

## State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee

PO BOX 201706 Helena, MT 59620-1706 (406) 444-3064 FAX (406) 444-3036

68th Montana Legislature

SENATE MEMBERS MIKE CUFFE JANET ELLIS FORREST MANDEVILLE WENDY MCKAMEY HOUSE MEMBERS MARTA BERTOGLIO JULIE DOOLING ROSS FITZGERALD GREGORY FRAZER KELLY KORTUM ED STAFMAN

COMMITTEE STAFF REBECCA POWER, Lead Staff ANDRIA HARDIN, Staff Attorney LJ JENNINGS, Secretary

TO: State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee Members
FROM: Andria Hardin, Staff Attorney
DATE: July 10, 2023
RE: Overview of SAVA's Administrative Rule Review Authority

One of the primary functions of each interim committee, including the State Administration and Veterans' Affairs Interim Committee (SAVA), is to review the administrative rulemaking activities of the agencies it oversees. Specifically, <u>5-5-228, MCA</u>, assigns rule review authority to SAVA over the following agencies and the entities attached to these agencies for administrative purposes:

- Department of Administration
- Montana Public Employee Retirement Administration
- Montana Teachers' Retirement System
- Montana Board of Investments
- Department of Military Affairs
- Montana Veterans Affairs Division
- Office of the Secretary of State
- Office of the Commissioner of Political Practices

To assist the committee in carrying out this rule review function, I will provide you with regular updates on rulemaking activities that happen throughout the interim. I will also inform you about specific issues that arise during the rulemaking process, opportunities for public comment and participation, and any other information requested by SAVA members. The remainder of this memo contains the following:

- I. An overview of the administrative rulemaking process
- II. A summary of SAVA's administrative rule review authority
- III. Changes enacted during the 2023 legislative session

## I. Overview of Administrative Rulemaking

State agencies may be authorized to carry out the Legislature's intent by adopting administrative rules, which have the full force and effect of law. The Legislature authorizes an agency to adopt rules for many reasons – often, the agency, with its expertise and resources, is best suited to consider technical and procedural details. Further, while the Legislature adopts, amends, and repeals laws when it meets every two years, agencies may adopt and amend administrative rules on an ongoing basis as circumstances change or new issues arise.

Administrative rule functions are governed by the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, or MAPA, as well as relevant case law. The provisions of MAPA are codified in <u>Title 2, chapter 4,</u> <u>MCA</u>. As defined in <u>2-4-102(11), MCA</u>, an administrative rule is an "agency regulation, standard, or statement of general applicability that implements, interprets, or prescribes law or policy or describes the organization, procedures, or practice requirements of an agency."

Agency rules are found in the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM). The Montana Administrative Register (MAR) is published by the Secretary of State twice a month, and the MAR contains notices of public hearings, Attorney General opinions, and vacancies on state boards. The ARM and the MAR are available electronically at <u>http://www.mtrules.org/</u>.

As noted above, MAPA and case law provide the procedural framework and requirements for administrative rulemaking in Montana. However, MAPA's provisions may be overridden by specific procedures or requirements set forth in another statute applicable to a specific agency. Importantly, MAPA itself does not provide any authority for an agency to adopt rules. Instead, that authority must be delegated to an agency by the Legislature in a statute specifically granting rulemaking authority.

Under <u>2-4-305(3), MCA</u>, an agency may not propose or adopt a substantive rule unless "a statute granting the agency authority to adopt rules clearly and specifically lists the subject matter of the rule as a subject upon which the agency shall or may adopt rules" or "the rule implements and relates to a subject matter or agency function that is clearly and specifically included in a statute to which the grant of rulemaking authority extends." An administrative rule may be invalidated if it exceeds the scope of the enabling statute, if it is inconsistent with statutory requirements, or if it adds requirements not contemplated by the Legislature.

MAPA outlines several requirements for the rulemaking process, including the following:

- A <u>notice of the proposed rulemaking action</u> must be published in the MAR and must be sent to the appropriate administrative rule review committee (ARRC) at the same time. The notice must include a statement of reasonable necessity for the proposed action and provide information on how a member of the public can provide comments on the proposal. If the agency moves forward with the rule action, it must publish an adoption notice as well. (<u>2-4-302, MCA</u>)
- An agency must provide "special notice" to the ARRC members and staff when an agency adopts <u>an emergency rule</u>. (2-4-303, MCA)
- There are several timing requirements for the process: an agency must provide at least 30 days' notice before taking action on proposed rulemaking but may not take more than 6 months to adopt the action in the proposal notice; the agency must provide at least 20 days' notice if it will hold a hearing on the proposed action; and the agency must give members of the public at least 28 days to provide their comments and feedback on the proposed action. (2-4-302, MCA)
- Additionally, an agency <u>may not adopt a rule</u> between October 1 and December 31 in the year preceding a regular legislative session, with two exceptions:
  - o a rule may be adopted if it is an emergency rule; or
  - a rule implementing a program or policy may be adopted in this time period if the unavailability of information, guidance, or notice precluded the rule being adopted before October 1. (2-4-305, MCA)

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE SERVICES DIVISION STAFF: JERRY HOWE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR • JOE KOLMAN, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND POLICY ANALYSIS, LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY OFFICE • TODD EVERTS, DIRECTOR, LEGAL SERVICES OFFICE • DALE GOW, CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SERVICES • ANGIE CARTER, FINANCIAL MANAGER

- If the rules <u>implement new legislation</u>, the agency must contact the primary sponsor of the legislation when the agency begins working on the substantive content and wording of the proposal notice. This allows the sponsor to provide comments, informs the sponsor of the deadlines for completing each step in the rulemaking process, and informs the sponsor of the period for public comment. (<u>2-4-302, MCA</u>)
- An agency must <u>fully consider</u> all oral and written comments regarding proposed rulemaking. (<u>2-4-305, MCA</u>)
- Each rulemaking action must include a citation to the <u>specific grant of rulemaking</u> <u>authority</u> and the statute that the rule purports to implement. Further, the action itself must be "reasonably necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute." (<u>2-4-305(3)</u> <u>and (6)(b), MCA)</u>
- During a regular session, the legislature may use a joint resolution to repeal a rule or an amendment that was adopted after the final adjournment of the last legislative session. If the agency adopts a replacement, the new rule must be in accordance with the objections stated in the joint resolution. Once the regular session adjourns, any rule that was adopted between the adjourned session and the prior session remains valid and cannot be repealed by joint resolution. Alternatively, the Legislature may repeal, adopt, or amend a rule by bill. (2-4-412, MCA)

## II. Committee and Individual Rule Review Authority

- A. Pursuant to 5-5-228, MCA, SAVA has administrative rule review authority over the agencies listed at the beginning of this memo, and any entities attached to these agencies for administrative purposes. The committee's administrative rule review authority is set out in <u>Title 2, chapter 4, parts 3 and 4, MCA</u>. Under these provisions, as a committee, SAVA may:
- Request an agency submit their rulemaking records to review agency compliance with MAPA's provisions. (<u>2-4-402(2)(a), MCA</u>)
- Prepare written recommendations for the adoption, amendment, or rejection of a rule, submit those recommendations to the department proposing the action, and submit oral or written testimony at a rulemaking hearing. (<u>2-4-402(2)(b), MCA</u>)
- Require that a rulemaking hearing be held according to 2-4-302 through 2-4-305, MCA. (2-4-402(2)(c), MCA)
- Institute, intervene in, or otherwise participate in proceedings involving MAPA in state and federal courts and administrative agencies. (<u>2-4-402(2)(d), MCA</u>)
  - If a majority of each house finds the rule to be contrary to the legislative intent, the rule must be conclusively presumed to be contrary to the intent of the legislature in any court proceeding involving the validity of the rule. (<u>2-4-404</u>, <u>MCA</u>)
- Commence a poll of all the members of the legislature to determine whether a proposed rule is consistent with the intent of the legislature. (<u>2-4-403, MCA</u>)
- Require the agency to prepare an economic impact statement relating to the adopting of the rule, upon the request of a majority of the appropriate ARRC or 15 individual legislators. (2-4-405, MCA)
- Object to a notice of proposed rulemaking and upon written notice, require a delay in the adoption of a rule. (2-4-305(9) and 2-4-306(4)(c), MCA)
  - If the committee ties on a vote to object to an administrative rule, the president of the senate and the speaker of the house are ex officio voting members for the sole purpose of breaking the tie vote. (<u>2-4-112, MCA</u>)

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE SERVICES DIVISION STAFF: JERRY HOWE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR • JOE KOLMAN, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND POLICY ANALYSIS, LEGISLATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY OFFICE • TODD EVERTS, DIRECTOR, LEGAL SERVICES OFFICE • DALE GOW, CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SERVICES • ANGIE CARTER, FINANCIAL MANAGER

- Object to all or some portion of a proposed or adopted rule if the committee believes it was not proposed or adopted in substantial compliance with MAPA. (2-4-406, MCA)
- A committee's failure to object to a rulemaking action is inadmissible in court to prove the validity of that rule. (<u>2-4-412, MCA</u>)
- Recommend changes to MAPA or the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule. (<u>2-4-411, MCA</u>)
- An agency must report to its ARRC about any judicial proceedings in which the construction or interpretation of a provision of MAPA is at issue; the agency may report to the committee when a judicial proceeding concerns the construction or interpretation of an agency rule. (2-4-410, MCA)
- B. As an individual member of SAVA or the Legislature, you have the following powers:
- Petition for adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule as a member of the Legislature. (<u>2-</u> <u>4-315, MCA</u>)
- As a primary sponsor, receive notice from the agency, before it writes a rule, of its intent to write the rule. The manner and date of notice to the primary sponsor must be stated in the notice of proposed rulemaking. (2-4-302, MCA)
- Request the agency form an informal conference or committee to develop proposed rule before agency publishes notice. (<u>2-4-304, MCA</u>)
- Join agency's list of interested persons for purposes of rulemaking. (2-4-302, MCA)
- Contribute to agency rulemaking record (<u>2-4-302</u> and <u>2-4-305, MCA</u>) by:
  - Writing or e-mailing the agency, as provided in the agency's proposal notice, before the rulemaking record closes;
  - Testifying at any agency rulemaking hearing.
- Object to committee presiding officer regarding proposed rule in order to potentially delay adoption of the rule so the committee can review the proposed rule (<u>2-4-305(9)</u>, <u>MCA</u>). If a majority of the committee notifies the presiding officer of the objection, then the committee notifies the agency in writing of the objection and the agency must delay adoption of the proposal notice.
- Request, by motion, that the interim committee take any of those actions authorized by law for the committee to take (see points under II, A above).

## III. Changes to Rulemaking Adopted in the 2023 Legislative Session

The 2023 Legislature passed <u>House Bill 739</u>, which provided an additional exemption to the prohibition on adopting new rules between October 1 and December 31 immediately preceding a regular legislative session. Beginning October 1, 2023 (the effective date of HB 739), an agency may adopt a proposed rule if it provides the proposal notice and an explanation for why the rule must be adopted before the end of the year to each member and staffer for the ARRC and gives the committee a 10-day window to object to the proposed rule. If a majority of the committee objects to the proposal, the presiding officer will notify the agency and the rule may not be adopted before the end of the year.