

## 2021-22 Transportation Interim Committee Work Plan Decision Matrix

Topic	Source	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D
<b>Assigned study</b>					
<b>Study Autonomous Vehicle Use</b>	HJ 10	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>.40 FTE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option B</b></li> <li>◦ Full analysis of autonomous vehicle use in other states</li> <li>◦ Review of potential impacts to tax collections and road maintenance</li> <li>◦ Analysis of technology and infrastructure needs to further implement autonomous vehicle use</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverables:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Interim report – July 2020</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><del>.30 FTE</del> <b>.20 FTE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option C</b></li> <li>◦ Multiple panel discussions from stakeholders</li> <li>◦ <del>Analysis of possible economic impacts</del></li> <li>◦ Identification of policies and regulatory frameworks available at the federal, state, and local levels in other areas</li> <li>◦ Analysis of possible liability issues related to autonomous vehicle use</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverables:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Multiple white papers – May 2020 and July 2020</li> <li>◦ Comparative analysis of other state policies and regulations</li> <li>◦ Final Report</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>.15 FTE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Panel discussion, possibly with representatives of other states with model frameworks</li> <li>◦ Engage stakeholders in information gathering</li> <li>◦ Analysis of current availability of autonomous vehicles and the number currently and projected to be in use in MT.</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverables:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Summary of current availability and use of autonomous vehicles in the state</li> <li>◦ Summary of current regulations, if any</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<b>No Action</b>
<b>Member suggested topics for TIC consideration</b>					
<b>Electric Vehicle Fees</b>	Suggested by Rep. Loge, Rep. Fern, & Rep. Duram	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>.25 FTE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option B</b></li> <li>◦ Trend projections of electric vehicle manufacture and use nation-wide and in the state</li> <li>◦ Examination of the effects electric vehicles pose to roadways and maintenance</li> <li>◦ Analysis of additional infrastructure needs required of electric vehicles</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverables:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Multiple white papers</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><del>.15 FTE</del> <b>.20 FTE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option C</b></li> <li>◦ Analysis of possible revenue loss from increased electric vehicle usage within the current gas tax model</li> <li>◦ Comparative analysis of other states' electric vehicle fees and regulations</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverables:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ White paper</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>.05 FTE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Panel discussion</li> <li>◦ Analysis of both HB 188 and the Governor's veto letter.</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverables:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<b>No Action</b>

**2021-22 Transportation Interim Committee  
Work Plan Decision Matrix**

Topic	Source	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D
<b>Bike Safety &amp; Registration/ Licensing</b>	Suggested by Rep. Loge		<b>.10 FTE</b>	<b>.05 FTE</b>	<b>No Action</b>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option C</b></li> <li>◦ Panel discussion with interested stakeholders</li> <li>◦ Further analysis of funding models in other states</li> <li>◦ Analysis of current bicycle safety threats and identification of possible solutions</li> </ul> <b>Deliverables:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ White paper</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Review research and final report from 2019-2020 interim</li> <li>◦ Analysis of possible funding sources and additional safety measures</li> </ul> <b>Deliverables:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	
<b>Memorial Highway Criteria</b>	Suggested by Rep. Loge		<b>.10 FTE</b>	<b>.05 FTE</b>	<b>No Action</b>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option C</b></li> <li>◦ Examine memorial highway criteria used in other states, if any</li> <li>◦ Elicit public comment from various areas of the state to determine possible criteria</li> </ul> <b>Deliverables:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ White paper</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Historical report on memorial highway designations in Montana</li> <li>◦ Panel discussion</li> </ul> <b>Deliverables:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	
<b>Highway Speed &amp; Safety Enforcement within Reservation Boundaries</b>	Suggested by Rep. Whiteman Pena	<b>.20 FTE</b>	<b>.15 FTE</b>	<b>.05 FTE</b>	<b>No Action</b>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option B</b></li> <li>◦ Travel to area of issue and speak with local representation <i>(may impact budget)</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Option C</b></li> <li>◦ Summary comparing highways with prominent or perennial safety issues</li> <li>◦ Analysis of current enforcement structures and possible jurisdictional issues</li> <li>◦ Additional panel discussions with department and/or law enforcement personnel</li> </ul> <b>Deliverables:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ White paper</li> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Gather information and public comment</li> <li>◦ Panel discussion</li> </ul> <b>Deliverables:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Legislation</li> </ul>	

## 2021-22 Transportation Interim Committee Work Plan Decision Matrix

Topic	Source	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D
<b>Highway Construction within Reservation Boundaries</b>	Suggested by Senator Ankney			<b>.05 FTE</b>  ◦ Receive an overview of highway jurisdiction and construction/maintenance responsibilities within tribal boundaries. May include an overview of transportation funding from the federal, state, and tribal levels.	<b>No Action</b>
<b>Billboard Modernization</b>	Suggested by Rep. Fern		<b>.15 FTE</b>  ◦ <b>Option C</b> ◦ Panel discussion with interested stakeholders ◦ Analysis of billboard effectiveness vs. roadway safety ◦ Research possible technological advancements in the field of billboards; determine if more effective systems exist <b>Deliverables:</b> ◦ White paper ◦ Legislation	<b>.10 FTE</b>  ◦ Review current regulations regarding billboards ◦ Historical analysis of billboard use and effectiveness ◦ Determine state and local revenue generated from billboard use <b>Deliverables:</b> ◦ Summary of current regulations ◦ Legislation	<b>No Action</b>
<b>State-Owned Airports &amp; Aviation Fuel Tax</b>	Suggested by Senator Ankney		<b>.10 FTE</b>  ◦ <b>Option C</b> ◦ Analysis of safety and economic impacts of state-owned airports, including funding adequacies or inadequacies <b>Deliverables:</b> ◦ White paper ◦ Legislation	<b>.05 FTE</b>  ◦ Agency updates on HB661 implementation and HB632 fund allocations ◦ Overview of current funding, conditions, and maintenance schedules of state-owned airports. <b>Deliverables:</b> ◦ Legislation	<b>No Action</b>
<b>Transportation Commission</b>	Suggested by Senator Ankney			<b>.05 FTE</b>  ◦ Receive summary sheets of the Transportation Commission's statutory duties, past project prioritization, and funding allocation history to determine its functionality. May also include a panel discussion.	<b>No Action</b>

## 2021-22 Transportation Interim Committee Work Plan Decision Matrix

Topic	Source	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D
<b>COVID Relief Updates – ARPA &amp; CRRSA Funding</b>	Suggested by Senator Sands			<b>.005+ FTE</b>  ◦ Receive regular updates from MDT regarding the use of new federal funds, including ARPA & CRRSA funds, intended for infrastructure use. Committee may request additional specific project information.	<b>No Action</b>
<b>TIC Statutory Duties and Obligations</b>					
<b>Review Administrative Rules</b>		<b>0.03 FTE</b>  ◦ Staff provides TIC copies of notices for the adoption of rules on all TIC subjects (Members to inform staff or Chair if they want more information)  ◦ Committee requests that it be copied on notification letters that the MDT and DOJ MVD is sending to legislators who were primary sponsors of laws that the agency is now promulgating rules for ◦ Committee attorney monitors and provides synopses of rules or subjects		<b>0.02 FTE</b>  ◦ Staff provides TIC copies of notices for the adoption of rules on certain TIC subjects (Members to inform staff or Chair if they want more information)  ◦ Committee requests that it be copied on notification letters that the MDT and DOJ MVD is sending to legislators who were primary sponsors of laws that the agency is now promulgating rules for	
<b>Review Agency Legislation</b>		<b>0.005 FTE</b>  ◦ Committee reviews proposals from the MDT and DOJ MVD and decides if staff should draft legislation for preintroduction			
<b>Review Proposed Ballot Initiatives</b>		<b>.005 FTE</b>  ◦ Committee holds hearing on proposed ballot initiatives within 14 days of receiving final language and votes to either support or not support the placement of the text of an initiative on the ballot ◦ Committee requests background on topic of ballot initiative such as past legislation and initiative proposals or similar proposals in other states		<b>.001 FTE</b>  ◦ Committee holds hearing on proposed ballot initiatives within 14 days of receiving final language and votes to either support or not support the placement of the text of an initiative on the ballot  <i>**May require additional committee meetings</i>	
<b>Monitor MDT activities</b>		<b>0.05 FTE</b>  ◦ Monitor or investigate broad aspects of MDT programs		<b>0.03 FTE</b>  ◦ Monitor or investigate specific aspects of programs, if TIC chooses	

## 2021-22 Transportation Interim Committee Work Plan Decision Matrix

Topic	Source	Option A	Option B	Option C	Option D
<b>Monitor DOJ MVD activities</b>		<b>0.05 FTE</b> ◦ Monitor or investigate broad aspects of DOJ programs		<b>0.03 FTE</b> ◦ Monitor or investigate specific aspects of programs, if TIC chooses	
<b>Review MDT Biodiesel Refund Report</b>		<b>0.001 FTE</b> ◦ Committee reviews biodiesel tax refund reports and decides if any comments are needed			
<b>Review Motor Fuel Tax Cooperative Agreements</b>		<b>0.001 FTE</b> ◦ Committee reviews motor fuel tax cooperative agreement reports and decides if any comments are needed or if changes in legislation should be proposed to the 2021 Legislature			
<b>Review MDT Alternative Project Delivery Contracting</b>		<b>0.001 FTE</b> ◦ Committee reviews MDT benefit analysis of alternative project delivery contracting in comparison to other contracting processes and provides comments			
<b>Review MDT Enforcement Authority</b>		<b>0.001 FTE</b> ◦ Reviews enforcement of MDT's authority to stop and inspect, if probable cause exists, diesel-powered vehicles to determine compliance with provisions of the special fuels use tax law and impacts of enforcement on the state special revenue fund			
<b>Total Resources Utilized/</b>					
<b>Total Resources Available</b>					<b><u>1.064</u></b> /1 FTE

### FTE Available to TIC

.01 FTE = 20 hrs = 1.25 days	.40 FTE = 800 hrs = 100 days
.10 FTE = 200 hrs = 25 days	.50 FTE = 1000 hrs = 125 days
.25 FTE = 500 hrs = 60 days	.75 FTE = 1500 hrs = 187.5 days
.30 FTE = 600 hrs = 75 days	1 Interim FTE = 2000 hrs = 250 days