources. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 72: The COPP rejects these comments as requesting unnecessary actions because the exclusions found in the definition of expenditure are incorporated into reportable election activity and, further, (3)(e) set out the public source exemption. The COPP adopts (3) largely as proposed, but inserts "reportable" in front of election activity, and changes "political committee" in (d) to "candidate's agent."

COMMENT 73: The relationship comments made in regard to (2) apply also to (4). One commenter proposed a change to (4)(b) to provide examples of what "after publication or distribution means." New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 73: The COPP amends (4) by inserting a new (a) clarifying that the rule does not exist solely because of relationships, and re-lettering the proposed (4) as (a) through (d). The COPP rejects the request for examples because examples are best given in the manuals prepared by the COPP for use by candidates and committees.

COMMENT 74: A number of comments were made concerning (5). Several commenters expressed concern that if any activity found in (5)(a-g) is alleged in a complaint, and no additional evidence is provided or found by the COPP, that the COPP would be obligated to find that the expenditure was coordinated, which is inconsistent with the First Amendment. Several commenters claimed that an associational activity like fundraising cannot be used as evidence of coordination, unless the solicited funds were intended for use in the candidate's campaign (referring to (5)(g)). Similar associational activity comments were received regarding (5)(c) creating a rebuttable presumption that a communication is coordinated if the candidate's paid fundraiser is also raising money for the third party sponsoring the communication. Two commenters proposed a change to (5)(d). Another comment said (5)(f) and the current version of (6) are duplicative of (5)(c) and should be deleted. One commenter requested clarification on (5)(e) and whether the term "mode" in the proposed coordination rule is synonymous with "media." Another commenter stated the coordination rule's 12 month "cooling off period" (5)(g) will make conduct that took place before the rule was enacted a violation of law. A few commenters stated that the rebuttable presumption would lead to "the onus of proving innocence would fall to the ... organization or candidate" and "a guilty until proven innocent starting point." Several commenters expressed concern with the 12 month rebuttable presumption time frame in the coordination rule. At the same time several commenters pointed out the use of a publicly filed firewall statement to overcome the rebuttable presumption. Suggestions varied from support for leaving the rebuttable presumption at twelve months, or reducing it to six or four months, and finally eliminating it entirely. One commenter stated that under (5)(g) a political figure who donates an item to a non-profit fundraiser, and then 11 months later the non-profit makes an expenditure supporting the political figure, that the expenditure would be considered coordinated without evidence to the contrary. One commenter

requested that rebuttable presumption be defined somewhere so that non-lawyers will understand what they are up against. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 74: The COPP responds to the comments submitted on (5) of the rule by accepting and rejecting in the manner of adopting language for (5) that does not include the rebuttable presumption approach and lessens the cooling off period to 6 months. In making these changes the COPP notes that rule, as rewritten, still defines a greatly strengthened approach to coordination. Given Montana's enforcement strengths the COPP believes this rule is sufficient at this time. Still, the COPP notes that other states, such as California, are adopting the rebuttable presumption approach in dealing with coordination. It may be that Montana will need to again reconsider this rule if the approaches set out in the rule are not sufficient to control coordination. The COPP's rewritten (5) again begins with proposed language submitted by the MTLA. The COPP's rewritten (5) is now listed as paragraph (2) of the rule.

COMMENT 75: One commenter suggested that the firewall statement does not provide candidates or committees with sufficient protection against a coordination finding. Several commenters questioned the ability of the COPP to request a firewall statement from a vendor or person under no obligation to the office. Several commenters worried about the burden of filing a firewall statement on small local vendors as well as on large vendors. Two commenters asked how someone will document a firewall with the COPP, and will the COPP be providing a form, or will everyone have to create their own. One commenter questioned whether or not a vendor's failure to file a firewall statement with the COPP would lead to an automatic administrative penalty to the candidate. One commenter said that the presumption of coordination, even though rebuttable, is contrary to the constitutional principle that speech and its expressive activity is protected and should be deleted. One commenter suggested that the vendor firewall statement should be limited to vendors who are involved in independent expenditure campaigns, and not to vendors used by candidates. One commenter suggested that the firewall statements would be unworkable because an individual will not know a year in advance where they will be working or for whom. One commenter stated that it would be impossible for a candidate or committee to ascertain whether or not they were using the same vendor. One commenter said (6) would make it incredibly difficult for organizations to hire qualified staff, and to effectively engage citizens and participate in the political process. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 75: The COPP deletes (6) in its entirety, incorporating the surviving concepts into the new (2). The COPP has addressed rebuttable presumption issue in its response to (5), above. The COPP has removed the vendor firewall requirement but notes that such a firewall will become a "best practices" requirement of vendors such that sophisticated vendors will voluntarily adopt and file such a firewall anyway.

COMMENT 76: One commenter argued that an expenditure that is reported as independent, and later found to be coordinated, would put the committee or

candidate in violation of (7), opening the committee up to further potential liability. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 76: The COPP adopts (7) as proposed, but it is renumbered (5). The COPP rejects the specific comment because any liability under 13-37-128, MCA, is based on the facts of the action, not on an artifice of law.

COMMENT 77: One commenter proposed a lengthy list of questions about how one proposed expenditure would be treated under the new rules, including 7 separate inquiries, and covering coordination, primary purpose, value, electronic and hard copy voters guides, non-resident committees, reporting, etc. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 77: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 78: One commenter suggested the adoption of a strict three part test for actual coordination – knowledge of time, cost, and content. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 78: The COPP rejects this comment because it lacks the sophistication to deal with the nuances of the manner in which coordination occurs.

COMMENT 79: One commenter suggested that the policy of the COPP in exempting de minimis actions should be that the informational benefit provided to the voters is greater than the costs of administration by the COPP and alleged violator, as well as the costs to Montanans for identification of the violator. New Rule VII, 44.11.602.

RESPONSE 79: The COPP rejects this comment because it is already reflected in the language of the rule.

COMMENT 80: One commenter argued that (1)(b) expresses the reasoning of *Canyon Ferry*, but does not recognize the broad pro-disclosure holding of *Citizens United* for both express and issue advocacy. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 80: The COPP rejects this comment because it considered *Canyon Ferry* and *Citizens United* when writing the rules.

COMMENT 81: One commenter suggested consideration of an element that gets at the information interest more directly by taking account of the size of the action relative to the size of the constituency or campaign. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 81: The COPP rejects this comment because it is already reflected in the language of the rule.

COMMENT 82: One commenter pointed out that persons can engage in election activity which costs up to \$250 without triggering reporting and disclosing requirements. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 82: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 83: Two commenters stated that the use of the word "may" in (3) ignores the volunteer exemption from "contribution" found in 13-1-101, MCA. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 83: The COPP rejects this comment because 13-1-101, MCA, offers limited exemptions (a volunteer's "time" or "meals and lodging provided by individuals in their private residence"). It does not generally exempt a "contribution" from individuals that fall outside of those exemptions.

COMMENT 84: One commenter stated that the only time a volunteer's time could not be considered de minimis is if they were a professional who under normal circumstances receives payment for their services. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 84: The COPP rejects this comment because even professionals who chose to volunteer their time are exempt from the requirement that their time be reported as a contribution to the campaign. *See Settlement Stip. MONTPIRG*, July 2003, pp. 6-8. Each person has 24 hours of time in a day and can choose to volunteer some of that time.

COMMENT 85: One commenter stated that the proposed rule provided for a common-sense determination of whether the activity is significant to warrant reporting, and what would be exempted from reporting. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 85: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 86: One commenter wanted to know if campaign signs placed at intersections and throughout fields in Montana would be required to be reported and disclosed if there is no money exchanged for the placement. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 86: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. See (3)(c).

COMMENT 87: Two commenters objected to (1)(e) "other factors and circumstances" and (2) "case by case basis" would open the door to unequal application or regulation depending on who is serving as Commissioner. New Rule VIII, 44.11.603.

RESPONSE 87: The COPP rejects this comment because the COPP is required to look at the facts and apply the law in any given situation in order to make a sufficiency decision and to make a determination on whether or not to take "appropriate legal action," 13-37-124, MCA, *see also Doty v. Mont. COPP*, 2007 MT 341; *LeFer v. Murry*, 978 F. Supp. 2d 1177 (2013); and *Montanans for Cmty. Dev. v. Motl*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32986 (D. Mont. 2014).

COMMENT 88: One commenter suggested that nonpartisan voter registration and get out the vote drives are exempted from reporting and disclosure due to the reference in the definition of "support or oppose" found in 13-1-101(49)(b), MCA. New Rule IX, 44.11.604.

RESPONSE 88: The COPP rejects this comment as support or oppose is not a factor in reporting required for electioneering communication.

COMMENT 89: The primary sponsor of the Disclose Act, SB 289, Sen. Ankney stated that when "things look like you are trying to influence an election, when done right before the election, have to be disclosed too." He went on to express that the rules and the Act were about accountability, and making information available to the voters of Montana in a way they can use the information. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 89: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 90: One commenter pointed out that if an organization is truly trying to change the mind of voters, that their educational activity will take place at all times, not just within election timeframes. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 90: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 91: One commenter pointed out that the electioneering communication rule presses the electorate to ignore partisan generated information, and to rely instead on unbiased primary sources. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 91: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 92: One commenter suggested the insertion of "objective" between the words "reasonable" and "interpretation" in the electioneering communication rule. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 92: The COPP rejects this comment for the reason that "reasonable interpretation" is a standard of common use.

COMMENT 93: One commenter states that the word "not" in a particular electioneering communication definition (1)(c) is unclear and should be deleted or clarified. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 93: The COPP rejects this comment because, after review of (1)(c), the COPP determines that the use of "not" is appropriate and important as written.

COMMENT 94: Several commenters thought that the rule did not include exemptions for election activity which should be exempt from disclosure. Items such as a news media and blog exemption, membership communication exemptions were mentioned. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 94: The COPP rejects this comment because the statutory definition of electioneering communication 13-1-101(14), MCA, lists exemptions. Section (3) of the proposed rule includes those statutory exceptions by reference to the statute.

COMMENT 95: Several commenters suggested that the COPP should adopt an amendment which allows for lobbying communications to be exempted from reporting as an electioneering communication, as the IRS rules allow committees to lobby. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 95: The COPP rejects this comment because there is no reason to treat "grassroots lobbying communications" differently from any other electioneering communication which occurs within 60 days of voting. The entity is not restricted in carrying out the communication; it simply has to report and disclose. In contrast, allowing the exemption creates a loophole in reporting and disclosure.

COMMENT 96: Many commenters suggested the COPP adopt an exemption for legislative communications. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 96: The COPP rejects this comment because there is no reason to treat "legislative communications" differently from any other electioneering communication which occurs within 60 days of voting. The entity is not restricted in carrying out the legislative communication; it simply has to report and disclose. In contrast, allowing the exemption creates a loophole in reporting and disclosure. The COPP notes that the standard means of legislative communications are exempted. Exemptions allow organizations to communicate with their membership, without reporting and disclosure. Further, if the legislative communication were to reference the issue and the upcoming legislative vote, without mentioning the candidate or ballot issue, it would not be an electioneering communication. Still further government sponsored broadcast communication such as testimony at legislative hearings is exempted.

COMMENT 97: Several commenters requested that the COPP adopt an exemption for nonpartisan voter registration drives, candidate forums, and voter information pamphlets or guides which encourage voters, and increases the likelihood that they vote. Further the organizations provide information on where to vote, and how to

register. The exemption that they want added by rule is for organizations who send out voter guides that outline where candidates stand on important issues or ballot measures. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 97: The COPP rejects this comment because there is no reason to treat "voter focused" communication differently from any other electioneering communication which occurs within 60 days of voting and because most of the concerns raised by the comment are addressed by exemptions. The entity is not restricted in carrying out the communication; it simply has to report and disclose. In contrast, allowing the exemption creates a vast loophole in reporting and disclosure. The COPP notes that voter communications which do not reference a candidate or ballot issue are not electioneering communications. Thus, such communications that solely encourage individuals to register to vote, or to vote are excluded from reporting and disclosure, 13-1-101(14)(b)(i), MCA. Further, candidate forum communications solely advertising the forum or debate are exempted, 13-1-101(15)(b)(iv), MCA. The COPP will adopt an amendment clarifying that listing all political parties committees in a voter information pamphlet, without reference to candidates or ballot issue is exempted from electioneering communications in (3)(d).

COMMENT 98: One commenter questioned whether or not the "60 days of the initiation of voting in an election" includes the absentee voting period including the military absentee voting period. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 98: The COPP rejects this comment because the rule (2)(a) refers to 13-19-206, MCA, which is when the election officials mail absentee ballots, not when the military absentee voting becomes electronically available.

COMMENT 99: One commenter suggested that the COPP add language to clarify what "non-election information" in subsection (3)(d) means. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 99: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the rule to read: "any other communication by a local government or state agency that contains information about a public official or election." Governmental agencies are already prohibited by law from spending public funds for or against a candidate or ballot issue. This change clarifies the intended reach of the original language. Government can continue its normal communication without reporting and disclosure, with the exception of some ballot issue (bonding for schools and counties) where government can continue to communicate but the cost of that communication will now need to be reported and disclosed to the public.

COMMENT 100: A couple of commenters wondered if public service announcements which appear to increase near an election cycle will be reported and disclosed as electioneering communications. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 100: The COPP rejects this comment because the exemptions for state and local government public service announcements, which are performed as

a duty of their office, are excluded from the definition of electioneering communications (3)(d).

COMMENT 101: Many commenters suggested that the reporting and disclosure of electioneering communications would provide inaccurate, dishonest, and useless information to voters, because the organization does not actually support or oppose a particular candidate or ballot issue. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 101: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP notes that these comments would allow "dark money" use to continue.

COMMENT 102: Several commenters wondered whether (4)'s "facts and circumstances" standard could result in the COPP's mishandling of reports including electioneering communications, with no clear standard on how it will be determined. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 102: The COPP rejects this comment because the COPP will need to make decisions based on law and precedent.

COMMENT 103: One commenter stated that the COPP should not be allowed to make a determination after the fact of an electioneering communication being issued (4). New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 103: The COPP rejects this comment as contrary to the COPP campaign practice complaint process, something that has been in place for decades.

COMMENT 104: A couple commenters suggest deletion of (5) in the proposed rule, as it does not cure the problem for 501(c)(3) organizations that the rule would create. Several commenters expressed support for the request of a letter from the COPP should the committee desire one. One commenter suggested that the COPP just issue a letter to everyone. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 104: The COPP rejects these comments because the rule provides for a letter at the choice of the entity. Montana law requires reporting regardless of the tax status of an entity, 13-37-233, MCA.

COMMENT 105: Many commenters suggested that an organization which does not support or oppose candidates would be required to do one of three things in regard to a lobbying communication: 1) report the electioneering communication, and provide useless information to voters; 2) quiet their speech and not send a communication; or 3) decide not to report the electioneering communication and hope that a campaign finance complaint was not filed against them. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 105: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 106: Several commenters stated that reporting electioneering communications to the COPP would require the committee to designate their expenditure as "supporting or opposing" a candidate or ballot issue, thereby violating their IRS status. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 106: The COPP rejects this comment because an electioneering communication (as opposed to an election communication) does not require a support or oppose designation.

COMMENT 107: A couple commenters suggested that the COPP create a special form for 501(c) organizations to report their electioneering communications which are informational and do not evidence a bias or preference with respect to the views of any candidate or group of candidates. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 107: The COPP rejects the comment as to a special form but notes that the general campaign finance reporting form will be modified to accommodate electioneering communication reporting, and the rule will be amended to reflect its existence.

COMMENT 108: A couple commenters stated that the statute and rules would prevent 501(c) organizations' ability to make educational information available to non-members within 90 days of an election, which would also include information on their web sites. They stated that they relied on the information provided in order to make an educated decision about how to cast their ballot. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 108: The COPP rejects this comment as inaccurate. There are exemptions that may apply and, further, the organization is not restricted in communication but simply required to report and disclose. Montana law requires reporting regardless of tax status, 13-37-233, MCA.

COMMENT 109: A couple commenters pointed out that an organization may publish a voting record report after a legislative session, which would remain online for an extended period of time on their web site, but that the publication of which would have occurred well outside the 60-day window. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 109: The COPP rejects this comment because it involves application of fact, not law, depending on the nuances of republication, 13-1-101(15), MCA.

COMMENT 110: One commenter requested that the COPP adopt an exemption for existing 501(c)(3) organizations conducting allowable election activities. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 110: The COPP rejects this comment because preferential treatment undermines the purpose of reporting and disclosure and raises constitutional issues. Montana law requires reporting regardless of tax status, 13-37-233, MCA.

COMMENT 111: One commenter in commenting on an earlier draft of the proposed rule, stated that in order to ensure clarity, the COPP should adopt a subsection providing that an electioneering communication includes an independent expenditure. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 111: The COPP accepted the comment and adopted the suggestion at (1)(f).

COMMENT 112: One commenter, in commenting on an earlier draft of the proposed rule, stated that the COPP should consider adopting "susceptible of no reasonable interpretation other than as unrelated to the candidacy or the election" standard instead of a "reasonable person" standard. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 112: The COPP accepted the comment and modified the rule at (3).

COMMENT 113: One commenter objected to electioneering communication's inclusion of a "facts and circumstances" standard. New Rule X, 44.11.605.

RESPONSE 113: The COPP rejects this comment because the "facts and circumstances" standard is based on the general definition, including exclusions found in the statute and rule.

COMMENT 114: One commenter wanted the COPP to leave the Fair Notice rule as it is, and requested that the COPP extend the notice period to 15 days prior to the election. New Rule XI, 44.11.607.

RESPONSE 114: The COPP rejects this comment because the 10 day period is set by statute (13-35-402, MCA) and cannot be changed by regulation.

COMMENT 115: One commenter expressed support for the rule and the statute which require committees to provide candidates with notice of new election materials sent in the final days of an election, in order to be able to better respond to the material. New Rule XI, 44.11.607.

RESPONSE 115: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 116: One commenter suggested doubling the limits in the personal use of campaign funds on personal expenses. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 116: The COPP rejects this comment because it is based on confusion. There can be no personal use of campaign funds so doubling zero is still zero. The comment is likely addressed to personal contributions which are limited as to third parties but may be made by a candidate in any amount to his or her own campaign.

COMMENT 117: The primary sponsor of the Disclose Act, SB 289, Sen. Ankney commented that "we don't use our campaign contributions to line our pockets, our donors expect more from us." New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 117: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 118: Another commenter stated that they do not support individuals using campaign funds for personal gain. They also pointed out that there are some household items that candidates or small committees use when they are conducting campaigns such as printers or basic office equipment that should be able to be used without breaking the law. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 118: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. In general the candidate or committee will need to determine when this type of use passes de minimis such that a value should be reported and disclosed to the public.

COMMENT 119: One commenter wanted to know how it will be determined under (2)(c) when an expenditure does not serve a campaign purpose. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 119: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. In general it will be up to the campaign to be able to identify a campaign purpose for the expenditure.

COMMENT 120: One commenter wanted to know if a piece of campaign equipment had to be sold to determine a fair market value. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 120: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The item can be sold, donated, or converted to constituent use pursuant to the rule.

COMMENT 121: One commenter stated that it was not a good idea for the Commissioner to make a factual determination based on his or her own interpretation. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 121: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The Commissioner must follow law and provide deference to precedent.

COMMENT 122: One commenter stated that they believed that travel to attend a debate, appear as a speaker, or to meet with grassroots group should be allowed as a reasonable use of campaign funds. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 122: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. As long as a

candidate is traveling to those events as a candidate for office "that...serves a campaign purpose," the expenditure would be allowed under the proposed rule.

COMMENT 123: One commenter suggested that the COPP allow candidates to retain property purchased by the campaign for use in a future campaign. New Rule XII, 44.11.608.

RESPONSE 123: The COPP rejects this comment because Montana law (13-37-240 and 241, MCA) does not allow campaign funds from one campaign to be used in future campaigns.

COMMENT 124: One commenter expressed confusion over the definition of "person," "individual," and "support or oppose" as used in the rules. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 124: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. All of the terms are defined in 13-1-101, MCA.

COMMENT 125: Several commenters requested firm guidelines and solid definitions to provide candidates and committees with the ability to comply with the rule. Additionally, there was a question of where "fair market value" was defined, and why "election activity" was defined in the rules. One comment was received requesting that the definition of "election activity" be deleted entirely. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 125: The COPP rejects these comments because guidelines and definitions for candidates and committees are found in 13-1-101, MCA, as well as ARM 44.10.301 (44.11.103). "Election Activity" is a term used in SB 289, Section 14 in the disclosure for incidental committees, 13-37-232, MCA. It is further defined in the rules and includes both "reportable election activity," and "election activity" which will fall outside of the scope of regulation by the COPP. Further, the rule includes a definition of "fair market value."

COMMENT 126: Two commenters stated that the definition of "election activity" was vague, that it contradicted the statute, and was unnecessary. One commenter pointed out that the entire rule had to be read to understand it, and not to simply read the first sentence of the definition. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 126: The COPP rejects the first comment because election activity that triggers reporting and disclosure ("reportable election activity") or triggers limits (contributions or expenditures) is separately defined in accordance with appropriate constitutional considerations. The enabling statute states that election activity can consist of activity outside of the listed items. The COPP will amend the definition of election activity and reportable election activity to clarify the distinction.

COMMENT 127: Several commenters suggested that the definition of "election activity" in the rule is overly broad, that it would include voting, or volunteering time on a campaign, lobbying, and perhaps infringing upon First Amendment rights. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 127: The COPP rejects this comment because election activity that triggers reporting and disclosure ("reportable election activity") or triggers limits (contributions or expenditures) is separately defined in accordance with appropriate constitutional considerations.

COMMENT 128: One commenter wanted the rule to reflect all of the statutory definitions in this proposed rule. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 128: The COPP rejects this comment because under Montana law "rules may not unnecessarily repeat statutory language," 2-4-305(2), MCA. Here, the COPP determines it is unnecessary to repeat the statute.

COMMENT 129: One commenter wants the COPP to adopt a definition of "business days," such as Monday through Friday, excepting holidays provided by the State of Montana. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 129: The COPP rejects this comment because business days as used in the enabling statute and this regulation has the meaning suggested by the commenter, but the COPP determines it is not necessary to adopt the proposed language as it is a phrase of common knowledge.

COMMENT 130: One commenter wanted to know if the definition of "media" included regulation of their e-mail, Facebook or Twitter accounts, and how the definition would apply to a friend who was talking about their race on a social media account. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 130: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. An individual who talks about candidates or issues would only have to report and disclose the communication if it was a reportable election activity.

COMMENT 131: One commenter wanted to know if "reportable election activity" was enforceable, and how many investigators the COPP would have to hire because anyone can do an anonymous mailing. 44.10.301 (44.11.103).

RESPONSE 131: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 132: One commenter suggested that the proposed rule provides greater discretion to the Commissioner, and that it may lead to uneven interpretation or application of the law. 44.10.305 (44.11.105).

RESPONSE 132: The COPP rejects this comment because the amendments to the regulation clean up existing language, and clarify that the Commissioner must act within the limits of the law and authority of the statutes over which the COPP has jurisdiction.

COMMENT 133: One commenter requested that the COPP list who is an "officer authorized to administer oaths" in order to help the public understand who can file a complaint with the COPP. 44.10.307 (44.11.106).

RESPONSE 133: The COPP rejects this comment because the COPP has never had an issue with a complainant's lack of understanding of verification of a complaint. Further, the complaint forms provide a notary public block for signature of the complainant. In reviewing this comment, the COPP noted minor grammatical errors in the rule, and it was amended for clarification in this adoption notice.

COMMENT 134: One commenter wanted the COPP to amend the proposed rule to include that the complaint form is available on the COPP's web site. 44.10.307 (44.11.106).

RESPONSE 134: The COPP rejects this comment because ARM 44.10.101 (44.11.101)(4) states that "all forms referenced in the rules are available for download on the COPP's web site." 44.10.307 (44.11.106).

COMMENT 135: One commenter noted the growing problem with people filing complaints with the COPP just to have them on the record, even if the complaints were frivolous. The commenter noted that people then use "there were 52 complaints made to the COPP against candidate X," the commenter felt that such an assertion was very disingenuous and verging on defamation. 44.10.307 (44.11.106).

RESPONSE 135: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 136: One commenter suggested that the proposed contribution rule does not include a requirement for electronic reporting as the expenditure rule 44.10.323 (44.11.501) does, and that last minute contributions are just as informative as last minute expenditures in a candidate's campaign. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 136: The COPP rejects this comment because the requirements that certain candidates and committees file their reports electronically with the COPP are found elsewhere in the rules at ARM 44.10.401 (44.11.302). When a candidate or committee is required to report electronically, the requirement includes the 2 day reports of contributions or expenditures as required by 13-37-226, MCA.

COMMENT 137: One commenter stated that by including "coordinated expenditure" based on "election activity" as a contribution to a candidate or committee, the

reporting and disclosure of donor requirements apply to candidates and committees. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 137: This comment is accepted and the COPP modifies the regulation by adding the word "reportable" before "election activity."

COMMENT 138: Several commenters responded to the codification of the COPP's Administrative Opinion, COPP-2014-AO-009 dated May 19, 2014. One commenter stated that the COPP was impermissibly limiting the statute's language through the adoption of this rule. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 138: The COPP rejects this comment because, in the COPP's judgment, the proposed regulation has substantive and procedural authority. Substantively, this regulation takes previously unreported and undisclosed activity and requires reporting and disclosure (see COPP-2014-AO-009). Procedurally, the COPP held a public hearing on the draft proposed Advisory Opinion on March 4, 2014; adopted the Advisory Opinion as proposed and provided notice that the COPP would adopt this administrative regulation. Following this notice, the 2015 Legislature met, considered changes to the definition of "contribution" now found at 13-1-101(9)(a)(iv), MCA, and decided to leave the definition as it was with the limiting Advisory Opinion in place. Under these circumstances the 2015 Legislature knew of the limiting construction of the Advisory Opinion such that the following proposed rule could not be contradictory since its requirements were envisioned by the legislature before the rule was proposed.

COMMENT 139: One commenter objected to (1)(b) which requires a candidate to report contributions of tickets, advertisements, dinners, luncheons, and rallies. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 139: The COPP rejects this comment because this regulation has been in existence for multiple campaign cycles and is simply being transferred to the new rule number.

COMMENT 140: One commenter stated that the political party personal services exemption from the contribution limits would lead to dark money wheeling and dealing. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 140: The COPP rejects this comment because the money used for personal services must be reported by the political party as a contribution to the candidate, and the candidate must report its receipt; therefore the money will be fully reported and disclosed. The exemption applies only to the contribution limits placed on political parties, not to reporting and disclosure.

COMMENT 141: One commenter stated that (4) would allow a candidate to file a C-1 without designation to receive the maximum amount of contributions for any office. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 141: The COPP rejects this comment because the exploratory candidate is subject to 13-37-219, MCA, such that contributions are "subject to the lowest contribution limit of the offices the candidate is considering seeking."

COMMENT 142: One commenter stated that the requirement that political parties report and disclose the time spent on each candidate that serves the party's associational interest will be onerous. 44.10.321 (44.11.401).

RESPONSE 142: The COPP rejects this comment because ballot committees have been reporting in this manner for multiple campaign cycles. Further, the public's interest in transparency outweighs any burden on the political committee.

COMMENT 143: One commenter stated that the definition of expenditure in 13-1-101, MCA, which specifically excludes membership communications, is expanded by the proposed rule, because it does not contain the limitations of 13-1-101, MCA. 44.10.323 (44.11.501).

RESPONSE 143: The COPP rejects this comment because the rule at (1) references and includes the exclusions to expenditure found in 13-1-101, MCA. (1)(a)-(h) provide a list of commonly missed expenditures that candidates and committees fail to report and disclose.

COMMENT 144: One commenter suggested that the COPP clarify by rule the phrase "other periodical publication of general circulation" found in the definition of expenditure in 13-1-101(17)(b)(iii), MCA. 44.10.323 (44.11.501).

RESPONSE 144: The COPP rejects this comment because the phrase has been used without issue in past elections.

COMMENT 145: A few commenters requested an additional definition in the political committee definition and types rule of "in response to an appeal" specifying which contributions are subject to regulation. 44.10.327 (44.11.202).

RESPONSE 145: The COPP rejects this comment because Section 14 of SB 289 (now codified as 13-37-232, MCA) uses the words "in response to an appeal" in a manner providing sufficient definition.

COMMENT 146: One commenter wanted a definition of "designated" in (6)(b). 44.10.327 (44.11.202).

RESPONSE 146: The COPP rejects this comment because Section 14 of SB 289 (now codified as 13-37-232, MCA) uses the word "designated" in a manner providing sufficient definition.

COMMENT 147: Two commenters suggested that the use of "election activity" in the proposed rule would rule out all "reportable election activity" for committees. 44.10.327 (44.11.202).

RESPONSE 147: The COPP accepts this comment and modifies the rule to use "reportable election activity" in (6), (7), and (8).

COMMENT 148: One commenter suggested that the COPP delete the reference to "women's clubs," found in a previous draft, to make the rules gender neutral. 44.10.327 (44.11.202).

RESPONSE 148: The COPP accepts this comment, as it had already accepted a similar informal comment, and the rule as proposed included the change in language.

COMMENT 149: Two commenters wanted to know why the COPP used "expenditures" rather than "an expenditure" in referring to how committees become committees. 44.10.327 (44.11.202).

RESPONSE 149: The COPP accepts this comment and modifies the rule by adding "one or more" before the words "contributions" and "expenditures" in (1).

COMMENT 150: The primary sponsor of the Disclose Act, SB 289, Sen. Ankney commented that the amendment to the rule classifying political committee closes a loophole that is being manipulated in Montana today, and makes clear that an entity cannot simply choose a lower level of disclosure in Montana's elections. Sen. Ankney stated that entities should be held to the same disclosure level as your neighbor who contributes to your campaign. 44.10.329 (44.11.204).

RESPONSE 150: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the regulations as proposed. The COPP does amend the rule as adopted to eliminate the internal reference to the rule itself as unnecessary language.

COMMENT 151: One commenter wanted to know why the COPP should be able to designate a committee as incidental vs. independent. 44.10.329 (44.11.204).

RESPONSE 151: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the regulations as proposed. The COPP notes that it has had the responsibility for classifying political committees since 1976.

COMMENT 152: One commenter believed it appropriate that the COPP classify committees regardless of their tax status, and requiring reporting and disclosure from organizations who are actually engaged in election and electioneering activities. They pointed out that if a small number of large donors sufficiently dominated Montana's campaigns, that those persons may be able to determine the election outcome by selecting a slate of candidates and then ensuring that they have the resources and support necessary to be elected to office. They stated that Montanans have the right to have the information about who is supporting a candidate or issue prior to going to the polls. 44.10.329 (44.11.204).

RESPONSE 152: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the regulations as proposed.

COMMENT 153: One commenter stated that the COPP should not be able to classify a single person, working on their own behalf as a political committee because they are spending their own time to inform people and share public information. 44.10.329 (44.11.204).

RESPONSE 153: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the regulations as proposed. The COPP notes that a single individual working solely on their own behalf would not be classified as a political committee.

COMMENT 154: One commenter requests that the provisions of (2)(c) be amended to remove or create a threshold for the requirement of a separate account for primary and general funds. 44.10.330 (44.11.224).

RESPONSE 154: The COPP rejects this comment because Montana's contribution limits apply to "each" election, with the primary and general elections being separate elections. Mixing funds from the two separate elections has caused problems for candidates in past elections. The benefits of avoiding campaign practice violations by maintaining the rule far outweigh the minimal burden of establishing two accounts.

COMMENT 155: One commenter wanted to know if (3) applies to candidates who lose the primary or to a candidate who quits the campaign after the primary but before the general election. 44.10.330 (44.11.224).

RESPONSE 155: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The rule applies to candidates who lose the primary. If a candidate exits the race during the general, they can use campaign funds to extinguish general campaign debt, and then dispose of the funds pursuant to these regulations.

COMMENT 156: One commenter suggested that the COPP eliminate specific years and put different language in the rule so that the COPP would not have to update the rules every two years. 44.10.331 (44.11.226).

RESPONSE 156: The COPP rejects this comment because the COPP is required by statute to apply an inflation factor to the contribution limits, and, if necessary, adjust contribution limits every two years.

COMMENT 157: One commenter suggested changing the term "all" in (1) to "each" to be in harmony with 13-37-216, MCA. The commenter also suggested striking the reference to 13-37-218, MCA, to avoid confusion over the single political committee contribution limit to candidates. 44.10.334 (44.11.222).

RESPONSE 157: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the regulation accordingly.

COMMENT 158: One commenter suggested a filing deadline of a multiple of 30 days instead of 135 days in the Disposal of Surplus Campaign Funds rule. 44.10.335 (44.11.702).

RESPONSE 158: The COPP rejects this comment because the enabling statute requires disposal within 120 days, and the additional 15 days allows time for candidates and committees to submit their reports to the COPP, 13-37-240 and 13-37-402, MCA.

COMMENT 159: One commenter wanted to know if a candidate who loses an election can retain equipment bought by the campaign for a future campaign use, and suggested a de minimis amount under which the cost of the equipment could be retained by the unsuccessful candidate. 44.10.335 (44.11.702).

RESPONSE 159: The COPP rejects this comment because the rules require that equipment purchased by a campaign for use in the campaign must be liquidated for the fair market value or donated at the end of the campaign, unless it is converted for use in constituent services. This separation of finances between separate campaigns preserves the integrity of contribution limits and disclosure.

COMMENT 160: One commenter stated that they had not received a receipt when making a donation of funds to a charity, should those receipts be retained? 44.10.335 (44.11.702).

RESPONSE 160: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. A canceled check drawn on the campaign account would be evidence of the receipt of the funds by the charity, and should be in the campaign records.

COMMENT 161: One commenter suggested that the COPP add 13-37-240, MCA, to the implementing statute list, which reflects that an unsuccessful candidate may not transfer funds from an old campaign to benefit a new campaign. 44.10.337 (44.11.223).

RESPONSE 161: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the regulation to add the additional authority.

COMMENT 162: One commenter pointed out that there should be a reference to the political party associational interest personal services exemption from the rule in (3). 44.10.338 (44.11.227).

RESPONSE 162: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends the regulation to add a reference to the exemption found in ARM 44.10.321 (44.11.401). The COPP also amends ARM 44.10.333 (44.11.225) to add a reference to the exemption.

COMMENT 163: Two commenters pointed out that electronic reporting provides an opportunity to increase compliance and reduce administrative burdens for the office, while at the same time allowing the public immediate access to the disclosure, thereby fulfilling an important goal of the Disclose Act, without adding undue burdens on candidates, committees, and organizations. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 163: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP will strive to meet its obligation to promote transparency of campaign finance information.

COMMENT 164: One commenter requested that the COPP ensure that the information that is gathered on reports and disclosures be capable of reaching voters easily accessible and meaningful way, as well as in a timely matter. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 164: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP will strive to meet its obligation to promote transparency of campaign finance information.

COMMENT 165: Two commenters suggested that a handwritten report faxed to the COPP would be counted as "electronic filing." 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 165: This comment is rejected. The rule defines electronic reporting as being filed with the COPP through the "Campaign Electronic Reporting System" (CERS). The COPP will amend ARM 44.10.511 (44.11.402) to clarify procedures for fax filing.

COMMENT 166: Several commenters stated that areas in Montana do not have access to internet, and electronic reporting is not possible and therefore should not be required. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 166: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. Any candidate who cannot access internet can file for a waiver of the requirement under the rule.

COMMENT 167: One commenter wanted the COPP to amend the waiver request to being mandatory rather than discretionary. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 167: The COPP rejects this comment because electronic filing serves transparency and therefore should be favored rather than disfavored.

COMMENT 168: One commenter wanted to know if there would be a form to complete for a waiver, or if the candidate has to call the office to apply. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 168: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. The COPP will accept a written request for a waiver.

COMMENT 169: One commenter thought that the rule required an electronic filer to later file a signature on paper confirming the report. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 169: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. CERS allows for a candidate, committee, or treasurer to electronically sign their report; there is no need for a follow up signature on paper.

COMMENT 170: One commenter asked for clarification of (1)(a) as to whether incidental and independent committees must file electronically routinely, or only if they have made an expenditure related to statewide candidates. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 170: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the regulations as proposed. The rule at (1)(a) requires all committees to report electronically.

COMMENT 171: One commenter pointed out that the reference to "committee" in (2), but the rule does not provide for a committee to apply for a waiver. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 171: The COPP accepts this comment and amends the regulation to add certain committees as entities to which a discretionary waiver applies.

COMMENT 172: Two commenters stated that any candidate or representative from a ballot issue committee should be able to seek a waiver from the electronic reporting requirement if they do not have access to a computer or internet capabilities. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 172: The COPP rejects this comment to the extent it implies that waivers should be automatic. The COPP has discretion under the rule to grant a waiver to certain candidates for reasons such as lack of internet access. The COPP accepts this comment and amends the regulation to add certain committees as entities to which a discretionary waiver applies.

COMMENT 173: Two commenters expressed concern about the reporting and disclosure of contributors addresses, occupation and employer for contributors with orders of protection from a court of law, or of persons involved in law enforcement. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 173: The COPP rejects this comment because this information has been generally required for decades without encountering the sort of problems posed by the comment. Further, P.O. Box addresses are accepted by the COPP for individuals.

COMMENT 174: One commenter expressed concern about the timeline for implementing electronic reporting, as the rules have not yet been adopted and training is not yet available. The commenter suggests that the electronic reporting requirement be delayed until the training seminars on new regulations and CERS are available throughout the state. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 174: The COPP rejects this comment for the following reasons: 1) The electronic filing requirement has been in place for statewide candidates and committees who support or oppose particular statewide candidates since 2013; 2) The COPP staff is already working to develop support for candidates who have difficulty with electronic filing; and 3) The public interest served by increased transparency argues against delay.

COMMENT 175: One commenter stated that electronic filing is convenient for the COPP, but not always convenient for a candidate. They stated that a similar bill died during the session, so they think that the electronic filing requirement should be strongly encouraged but not required. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 175: The COPP rejects this comment because SB 289 (passed by the 2015 legislature) provides authority for this regulation and there are waivers available for candidates.

COMMENT 176: One commenter requested that the COPP limit the availability of candidates to obtain waivers, because paper reporting makes information less searchable and takes longer to make available to the public of Montana. 44.10.401 (44.11.302).

RESPONSE 176: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed.

COMMENT 177: One commenter wanted to know if a September report could also be a year-end report if there are no more expenditures. 44.10.409 (44.11.306).

RESPONSE 177: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. That said, the answer is "Yes," see (1) of the rule.

COMMENT 178: One commenter wanted a definition of "transfer" in (1). 44.10.503 (44.11.409).

RESPONSE 178: The COPP rejects this comment for the following reasons: the rule states that once a candidate or agent of a candidate or committee "receives a contribution" they are required to "transfer" it to the campaign treasurer. The language is from the old ARM 44.10.507, which has been consolidated into this rule, and been in place since 1976.

COMMENT 179: Two commenters pointed out the need to reference 13-37-229 and 13-37-232, MCA, when referencing reporting obligations throughout the rules.

RESPONSE 179: The COPP accepts this comment, and amends proposed ARM 44.10.413 (44.11.305), 44.10.321 (44.11.401), 44.10.519 (44.11.404), 44.10.503 (44.11.409), 44.10.525 (44.11.505), and 44.10.535 (44.11.506) to reflect the statutory reference.

COMMENT 180: One commenter wanted to know if a candidate is required to keep copies of canceled "petty cash" checks (3) in their records, or just the bank statements showing the checks were cashed. 44.10.503 (44.11.409).

RESPONSE 180: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. Petty cash usage by a campaign requires the retention of receipts in the campaign records showing how the cash funds were expended, with the receipts attached to the cancelled check or withdrawal receipt.

COMMENT 181: One commenter suggested the word "at" be changed to "as" in the rule when commenting on an earlier draft. 44.10.513 (44.11.403).

RESPONSE 181: The COPP accepted this comment when made earlier, with the "as" language reflected in the rule as proposed.

COMMENT 182: One commenter asked if a candidate writes a check to their own campaign, and designates it as a loan, will the canceled check be enough to prove the loan, or will a written agreement be required to be signed and notarized. 44.10.515 (44.11.405).

RESPONSE 182: The COPP rejects this comment because there is no regulation requiring that the writing be notarized. A check to a candidate's committee, from themselves, and endorsed on the back for deposit into the campaign account would meet the requirements of the rule.

COMMENT 183: One commenter stated that it did not make sense for a candidate to have a contract with their campaign for loans to their campaign. 44.10.515 (44.11.405).

RESPONSE 183: The COPP rejects this comment because a candidate who intends that their own money is lent to their campaign (as opposed to contributed) needs to record that arrangement in a writing maintained in the campaign records.

COMMENT 184: One commenter stated that oral agreements to loan money should be in writing and documented in the campaign records. 44.10.515 (44.11.405).

RESPONSE 184: The COPP rejects this comment because change is not needed as this requirement is already found in (2)(a)(i) of the rule as proposed.

COMMENT 185: One commenter suggested changing the phrasing of "intended to benefit" in the proposed rule to "clearly identified" with "support or oppose" as applicable. 44.10.531 (44.11.502).

RESPONSE 185: The COPP rejects this comment for the following reasons: the COPP determines that the suggested change will lead to more confusion, as candidates and committees have worked with the same language for almost 40 years.

COMMENT 186: One commenter asked if a candidate purchased items for their campaign and failed to get the receipt to the treasurer, if the candidate would be at fault when the receipt is found and they request reimbursement from the campaign account. 44.10.531 (44.11.502).

RESPONSE 186: This is a comment that does not require acceptance or rejection by the COPP regarding the substance of the rules as proposed. If the candidate failed to timely report and disclose a campaign expenditure, the candidate would be in violation of the law. The COPP amends (4) as proposed to clarify in simpler language the requirement.

COMMENT 187: One commenter requested that the COPP provide a form for candidates and committees to fill out specifying all the information required for each expenditure, such as purpose, quantity, and subject matter. The commenter asserted that without a form which lays out what is required by statute and rule, the candidate or committee would violate the law without knowing the requirements. 44.10.531 (44.11.502).

RESPONSE 187: This comment is rejected by the COPP for the reason that the COPP provides this background information to candidates and committees through administrative rules, guidebooks, and direct staff response to questions.

COMMENT 188: One commenter suggested an amendment to (7)(b) allowing for the estimated reporting of unpaid bills. 44.10.531 (44.11.502).

RESPONSE 188: The COPP rejects this comment because an estimate of an expenditure is reported as an outstanding obligation under ARM 44.10.525 (44.11.505), and later reported as an expenditure when the obligation is paid.

COMMENT 189: One commenter stated (regarding (9)) that not all political committees are required to report within 2 business days of making an expenditure

of \$500 or more between the 17th day and the election (i.e., only independent, incidental and political party committees who do not report under (1)and (2)), and then only for electioneering communications. 44.10.531 (44.11.502).

RESPONSE 189: The COPP rejects and accepts this comment and modifies the rule in conformance according to statute.

COMMENT 190: One commenter suggested amendment of the rule to allow candidates to use constituent account funds until they file for office with the Secretary of State. 44.10.539 (44.11.706).

RESPONSE 190: The COPP rejects this comment because 13-37-402, MCA, specifically states that a candidate may not use a constituent account when a campaign account is open. The requested change requires legislative action.

/s/ Jaime MacNaughton
Jaime MacNaughton
Rule Reviewer

/s/ Jonathan Motl
Jonathan Motl
Commissioner of Political Practices
Office of the Commissioner of Political Practices

Certified to the Secretary of State November 24, 2015.

BEFORE THE STATE ADMINISTRATION AND VETERANS' AFFAIRS INTERIM
COMMITTEE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the adoption of New)	NOTICE OF INTERIM COMMITTEE
Rules I through XII; the transfer of)	POLL OF THE LEGISLATURE ON
ARM 44.10.309, 44.10.311,)	PROPOSED RULE ACTION BY THE
44.10.505, 44.10.538, and 44.10.543;)	COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL
the transfer and amendment of ARM)	PRACTICES
44.10.101, 44.10.201, 44.10.301,)	
44.10.303, 44.10.305, 44.10.307,)	
44.10.321, 44.10.323, 44.10.327,)	
44.10.329, 44.10.330, 44.10.331,)	
44.10.333, 44.10.334, 44.10.335,)	
44.10.336, 44.10.337, 44.10.338,)	
44.10.401, 44.10.403, 44.10.405,)	
44.10.407, 44.10.409, 44.10.413,)	
44.10.501, 44.10.503, 44.10.511,)	
44.10.513, 44.10.515, 44.10.517,)	
44.10.519, 44.10.521, 44.10.525,)	
44.10.531, 44.10.533, 44.10.535,)	
44.10.536, 44.10.537, 44.10.539,)	
44.10.540, 44.10.541, 44.10.542, and)	
44.10.544; and the repeal of ARM)	
44.10.325, 44.10.332, 44.10.411,)	
44.10.507, and 44.10.523 pertaining)	
to campaign finance reporting,)	
disclosure, and practices)	

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On August 13, 2015, the Commissioner of Political Practices published MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed adoption, transfer, transfer and amendment, and repeal of the above-stated rules at page 1138 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 15.

2. Section 2-4-403, MCA, requires the interim committee that has subject matter jurisdiction over an agency to conduct a poll of the members of the Legislature when 20 or more legislators object to a proposed rule. As of November 13, 2015, the Legislative Services Division had received 23 written objections to 16 of the Commissioner of Political Practices' proposed new and amended rules in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207, including New Rules I, IV through VIII, and X through XII, and the amendment of ARM 44.10.201, 44.10.301, 44.10.307, 44.10.321, 44.10.323, 44.10.327, and 44.10.329, which fall under the jurisdiction of the State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee under section 5-5-228, MCA.

3. The State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee conducted a poll of the members of the Legislature starting on December 1, 2015,

and ending on December 21, 2015. The question raised in the poll for each new or amended rule was as follows:

_____ The proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent.

_____ The proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

4. As provided in 2-4-403, MCA, the Commissioner of Political Practices was given an opportunity to present a written justification for the proposed new and amended rules, and the written justification was provided with the polling materials.

5. Section 2-4-404, MCA, provides that the results of an interim committee poll must be admissible in any court proceeding involving the validity of the proposed rule. It provides further that if a majority of the members of both houses find that the proposed rule or adopted rule is contrary to the intent of the legislature, the proposed rule or adopted rule must be conclusively presumed to be contrary to the legislative intent in any court proceeding involving its validity.

6. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE I PRIMARY PURPOSE in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

7. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE I PRIMARY PURPOSE in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

52 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

33 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

8. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE IV CONSEQUENCES FOR FAILURE TO FILE REQUIRED STATEMENTS, REPORTS, OR DISCLOSURES in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

27 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

19 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

9. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE IV CONSEQUENCES FOR FAILURE TO FILE REQUIRED STATEMENTS, REPORTS, OR DISCLOSURES in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

50 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

35 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

10. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE V ELECTRONIC CONTRIBUTIONS, REPORTING in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

11. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE V ELECTRONIC CONTRIBUTIONS, REPORTING in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

50 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

35 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

12. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE VI ATTRIBUTION ON ELECTION MATERIAL in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

31 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

15 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

13. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE VI ATTRIBUTION ON ELECTION MATERIAL in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

52 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

33 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

14. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE VII COORDINATION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

15. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE VII COORDINATION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

49 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

36 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

16. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE VIII DE MINIMIS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

17. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE VIII DE MINIMIS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

49 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

36 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

18. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE X ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

19. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE X ELECTIONEERING COMMUNICATION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

50 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

35 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

20. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE XI FAIR NOTICE PERIOD BEFORE ELECTION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

27 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

19 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

21. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE XI FAIR NOTICE PERIOD BEFORE ELECTION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

51 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

34 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

22. The vote of the Senate on proposed NEW RULE XII PERSONAL USE OF CAMPAIGN FUNDS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

23. The vote of the House of Representatives on proposed NEW RULE XII PERSONAL USE OF CAMPAIGN FUNDS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

50 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

35 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

24. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.201 (44.11.102) ADVISORY OPINIONS AND SELECTED INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN ATTORNEY GENERAL MODEL RULES, IN PART REGARDING DECLARATORY RULINGS AND RULEMAKING in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

34 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

12 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

25. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.201 (44.11.102) ADVISORY OPINIONS AND SELECTED INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN ATTORNEY GENERAL MODEL RULES, IN PART REGARDING DECLARATORY RULINGS AND RULEMAKING in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

65 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

19 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

26. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.301 (44.11.103) TERMS AND REFERENCES INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

27. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.301 (44.11.103) TERMS AND REFERENCES INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

50 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

35 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

28. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.307 (44.11.106) COMPLAINTS OF VIOLATIONS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

27 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

19 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

29. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.307 (44.11.106) COMPLAINTS OF VIOLATIONS in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

50 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

35 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

30. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.321 (44.11.401) CONTRIBUTION – DEFINITION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

19 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

31. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.321 (44.11.401) CONTRIBUTION – DEFINITION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

49 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

36 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

32. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.323 (44.11.501) EXPENDITURE – DEFINITION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

33. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.323 (44.11.501) EXPENDITURE – DEFINITION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

49 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

36 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

34. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.327 (44.11.202) POLITICAL COMMITTEE, DEFINITION AND TYPES in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

35. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.327 (44.11.202) POLITICAL COMMITTEE, DEFINITION AND TYPES in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

49 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

36 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

36. The vote of the Senate on the proposed amendment of 44.10.329 (44.11.204) POLITICAL COMMITTEE, CLASSIFICATION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

26 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

20 Senators voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

37. The vote of the House of Representatives on the proposed amendment of 44.10.329 (44.11.204) POLITICAL COMMITTEE, CLASSIFICATION in MAR Notice No. 44-2-207 is as follows:

49 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONSISTENT WITH legislative intent; and

36 Representatives voted the proposed rule IS CONTRARY TO legislative intent.

38. The poll materials are available by contacting the Legislative Services Division, P.O. Box 201706, Room 110, State Capitol, 1301 East Sixth Avenue, Helena, MT 59620-1706; telephone (406) 444-3064; or fax (406) 444-3036. Alternatively, the poll materials that were received by the members of the Legislature are available on the State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee web site: <http://leg.mt.gov/css/Committees/interim/2015-2016/State-Administration-and-Veterans-Affairs/>.

39. Section 2-4-306(3), MCA, requires the results of this poll to be published with the new and amended rules if they are adopted by the agency.

/s/ Dee Brown
Senator Dee Brown
Chairman

/s/ Bryce Bennett
Representative Bryce Bennett
Vice Chairman

Certified to the Secretary of State December 23, 2015.

BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF STATE
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

In the matter of the amendment of) NOTICE OF AMENDMENT
ARM 44.14.312 pertaining to fees)
charged by the Records and)
Information Management Division)

TO: All Concerned Persons

1. On November 25, 2015, the Secretary of State published MAR Notice No. 44-2-213 pertaining to the public hearing on the proposed amendment of the above-stated rule at page 2089 of the 2015 Montana Administrative Register, Issue Number 22.

2. The Secretary of State has amended the above-stated rule as proposed.

3. No comments or testimony were received.

/s/ JORGE QUINTANA
Jorge Quintana
Rule Reviewer

/s/ LINDA MCCULLOCH
Linda McCulloch
Secretary of State

Dated this 28th day of December, 2015.

NOTICE OF FUNCTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE REVIEW COMMITTEE

Interim Committees and the Environmental Quality Council

Administrative rule review is a function of interim committees and the Environmental Quality Council (EQC). These interim committees and the EQC have administrative rule review, program evaluation, and monitoring functions for the following executive branch agencies and the entities attached to agencies for administrative purposes.

Economic Affairs Interim Committee:

- Department of Agriculture;
- Department of Commerce;
- Department of Labor and Industry;
- Department of Livestock;
- Office of the State Auditor and Insurance Commissioner; and
- Office of Economic Development.

Education and Local Government Interim Committee:

- State Board of Education;
- Board of Public Education;
- Board of Regents of Higher Education; and
- Office of Public Instruction.

Children, Families, Health, and Human Services Interim Committee:

- Department of Public Health and Human Services.

Law and Justice Interim Committee:

- Department of Corrections; and
- Department of Justice.

Energy and Telecommunications Interim Committee:

- Department of Public Service Regulation.

Revenue and Transportation Interim Committee:

- Department of Revenue; and
- Department of Transportation.

State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee:

- Department of Administration;
- Department of Military Affairs; and
- Office of the Secretary of State.

Environmental Quality Council:

- Department of Environmental Quality;
- Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks; and
- Department of Natural Resources and Conservation.

Water Policy Interim Committee (where the primary concern is the quality or quantity of water):

- Department of Environmental Quality;
- Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks; and
- Department of Natural Resources and Conservation.

These interim committees and the EQC have the authority to make recommendations to an agency regarding the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule or to request that the agency prepare a statement of the estimated economic impact of a proposal. They also may poll the members of the Legislature to determine if a proposed rule is consistent with the intent of the Legislature or, during a legislative session, introduce a bill repealing a rule, or directing an agency to adopt or amend a rule, or a Joint Resolution recommending that an agency adopt, amend, or repeal a rule.

The interim committees and the EQC welcome comments and invite members of the public to appear before them or to send written statements in order to bring to their attention any difficulties with the existing or proposed rules. The mailing address is P.O. Box 201706, Helena, MT 59620-1706.

HOW TO USE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES OF MONTANA AND THE MONTANA ADMINISTRATIVE REGISTER

Definitions: **Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM)** is a looseleaf compilation by department of all rules of state departments and attached boards presently in effect, except rules adopted up to three months previously.

Montana Administrative Register (MAR or Register) is a soft back, bound publication, issued twice-monthly, containing notices of rules proposed by agencies, notices of rules adopted by agencies, and interpretations of statutes and rules by the Attorney General (Attorney General's Opinions) and agencies (Declaratory Rulings) issued since publication of the preceding register.

Use of the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM):

- | | |
|---------------|---|
| Known Subject | 1. Consult ARM Topical Index.
Update the rule by checking the accumulative table and the table of contents in the last Montana Administrative Register issued. |
| Statute | 2. Go to cross reference table at end of each number and title which lists MCA section numbers and department corresponding ARM rule numbers. |

ACCUMULATIVE TABLE

The Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) is a compilation of existing permanent rules of those executive agencies that have been designated by the Montana Administrative Procedure Act for inclusion in the ARM. The ARM is updated through September 30, 2015. This table includes those rules adopted during the period October 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, and any proposed rule action that was pending during the past 6-month period. (A notice of adoption must be published within six months of the published notice of the proposed rule.) This table does not include the contents of this issue of the Montana Administrative Register (MAR or Register).

To be current on proposed and adopted rulemaking, it is necessary to check the ARM updated through September 30, 2015, this table, and the table of contents of this issue of the Register.

This table indicates the department name, title number, rule numbers in ascending order, catchphrase or the subject matter of the rule, and the page number at which the action is published in the 2015 Montana Administrative Register.

To aid the user, the Accumulative Table includes rulemaking actions of such entities as boards and commissions listed separately under their appropriate title number.

ADMINISTRATION, Department of, Title 2

I-VII	Credit Union Supervisory Committee - Credit Union Investment Rules - Board of Director Training, p. 1556, 2247
2.4.402	Single Audit Act Reporting Fees for Local Governments, p. 781, 1270
2.59.303	and other rules - Closing a Consumer Loan Business - Reimbursement of Department Costs in Bringing an Administrative Action - Credit Insurance - Examination Fees - Licensure Surrender - Annual Reports, p. 1547, 2246
2.59.1710	and other rules - Records to Be Maintained by Mortgage Brokers - Records to Be Maintained by Mortgage Lenders - Reporting Forms for Mortgage Servicers, p. 1563, 2249
2.59.1716	and other rules - Recovery of the Costs in Bringing an Administrative Action - Treatment of Initial License Applications Submitted Near Year-End - Abandonment of Initial License Applications - Mortgage Licensees, p. 499, 923
2.59.1738	Renewal Fees for Mortgage Brokers, Lenders, Servicers, and Originators, p. 959, 1478

(Public Employees' Retirement Board)

I	Redirection of Certain PERS Employer Contributions From the Defined Benefit Trust Fund for the Purposes of Paying Off the Plan Choice Rate Unfunded Actuarial Liability to Defined Contribution Member Accounts, p. 2179
---	--

- I-XI Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) for Members of the Highway Patrol Officers' Retirement System, p. 1778, 2244
- 2.43.1306 Actuarial Rates and Assumptions, p. 1226, 1893
- 2.43.3501 and other rules - Adoption by Reference of the State of Montana Public Employee Defined Contribution Plan Document and the State of Montana Public Employee Deferred Compensation (457) Plan Document, p. 1223, 1891
- 2.43.3502 and other rule - Investment Policy Statement for the Defined Contribution Retirement Plan - Investment Policy Statement for the 457 Deferred Compensation Plan, p. 1220, 1889
- 2.43.3504 and other rule - Defined Contribution Plan Default Investment Fund - Deferred Compensation Plan Investment Options, p. 1229, 1894

AGRICULTURE, Department of, Title 4

- 4.3.407 and other rules - Student Loans - Public Participation - Mint Committee, p. 1571, 2136
- 4.5.206 and other rules - State Noxious Weed List - Regulated Plant List, p. 612, 1042
- 4.5.308 and other rule - Noxious Weed Seed Free Forage Fees, p. 784, 1479
- 4.6.101 and other rules - Commodity Advisory Committees - Corn Crop Advisory Committee - Commodity Assessment, p. 1574, 2137
- 4.9.301 and other rule - Wheat and Barley Committee Grants, p. 1568, 2135
- 4.17.105 and other rules - Organic Application Procedures and Fees - Fees for Services - Annual Report and Assessment Fees, p. 602, 1041

STATE AUDITOR, Office of, Title 6

(Commissioner of Securities and Insurance)

- I-III Fire Tax, p. 394, 1043
- I-XI Surety Insurance Producers Who Sell, Solicit, or Negotiate Commercial Bail Bonds, p. 1785
- 6.6.507B and other rules - Medicare Supplements, p. 689, 1049
- 6.6.3104A and other rule - Long-Term Care, p. 398, 1046
- 6.6.3504 Annual Audited Reports and Establishing Accounting Practices and Procedures to Be Used in Annual Statements, p. 256, 925
- 6.6.4907 Patient-Centered Medical Homes, p. 1796, 2250
- 6.10.209 and other rule - Offerings, p. 962, 1480

COMMERCE, Department of, Title 8

- I Actions That Qualify as Categorical Exclusions Under the Montana Environmental Policy Act, p. 966, 1481
- 8.2.503 Administration of the Quality Schools Grant Program, p. 2060
- 8.94.3816 Administration of the 2017 Biennium Treasure State Endowment Program—Emergency Grants, p. 969, 1484
- 8.99.806 Administration of the Business Workforce Training Grant, p. 971, 1485

- 8.99.917 Implementation of the Big Sky Economic Development Trust Program, p. 1328, 1895
- 8.99.918 Administration of the Big Sky Economic Development Trust Program, p. 789, 1193
- 8.119.201 and other rules - Movie and TV Industries and Related Media–Tax Incentives, p. 1330, 1902

(Board of Horse Racing)

- 8.22.2301 and other rules, Board of Horse Racing, p. 2252

EDUCATION, Department of, Title 10

(Office of Public Instruction)

- 10.16.3122 and other rules - Special Education, p. 1578, 2257

(Board of Public Education)

- 10.57.102 and other rules - Educator Licensure, p. 698, 1051
- 10.57.412 and other rules - Educator Licensure, p. 1402, 2091
- 10.63.108 Preschool Hours, p. 616, 1055

(State Library)

- 10.102.1152 Deferrals, p. 1800, 2270

FISH, WILDLIFE AND PARKS, Department of, Title 12

- 12.9.804 and other rules - Game Damage Hunts, p. 875, 2138

(Fish and Wildlife Commission)

- I Apprentice Hunter Certificate, p. 791, 1486
- 12.2.601 State Land Access Tax Credit, p. 1803
- 12.6.106 Removal of Shelter, p. 1806
- 12.6.2204 and other rules - Exotic Species Classification, p. 618, 1272
- 12.7.807 Fishing Contests, p. 295, 929
- 12.11.501 and other rule - Recreational Use on Silver Lake in Deer Lodge County, p. 507, 1271
- 12.11.501 and other rules - Recreational Use on the Blackfoot River Recreation Corridor, p. 292, 926

GOVERNOR, Office of, Title 14

- I-II Implementation of the Sage Grouse Stewardship Act, p. 2125

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, Department of, Title 17

- I-IX Vessel Pumpout Facilities, p. 881, 1388
- 17.36.101 and other rules - Subdivisions/On-Site Subsurface Wastewater Treatment - Application--Contents - Review Procedures--Applicable

- Rules - Re-review of Previously Approved Facilities: Procedures - Setbacks - Sewage Systems: Agreements and Easements - Existing Systems - Water Supply Systems: Operation and Maintenance, Ownership, Easements, and Agreements - Fee Schedules - Disposition of Fees, p. 2192
- 17.40.201 and other rules - Definitions - Classification Systems - Examinations - Experience and Education - Continuing Education Requirements - Approved Training Providers, p. 1593, 2144
- 17.53.113 Hazardous Waste - Registration and Registration Maintenance Fees - Fee Assessment, p. 101, 298, 1194, 1753
- 17.74.101 and other rules - Occupational Noise - Occupational Air Contaminants, p. 2062

(Board of Environmental Review)

- I-III Clean Air Act, p. 1092, 1903
- 17.4.201 and other rules - Procedural Rules - Water Quality - Public Water Supply and Sewage System Requirements - Water Pollution Rules - Radiological Criteria - State and EPA Coordination - Pretreatment - Definitions - Enforcement Actions for Administrative Penalties - Purpose - Enforcement Procedures - Suspended Penalties, p. 2182
- 17.4.701 and other rules - Fees - Fee Assessment Categories - Departmental Assistance to Applicants, p. 1335
- 17.8.334 and other rules - Emission Standards for Existing Aluminum Plants—Startup and Shutdown - Maintenance of Air Pollution Control Equipment for Existing Aluminum Plants - Mercury Allowance Allocations Under Cap and Trade Budget, p. 1809
- 17.24.201 and other rules - Rules and Regulations Governing the Opencut Mining Act, p. 1951
- 17.30.1001 and other rules - Water Quality - Subdivisions/On-Site Subsurface Wastewater Treatment - Public Water and Sewage System Requirements - Solid Waste Management - Definitions - Adoption by Reference - Wastewater Treatment Systems: Technical Requirements - Plans for Public Water Supply or Public Sewage System - Plans for Public Water Supply or Wastewater System - Incorporation by Reference and Availability of Referenced Documents, p. 2188
- 17.74.359 and other rules - Annual Asbestos Project Permits - Training Provider Requirements - Permit Fees - Accreditation and Accreditation Renewal Fees - Course Approval and Renewal Fees - Course Audit Fees, p. 974, 1333

TRANSPORTATION, Department of, Title 18

- 18.8.431 and other rules - Motor Carrier Services, p. 2205
- 18.8.1505 Motor Carrier Services Out-of-Service Criteria, p. 1096, 1754

CORRECTIONS, Department of, Title 20

- I Authorization for Probation and Parole Officers to Carry Firearms - Firearms Training Requirements - Department Procedures Pertaining to Firearms, p. 2211
- I-IV Implementing the Medicaid Rate as the Reimbursement Rate the State of Montana Will Pay Health Care Providers for Services Provided to Individuals in the Care or Custody of the Department of Corrections or the Department of Public Health and Human Services, p. 2215

(Board of Pardons and Parole)

20.25.101 and other rules - Parole and Executive Clemency, p. 2064

JUSTICE, Department of, Title 23

- 23.12.407 House Number Height for Day Care Centers, p. 621, 931
- 23.16.1712 and other rules - Conduct of Sports Tab Games - The Award of Sports Tab Game Prizes - Sports Tab Games Record Keeping Requirements, p. 793, 1197

LABOR AND INDUSTRY, Department of, Title 24

Boards under the Business Standards Division are listed in numerical order by chapter following the department rules.

- I-IX Reopening of Medical Benefits Automatically Closed in Certain Workers' Compensation Claims, p. 2073
- 24.11.101 and other rules - Requests for Information - Unemployment Insurance, p. 357, 510, 932, 1489
- 24.16.101 and other rules - Workplace Safety - Wage Protection - Workforce Services, p. 107, 1056
- 24.17.103 and other rules - Prevailing Wage Rates for Public Works Projects, p. 1813, 2271
- 24.101.402 and other rules - Definitions - Administrative Fees - Purpose - Licensing - Renewal Notification, p. 1232, 1755
- 24.301.146 and other rules - Modifications to the International Building Code Applicable to Department and Local Government Code Enforcement - Incorporation by Reference of International Swimming Pool and Spa Code - Adoption by Reference of ARM 37.111.1115 Review of Plans, p. 1619
- 24.301.301 and other rules - Incorporation by Reference of Uniform Plumbing Code - Fees - Incorporation by Reference of International Wildland-Urban Interface Code (IWUIC), p. 2084

(Board of Barbers and Cosmetologists)

- 24.121.301 and other rules - Definitions - General Requirements - Licensure by Examination - Out-of-State Applicants - Postsecondary School Licensure - Examination Requirements and Process - School Requirements - School Operating Standards - School Curricula - Student Withdrawal, Transfer, or Graduating - Instructor Requirements - Teacher-Training Curriculum - Salons/Booth Rental - Implements, Instruments, Supplies, and Equipment - Salon Preparation Storage and Handling - Continuing Education - Unprofessional Conduct - Nonroutine Application - Granting Exception - Licensure Equivalency - Credited Hours for Montana-Licensed Individuals - Inactive Instructor License - Licensee and Applicant Contact Information, p. 705, 1198
- 24.121.301 and other rules - Definitions - Nonroutine Applications - Premises and General Requirements - Licensing - Military Training or Experience - School Operations - Salons/Booth Rental - Disinfecting Agents - Salon Preparation Storage and Handling - Blood Spills, p. 1340, 2034

(Board of Clinical Laboratory Science Practitioners)

- 24.129.603 and other rules - Licensing, p. 1602

(Board of Dentistry)

- 24.138.406 and other rules - Dental Auxiliaries Functions - Dentist Licensure by Credentials - Dentist Licensure by Credentials for Specialists - Dental Hygiene Limited Access Permit - Denturist Intern - Converting Inactive License to Active - Reactivation of an Expired License - Military Training or Experience - Continuing Education - Screening Panel - Continuing Education in Anesthesia - Introduction, p. 1099, 1904

(Board of Funeral Service)

- 24.147.402 and other rules - Mortician License - Mortuary Transfers, Inspections, and Temporary Permits - Out-of-State Mortician Licensure - Sale of At-Need, Preneed, and Prepaid Funeral Arrangements, p. 1605

(Board of Hearing Aid Dispensers)

- 24.150.401 and other rules - Fees - Examination - Renewals, p. 412, 1057

(Board of Massage Therapy)

- 24.155.601 Licensure by Grandfather Clause, p. 1611

(Board of Nursing)

- 24.159.1010 and other rules - Standards Related to Intravenous (IV) Therapy - Nurse Licensure Compact, p. 516, 1389

(Board of Outfitters)

- 24.171.502 Outfitter Qualifications, p. 521, 624, 1756

(Board of Pharmacy)

24.174.503 and other rules - Administration of Vaccines - Additions, Deletions, and Rescheduling of Dangerous Drugs - Scheduling of Dangerous Drugs, p. 524, 1491

(Board of Physical Therapy Examiners)

24.177.501 and other rules - Examinations - Licensure of Out-of-State Applicants - Dry Needling - Renewals - Complaint Procedure, p. 531, 1907

(Board of Radiologic Technologists)

24.204.401 and other rules - Fees - Military Training - Course Requirements for Limited Permit Applicants - Code of Ethics - Renewals, p. 1818, 2274

(Board of Real Estate Appraisers)

24.207.101 and other rules - Board Organization - Fees - Definitions - Examination - Application Requirements - Qualifying Experience - Mentor Requirements - Registration and Renewal - Record-Keeping Requirements - Unprofessional Conduct - Renewals, p. 1405

(Board of Realty Regulation)

24.210.625 and other rules - Inactive to Active License Status - New Licensee Mandatory Continuing Education - Continuing Real Estate Education - Inactive to Active Status—Property Management - Continuing Property Management Education, p. 416, 933

(Board of Respiratory Care Practitioners)

24.213.412 and other rule - Renewals - Board Seal, p. 259, 1201

(Board of Behavioral Health)

I-III Licensees Authorized to Perform Psychological Assessments - Educational Requirements for Performing Psychological Assessments Without Supervision - Licensees Qualified to Supervise Psychological Assessments, p. 1614, 2276

24.219.101 and other rules - Board Organization - Definitions - Fee Schedules - Supervisor Qualifications - LCSW Licensure - LCPC Licensure - LMFT Licensure - Code of Ethics - Screening Panel - Public Participation - LCPC Education Requirements - LMFT Education Requirements - Social Worker Licensure Candidates - Professional Counselor Licensure Candidates - Marriage and Family Therapist Licensure Candidates - Renewals - Complaint Procedure, p. 1991

LIVESTOCK, Department of, Title 32

32.2.401 and other rules - Feral Swine Mandatory Reporting - Fees - Additional Requirements for Cattle - Semen Shipped Into Montana, p. 2221

32.2.406 Licensee Assessments, p. 2017

32.3.139 and other rules - Appointment as Deputy State Veterinarian - Requirements for Importation - Official Health Certificate - Permits - Brands and Earmarks - Permit Required for Livestock, Game, Furbearing Animals, Wild Animals, Embryos, and Semen, p. 208, 423, 936

(Board of Milk Control)

32.23.102 and other rules - Licensee Assessments, p. 1351, 1908

32.23.301 Licensee Assessments, p. 2227

32.24.506 and other rule - Producer Committee - Pooling Plan Definitions, p. 2230

(Board of Horse Racing)

32.28.101 and other rules, Board of Horse Racing, p. 2252

NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION, Department of, Title 36

I East Valley Controlled Groundwater Area, p. 2020

I Rye Creek Stream Depletion Zone, p. 2235

36.16.101 and other rules - Water Reservation Rules, p. 1108, 1909

(Board of Oil and Gas Conservation)

I Certify Carbon Sequestration Equipment Placed in Service After January 1, 2014, and Certified by the Department of Environmental Quality Prior to October 1, 2015, p. 798, 1202

I Certification of Carbon Sequestration Equipment, p. 1355, 2035

PUBLIC HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, Department of, Title 37

I Short-Term Voluntary Inpatient Mental Health Treatment, p. 1245, 1761

I-IV Implementing the Medicaid Rate as the Reimbursement Rate the State of Montana Will Pay Health Care Providers for Services Provided to Individuals in the Care or Custody of the Department of Corrections or the Department of Public Health and Human Services, p. 1854, 2313

I-X Implementation of the Montana Health and Economic Livelihood Partnership (HELP) Program, p. 1837, 2294

I-XI Production and Sale of Cottage Food Products, p. 1008, 1241, 1494
37.8.107 and other rules - Update of Vital Records to Reflect Current Practices, p. 891, 1492

37.36.604 Updating the Annual Poverty Guidelines for the Montana Telecommunications Access Program, p. 888, 2146

37.40.422 Updating Direct Care Wage Effective Dates and Reimbursement Updates Effective January 1, 2016, p. 2128

37.40.830 Updating Hospice Reimbursement Fee Schedules to Reflect New Federal Rates, p. 2024

- 37.57.102 and other rule - Updating Federal Poverty Guidelines to 2015 Levels and to Align Children's Special Health Services (CSHS) With the Healthy Montana Kids (HMK) Financial Assistance Eligibility Criteria, p. 1130, 2148
- 37.70.107 and other rules - Low Income Assistance Program (LIEAP) Amendments for the 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 Heating Season, p. 1624, 2145
- 37.79.304 and other rule - Healthy Montana Kids (HMK)/CHIP Dental Benefits and Evidence of Coverage, p. 1832, 2292
- 37.80.101 and other rules - Child Care Assistance - Implementation of Required Policy Changes Under the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 2014, p. 1652
- 37.81.304 Updating the Big Sky Rx Maximum Premiums to Match the Federal Monthly Benefit Benchmark, p. 2028
- 37.85.104 and other rule - Updating the Fee Schedules for Adult and Children's Mental Health Fee Schedules, p. 1018, 1911
- 37.85.105 and other rule - Effective Dates of Montana Medicaid Provider Fee Schedules, p. 1826, 2238
- 37.86.101 Updating the Physician-Related Services Provider Manual, p. 1667, 2092
- 37.86.1006 Establishment of an Annual Payment Limit for Dental Services Provided Through Medicaid, p. 1859, 2315
- 37.86.2803 and other rules - Addition of Lactation Services to Medicaid Outpatient Hospital Services, p. 1661, 1823, 2289
- 37.86.3503 and other rules - Compliance to ICD-10-CM, p. 1415, 2283
- 37.86.5110 Revision of Exceptions for Passport to Health Services Referrals, p. 1127, 1912
- 37.87.102 and other rules - Revision of Authorization Requirements for Medicaid Mental Health Services for Youth, p. 1023, 1243, 1500
- 37.87.1201 and other rules - Provider Participation - Program Requirements - Reimbursement Procedures for Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility (PRTF) Services, p. 985, 1239, 2147
- 37.87.2203 and other rules - Non-Medicaid Respite Care Services for Youth With Serious Emotional Disturbance, p. 801, 1274
- 37.106.1901 and other rules - Adding a Forensic Mental Health Facility Endorsement to a Licensed Mental Health Center, p. 1424
- 37.114.701 and other rules - Implementation of HB 158 (2015) Regarding the Modernization of Immunization Laws Related to School, p. 999, 1493

PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION, Department of, Title 38

- 38.3.104 and other rules - Motor Carriers, p. 628, 1276
- 38.5.1307 and other rules - Telephone Extended Area Service, p. 265, 1203
- 38.5.1902 Cogeneration and Small Power Production, p. 1442, 2318
- 38.5.2202 and other rule - Pipeline Safety, p. 2132
- 38.5.3403 Operator Service Provider Allowable Rates, p. 1134, 1508

REVENUE, Department of, Title 42

- I-III Tax Credits for Contributions to Qualified Education Providers - Student Scholarship Organizations, p. 1682, 2331, 2348
- 42.2.511 Review of Centrally Assessed Property Appraisals - Removing an Outdated Reference to a Form Number, p. 1136, 1509
- 42.4.2902 and other rules - Tax Credits for Historic Property Preservation, p. 1862, 2362
- 42.9.101 and other rules - Pass-Through Entities, p. 1694, 2152
- 42.11.104 and other rules - Liquor Prices - Vendor Product Representatives and Permits - Samples - Advertising - Unlawful Acts - Inventory Policy (Powdered/Crystalline Liquor Products) - Product Availability - Product Listing - Bailment - State Liquor Warehouse Management, p. 1254, 1671, 2093
- 42.11.301 and other rules - Agency Liquor Stores, p. 1735, 2162
- 42.13.301 and other rules - Distillery Deliveries - Alternating Proprietor on a Manufacturer's Premises - Contract Manufacturing - Storage of Alcoholic Beverages, p. 1727, 2157
- 42.13.902 and other rules - Responsible Alcohol Sales and Service Act Server Training Programs, p. 732, 1205
- 42.15.108 and other rules - Fiduciaries, Estates, and Trusts, p. 897
- 42.15.214 Resident Military Salary Exclusion, p. 1679, 2325
- 42.18.124 and other rules - Property Valuation Periods - Property Appraiser Certification Requirements, p. 1448, 2040
- 42.19.401 and other rules - Property Tax Assistance Programs, p. 1453, 2041
- 42.19.1401 Targeted Economic Development Districts, p. 806, 1281
- 42.20.102 and other rules - Property Classification, Appraisal, Valuation, and Exemptions, p. 1709, 2350
- 42.21.113 and other rules - Trended Depreciation Schedules for Valuing Property, p. 1866, 2364
- 42.21.158 and other rules - Personal Property Reporting Requirements - Personal Property Taxation Dates - Livestock Reporting - Livestock Per Capita Fee Payments, p. 1673, 2322
- 42.22.101 and other rules - Centrally Assessed Property, p. 1686, 2149
- 42.38.102 and other rules - Unclaimed Property, p. 1249, 2036

SECRETARY OF STATE, Office of, Title 44

- 1.2.419 Scheduled Dates for the 2016 Montana Administrative Register, p. 1744, 2097
- 1.3.307 and other rule - Rulemaking Notice Requirements, p. 1748, 2098
- 44.3.110 and other rules - Voting Accessibility for Electors With Disabilities - Montana Absent Uniformed Services and Overseas Voter Act, p. 915, 1286
- 44.5.114 and other rule - Fees Charged by the Business Services Division for the Filing of Annual Reports, p. 2031, 2367
- 44.5.120 Trademark Fees, p. 1751, 2099

- 44.5.121 Miscellaneous Fees Charged by the Business Services Division, p. 2241
 - 44.5.122 and other rule - Fees Charged by the Secretary of State, p. 1038, 1364, 1765
 - 44.5.131 Rules Governing the Registration of Business/Mark Names, p. 1469, 2042
 - 44.6.110 and other rules - Secretary of State's Electronic Filing System - Filing of a Title 71 Lien - Requirements for Filing UCC Amendments With the Business Services Division, p. 743, 1764
 - 44.14.202 Retention of Local Government Electronic Long-Term Records, p. 920, 1288
 - 44.14.301 and other rules - Fees Charged by the Records and Information Management Division, p. 1473, 2043
 - 44.14.312 Fees Charged by the Records and Information Management Division, p. 2089
 - 44.15.101 and other rules - Notaries Public, p. 1358, 1913, 2096
- (Commissioner of Political Practices)
- 44.10.101 and other rules - Campaign Finance Reporting, Disclosure, and Practices, p. 1138