# POSSIBLE SJ 35 NEXT STEPS FOR SAVA CONSIDERATION

## **OPTION A**

- Modify existing language in statute to include something along the lines of, "...the direct provision of human services to a client..."
  - Considerations: Will this streamline or slow down current processes? Will this allow adaptability
    as programs change? Does it make the language clearer without being too granular, causing
    unintended restrictions, or creating more questions about applicability?

## **OPTION B**

- Define "human services" under definitions section in 18-4-123, MCA
  - Considerations: Will this streamline or slow down current processes? Will this allow adaptability
    as programs change? Does it make the language clearer without being too granular, causing
    unintended restrictions, or creating more questions about applicability?

## **OPTION C**

- Strike existing language around human services and replace with specific program service exemptions under 18-4-132, MCA
  - Considerations: Will this streamline or slow down current processes? Will this allow adaptability
    as programs change? Does it make the language clearer without being too granular, causing
    unintended restrictions, or creating more questions about applicability?

#### OPTION D

- Instruct staff to draft a summary report of the information received during June, August, and November SAVA meetings and take no additional action related to the study.
  - Considerations: Does this accomplish all that is outlined in the SJ 35 study resolution? Does this align with comments and information received from agencies and the public?

#### OPTION E

- Decide not to proceed with the study and adjust SAVA workplan and meeting chart accordingly.
  - o Considerations: Does this accomplish all that is outlined in the SJ 35 study resolution? Does this align with comments and information received from agencies and the public?

