# MONTANA SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Family Functioning Assessment

REPORT NAME:	DATE OF REPORT:	DATE OF INITIAL CONTACT W/TARGET CHILD:	
REPORT NUMBER:	CHILD PROTECTION SPECIALIST NAME:		
REPORT PRIORITY:	*If P4, CPS fills out Child Information, Caregiver Information, Contacts, Nature and Maltreatment Sections of the FFA.		
□ P1 □ P2 □ P3 □ P4			

#### **CHILD INFORMATION**

List all children in the household. All children residing in the household must be considered in the assessment of safety. (Include siblings, step siblings, non-related children in the home)

Chi	Id Name	Date of Birth / Age
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

<sup>\*</sup>To insert additional rows, TAB from the last cell in the table.

# CAREGIVER(S) AND OTHER ADULT(S) INFORMATION

List all Caregivers and other Adults in the household; all adults in the household must be considered in the Family Functioning Assessment. (Bio-parent, paramour, grandparent, roommate, boarder, other relatives living in the home or providing significant care to the family).

Nar	me	Date of Birth	Relationship to child
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

<sup>\*</sup>To insert additional rows, TAB from the last cell in the table.

#### **CONTACTS**

List everyone who was contacted in chronological order. List one contact per line. If there are multiple contacts with the same individual, each contact should be entered on a separate line, in the order that the contacts occurred. **Also note each contact/consultation with your supervisor on a separate line.** Include

phone number and address when known. Indicate whether the individual was interviewed alone or with others. Specify if the contact occurred or a message was left. Do not detail the contact information in the log.

Name/Relationship	Date	Type of Contact (phone or in person) and location:	Initials:
Trainer to according	Duto	100410111	IIIIIIII

<sup>\*</sup>To insert additional rows, TAB from the last cell in the table.

**Note:** Worker summary documentation needs to be supported by the documentation in each section and is a professional analysis of the facts and a conclusion based on all of the interviews and information gathered and should reconcile conflicting accounts or details.

# <u>Assessment Section 1</u>

## **ASSESSMENT AREA: NATURE OF MALTREATMENT**

## 1. NATURE:

Documentation must include information collected from the initial contacts with all the children who reside in the home reconciling the existence of any safety threats that is/are actively occurring or in process of occurring and will likely result in actual or substantial risk of physical or psychological harm to a child. Provide documentation to confirm or alleviate concerns specific to the allegations of maltreatment documented in the report as well as any additional maltreatment that was identified through CPS involvement. Include documentation that details the surrounding circumstances that led to the alleged maltreatment. Include the caregiver's explanation of circumstances and events associated with maltreatment; includes duration, patterns or escalation of abuse, response from non-maltreating caregiver, child's explanation for maltreatment, collaterals explanation for maltreatment, and attitudes/response of caregivers' on maltreatment. Include documentation specific to CPS observations and interactions within the home and with all parties residing in the home as well as collaterals (familial and professional), and the reporter. Documentation must address

allegations noted in the initial incident report; as well as, all additional information reports and new incidents to open report. Provide detailed information to support the finding of maltreatment or lack of maltreatment specific to both immediate and impending danger threats. Include information to justify why the implementation of a Protection Plan was/was not necessary.

Summary:			
☐ Yes ☐ No	Additional informat Summary.	tion or new incident to an open re	eport received and is included in
☐ Yes ☐ No	Immediate danger criteria are present  Immediate  Immediate  Significant  Clearly obs	t:	e danger threshold is met when all 3
Protection Plan	Yes 🗌 No	In Home ☐ Out of H	ome
\\share.hhs.mt.gov\	DavWWWRoot\ES	S\CFS\FFA\Shared Documents	Protection Plan FINAL 6 15
<u>15.docx</u>			
	AS	SSESSMENT AREA: HISTORY	
2. HISTORY:			
reviewing the CAPS to the overall scope of frequency of this involved behavior; caretaker of against children; pare history that indicate p	and DocGen history of the current allegat olvement (i.e. multiple or adult in the home ental rights have been oarents don't adequa	tions: parents known to CPS and le reports, court action, VPSA, pr has a history of serious victimiza	egations and weigh history in context the severity, scope, span and ior FFAs); parent's pattern of tion of children/convictions of crimes a prior child; reoccurring themes in the ty concerns; reporter source and
Summary:			
-			

**Summary:** 

## 3. CHILD VULNERABILITY:

When assessing child vulnerability it is necessary to document the degree to which a child's exposure to the acts or omissions of a caretaker impacts their safety. All children are vulnerable to maltreatment and it is not the responsibility of child, regardless of age, to provide protection for themselves or other children in the home. Child vulnerability should be considered from numerous perspectives such as the child's ability to protect self, the child's age, the child's ability to communicate, the likelihood of harm given the child's development, the child's behavioral and emotional needs, the visibility of the child to others/child's access to individuals who can protect, family composition, prior victimization, the child's role in the family, and the child's resilience and problem-solving skills. Document how these factors decrease or increase the likelihood of actual or substantial risk of physical or psychological harm to the child(ren).

Þ	ASSESSMENT AREA: CHILD MALTREATMENT INDICATORS OF SUBSTANTIAL RISK OF HARM
4.	INDICATORS:
Phys Loss, withir Activi speci	ators of child maltreatment that put children at substantial risk of harm may include but are not limited to: ical or Mental Health, Substance Use, Developmental Ability, Hazardous Living Environment, Grief and , Traumatic Experience/Response, Financial and Residential Stability, Family Conflict, Domestic Violence in the home or community (witness to or victim of), Engagement in High Risk Behaviors or Criminal ity, etc. Documentation will provide detailed justification to support one of the following determinations ific to any applicable indicator(s) of child maltreatment alleged or identified in the report or via CPS actions and observations. Check only one that applies.
	No evidence to support an active indicator of child maltreatment within the household.
	Current/History of an indicator(s) of child maltreatment being prevalent within the household; there is no evidence to indicate a negative impact on safety or daily functioning, include information to indicate if caregivers or children are/are not engaging in preventative measures as a result.
	Indicator(s) of child maltreatment is/are active within the household and is <i>limiting or interfering</i> with aspects of safety and/or daily functioning.
	Indicator(s) of child maltreatment is/are active in the immediate sense that one's capacity for daily functioning is <i>completely diminished</i> and requires an immediate response.
Sum	nmary:

ASSESSMENT AREA: CAREGIVER PROTECTIVE CAPACITY

## 5. PROTECTIVE CAPACITY:

Protective capacities are the behavioral, cognitive, and emotional characteristics that are specifically and directly associated with a person's ability to care for and keep a child safe. This is the ability, capacity, and willingness of a parent or caretaker who has responsibility for the care of a child and can protect the child from actual or substantial risk of physical or psychological harm to a child(ren). Describe the parent(s) protective capacities through your observations, information gathering, and collateral contacts. Document how specific attributes of the person are demonstrated to protect children in relation to the safety threats. To have protective capacities, the attributes have to mitigate actual or substantial risk of physical or psychological harm from arising or having an unsafe impact on the child. To demonstrate these protective capacities the caretaker will have demonstrated the ability to protect the child in the past while under similar or comparable circumstances and family conditions and understands the significance of the threat without the prompting of Department involvement.

Summary:
ASSESSMENT AREA: MALTREATMENT
6. MALTREATMENT:
Describe the extent of the maltreatment through identification of type(s) of maltreatment, details about symptoms severity, and identify the maltreater. Indicate whether the maltreatment was substantiated, unsubstantiated of founded. Information should be from workers observations, information gathering and interactions with childre and caregivers and not from the report/referral from C.I. Document your finding of maltreatment with specificates to justify your determination. Specify by whom and toward whom the maltreatment occurred.
Justification of Safe or Whether Section 2 of the FFA is needed:
Justification of SUBSTANTIATED <u>or</u> UNSUBSTANTIATED <u>or</u> FOUNDED Determination:
Determination:
Report closed - safe
<ul> <li>☐ Further analysis required to make a safety determination (Complete Assessment Section 2)</li> <li>☐ Protection Plan is in place</li> <li>☐ Protection Plan is not necessary at this time</li> </ul>
☐ Report closed as: ☐ IIW ☐ CWF ☐ UNF Form RAC 004 Sent to Regional Administrator for approval DATE:

**Supervisor Justification:** 

CFS-SAMS – 503 Rev. 03/20/2018
APPROVAL OF FAMILY FUNCTIONING ASSESSMENT
(This section must be completed by CPS Supervisor)
The Family Functioning Assessment policies were followed:
$\square$ Yes - (Interview process, identifying dates, sources of information and other important case information that would not be included on this summary should be documented below).
□ No - (If timeframes are not met for the initial contact or the completion of the family functioning assessment, document the rationale and/or justification below. If the interview protocol was not or could not be followed, or an interview was waived, document rationale and justification below. This would include any petitions for custody that were denied by the judge or county attorney).
RATIONALE and JUSTIFICATION only If NO was checked:
Supervisor Approval for Report Closure Date and Signature:
This section must be completed if further analysis was determined necessary in section 1. Impending danger threats are marked yes if the safety threshold criteria are met. Justification must be completed for any YES determinations and a description of how the threshold is met must be included in the justification. The safety threshold is met when all 5 criteria are present:  • A family condition is out of control.
<ul> <li>A family condition is likely to result in severe effect.</li> <li>The severe effect is imminent; reasonably could happen soon.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The family condition is observable and can be clearly described and articulated.</li> <li>There is a vulnerable child.</li> </ul>
ASSESSMENT AREA - MALTREATMENT AND NATURE
Impending Danger Threats:
Based on Section 1 assessment information above, indicate Yes Impending Danger exists or No Impending Danger does not exist.
☐ Yes ☐ No Living arrangements seriously endanger a child's physical health.
Justification (only complete if YES):

CFS-SAMS – 503 Rev. 03/20/2018				
☐ Yes [	No	Family doe	es not have resources to meet basic needs.	
Justification (onl	ly complete i	if YES):		
		-		
☐ Yes [	☐ No	One or bot	th caregivers intend(ed) to hurt the child and show no remorse.	
Justification (on	ly complete i	if YES):		
	Α	SSESSMEN	T AREA - CHILD FUNCTIONING & DISCIPLINE	
intellect, ph behavior, p general beh regarding a what circum	ysical capa eer and sc navior, daily Il children in nstances? I	city and temphool behavior routines and the home. We will be the home.	child function on a daily basis? Include pervasive behaviors, feeling perament. Physical, emotional, and social development, predoming, mood and temperament, speech and communication, vulnerabed habits, ability to self-protect. Child functioning refers to informate what are the disciplinary approaches used by the caregivers, and under and expectations about discipline, purpose for discipline, creativity ited methods.	nant ility, tion ider
	Child N	lame	Child Functioning	
Child 1:				
Child 2:				
Child 3:				
Child 4:				
Child 5:				
Child 6:				
*To insert additio	nal rows, TA	B from the last	t cell in the table.	
			Impending Danger Threats:	
Based on case Impending Dan		•	ne Child Functioning Area, indicate Yes Impending Danger exists or	No
☐ Yes [	☐ No	Child has	exceptional needs which the caregivers cannot or will not meet.	
Justification (on	ly complete i	if YES):		

CFS-SAMS – 503 Rev. 03/20/2018			
☐ Yes [	☐ No	Child is extreme	ely fearful of the home situation.
Justification (onl	v complete if '	VFS)-	
	y complete ii	. 237.	
☐ Yes [	□ No	One or both car perceptions of a	regivers have extremely unrealistic expectations or negative a child.
Justification (onl	y complete if '	YES):	
		ASSESSMENT	T AREA: CAREGIVER FUNCTIONING
and domestic re relationships, pi the caregivers? and parenting, p	elations. Daily roblem solvin (Do not incluparenting skill	routine and hab g, stress manage ude discipline.) F l, parenting satisf	rs include mental health; physical health; substance use; social its, communication, emotional control and presentation, social ement. What are the overall, typical, parenting practices used by Parenting style and approach, knowledge of child development faction, sensitivity to child's limits, realistic expectations. lief about being a parent.
	Caregive	er Name	Caregiver Functioning
Caregiver 1:			
Caregiver 2:			
Caregiver 3:			
Caregiver 4:			
Caregiver 5:			
*To insert addition	nal rows, TAB	from the last cell in	n the table.
		Impe	ending Danger Threats:
		specific to the C ing Danger does	Caregiver Functioning Assessment Area, indicate Yes Impending not exist.
☐ Yes [	☐ No	One or both car Violence.	regivers are violent; this includes Domestic Violence and General
Justification (onl	v complete if '	YES):	

CFS-SAMS – 503 Rev. 03/20/2018	j	
☐ Yes	☐ No	One or both caregivers cannot control behavior.
Justification (d	only com	olete if YES):
	<u> </u>	,
☐ Yes	☐ No	The caregiver is unwilling or unable to perform parental duties and responsibilities, which could result in serious harm to the child.
Justification (d	only com	plete if YES):
☐ Yes	☐ No	One or both caregivers fear they will maltreat child and/or request placement.
Justification (d	only com	plete if YES):
☐ Yes	☐ No	One or both caregivers lack parenting knowledge, skills, and/or motivation which affects child safety.
Justification (d	only com	plete if YES):
		CAREGIVER PROTECTIVE CAPACITIES:
be associated are confirmed protective; th	d with a lid or dimine characteristics or diminer distribution or besting or besting the dimension or besting or besting the dimension or besting or besting the dimension of the dimension	eans behavioral, cognitive, and emotional characteristics that can specifically and directly person's ability to care for and keep a child safe. Document how the protective capacities nished by the care provider. These are the characteristic that prepare the person to be steristics that enables or empowers the person to be protective, characteristics that can be sing able to act on behalf of the child; and the characteristic that must exist prior to the ment.
Caregiver 1	l:	

- The parent/caregiver has a history of protecting. This refers to a person with many experiences and events in which they have demonstrated clear and reportable evidence of having been protective
- The parent/caregiver demonstrates impulse control. This refers to a person who is deliberate and careful; who acts in managed and self-controlled ways.
- The parent/caregiver uses resources necessary to meet the child's basic needs. This refers to knowing what is needed, getting it, and using it to keep a child safe.

# Cognitive Protective Capacities

- The parent/caregiver plans and articulates a plan to protect the child. This refers to the thinking ability that is evidenced in a reasonable, well thought out plan.
- The parent/caregiver is reality oriented; perceives reality accurately. This refers to mental awareness and accuracy about one's surroundings; correct perceptions of what is happening; and the viability and appropriateness of responses to what is real and factual
- The parent/caregiver understands his/her protective role. This refers to awareness.....knowing there are certain responsibilities and obligations that are specific to protecting a child.
- The parent/caregiver is self-aware. -This refers to a parent's/caregiver's sensitivity to one's thinking and actions and their effects on others on a child.

# **Emotional Protective Capacities**

- The parent/caregiver is emotionally able to intervene to protect the child. This refers to mental health, emotional energy, and emotional stability.
- The parent/caregiver displays concern for the child and the child's experience and is intent on
  emotionally protecting the child. This refers to a sensitivity to understand and feel some sense of
  responsibility for a child and what the child is going through in such a manner to compel one to
  comfort and reassure.

## **CHILD SAFETY DETERMINATION SUMMARY**

Child 1:	Safe	Unsafe	
Child 2:	Safe	Unsafe	
Child 3:	Safe	Unsafe	
Child 4:	Safe	Unsafe	

CFS-SAMS - 503 Rev. 03/20/2018 Safe Child 5: Unsafe Child 6: Safe -Unsafe \*To insert additional rows, TAB from the last cell in the table. The Child(ren) is/are Safe. (Check which apply) There are no children who are identified as vulnerable. There are no impending danger threats that meet the safety threshold. Briefly summarize the justification for above-determination that children are safe. The Child(ren) is/are Unsafe. There are one or more impending danger threats to a vulnerable child's safety which are not being controlled or managed by a caregiver. A safety plan must be implemented. Proceed to Safety Plan Determination Worksheet The case will be open for services. **Child Fatality** IF SECTION 2 WAS NECESSARY TO MAKE A MALTREATMENT DETERMINATION, INDICATE THAT **DETERMIANTION IN SECTION 1, ASSESSMENT AREA 6 MALTREATMENT** APPROVAL OF FAMILY FUNCTIONING ASSESSMENT (This section must be completed by CPS Supervisor) The Family Functioning Assessment policies were followed: Yes - (Interview process, identifying dates, sources of information and other important case information that would not be included on this summary should be documented below). ☐ No - (If timeframes are not met for the initial contact or the completion of the family functioning assessment, document the rationale and/or justification below. If the interview protocol was not or could not be followed, or an interview was waived, document rationale and justification below. This would include any petitions for custody that were denied by the judge or county attorney). RATIONALE and JUSTIFICATION only If NO was checked:

CFS-SAMS - 503 Rev. 03/20/2018 Supervisory Approval of Child Safety Determination (if Section 2 required to be completed): **Signature Date Supervisor Comments:** Safety Plan Determination/Conditions for Return Upon completion of the Family Functioning Assessment, the Child Protection Specialist and Supervisor will review the safety determination made. If the Child Protection Specialist finds impending danger, they must staff with their Supervisor within 24 hours to complete the Safety Plan Determination (SPD). If the child cannot be safely maintained in the home an out of home plan must be used. Safety Plan Determination Criteria for In-Home Safety Planning. Impending Danger(s): Describe how the impending danger is occurring in the home and family. How long has it been occurring? What is the frequency? Is it predictable? **Summary:** Control of Impending Danger(s): Describe what would be required to manage threatening behaviors, provide social support, provide for brief separation of parent and child, manage crises, and provide resources? **Summary:** Analysis Criteria for In-Home Safety Planning (answer yes or no and using the Guidelines for Analysis during Safety Plan Determination and Development of Conditions for Return to provide justification for either response. If answered no, provide the conditions for return)

There is a home-like setting where the parent(s) and child(ren) live.

☐ Yes

Justification:

l I No

CFS-SAMS - 503 Rev. 03/20/2018 **Conditions for Return:** ☐ Yes ☐ No The home is calm enough to allow for safety service providers in the home and safety activities to occur. Justification: **Conditions for Return:** ☐ Yes ☐ No At least one parent is willing to cooperate with the safety plan. Justification: **Conditions for Return:** ☐ Yes ☐ No The necessary safety activities and resources are available to implement the plan. Justification: **Conditions for Return:** 

**Responses:** Type of safety plan and intervention based on unsafe determination:

CFS-SAMS – 503 Rev. 03/20/2018 In-Home safety plan (Offer F	-amily Engagement	Meeting within 30 days of Safety Plan Determination)
☐ Combination In-Home/Out of Home safety plan (Offer Family Engagement Meeting within 30 days of Safety Plan Determination)		
Out of Home Safety plan (Offer Family Engagement Meeting within 30 days of Safety Plan Determination)		
☐ In-Home Safety plan remain	s sufficient	
☐ In-Home Safety plan revised	Ł	
Case Closed		
		<u></u>
Child Protection Specialist	Date	
(This section must be completed	by CPS Supervisor	•)
The Safety Plan Determination and Conditions for Return timelines and policies were followed:		
Yes - (Supervisor Case Consulta within 5 working days).	tion regarding Safety	/ Plan Determination and Conditions for Return occurred
☐ No - (If timeframes are not met trationale and/or justification below).		Determination and Conditions for Return, document the
Rationale and Justification:		
Child Protection Specialist Supervis	or Date	<del></del> e