Legislative Considerations for a Montana Judicial Performance Evaluation Bill

Prepared for the Senate Select Committee of Judicial Oversight and Reform

By Rachel Weiss, July 29, 2024

Background

At the July 15 meeting, the Senate Select Committee on Judicial Oversight and Reform (SJOR) requested staff create draft legislation to enact a judicial performance evaluation (JPE) system in Montana. The committee indicated that using Utah's JPE system as a model would be the starting point.

Bill Draft Considerations

While the Utah JPE system provides a framework for a potential bill, there are several key questions that the committee should consider and answer to provide Montana-specific details for the first draft. In addition to the Utah draft language, an overview table of JPE systems in other states will prove helpful as SJOR members provide instruction.

•	What courts should be included in the JPE bill?
	☐ Supreme Court justices
	☐ District Court judges
	☐ The chief water judge and associate water judge
	☐ Courts of Limited Jurisdiction including
	 Justice Courts
	City Courts
	Municipal Courts
	☐ Workers' Compensation Court judge
•	How many members should sit on the Judicial Performance Evaluation Commission and who should appoint them?
•	Where should the commission be located (or administratively attached)?
•	What is the timing of the evaluations?
	☐ Set time around elections or appointments
	□ Random
_	Should the evaluations be disseminated publicly?