

Current Montana House District Deviations from Ideal District Population

2010 Legislative District Boundaries and 2020 Census Population Data (Prisoner Adjusted)

Ideal district population calculation: Population of Montana/# of State House Districts (1,082,717/100=10,827*)

House District	Ideal District Population*	District Population (2020 Census)	Deviation in Persons	% Deviation
78	10,827	8,191	-2,636	-24.35%
18	10,827	8,781	-2,046	-18.90%
41	10,827	9,163	-1,664	-15.37%
89	10,827	9,330	-1,497	-13.83%
49	10,827	9,390	-1,437	-13.27%
57	10,827	9,475	-1,352	-12.49%
15	10,827	9,510	-1,317	-12.16%
1	10,827	9,511	-1,316	-12.15%
39	10,827	9,527	-1,300	-12.01%
24	10,827	9,625	-1,202	-11.10%
47	10,827	9,649	-1,178	-10.88%
22	10,827	9,672	-1,155	-10.67%
36	10,827	9,747	-1,080	-9.98%
33	10,827	9,767	-1,060	-9.79%
50	10,827	9,781	-1,046	-9.66%
29	10,827	9,784	-1,043	-9.63%
25	10,827	9,828	-999	-9.23%
28	10,827	9,845	-982	-9.07%
42	10,827	9,848	-979	-9.04%
38	10,827	9,872	-955	-8.82%
59	10,827	9,897	-930	-8.59%
73	10,827	9,932	-895	-8.27%
31	10,827	9,950	-877	-8.10%
30	10,827	9,952	-875	-8.08%
52	10,827	9,993	-834	-7.70%
16	10,827	9,997	-830	-7.67%
48	10,827	10,022	-805	-7.44%
21	10,827	10,041	-786	-7.26%
2	10,827	10,180	-647	-5.98%
27	10,827	10,189	-638	-5.89%
17	10,827	10,197	-630	-5.82%
90	10,827	10,244	-583	-5.38%
99	10,827	10,244	-583	-5.38%
83	10,827	10,303	-524	-4.84%
100	10,827	10,378	-449	-4.15%
46	10,827	10,382	-445	-4.11%
91	10,827	10,415	-412	-3.81%
74	10,827	10,422	-405	-3.74%
72	10,827	10,427	-400	-3.69%
26	10,827	10,448	-379	-3.50%
34	10,827	10,456	-371	-3.43%
58	10,827	10,476	-351	-3.24%
7	10,827	10,477	-350	-3.23%
40	10,827	10,478	-349	-3.22%
37	10,827	10,506	-321	-2.96%
77	10,827	10,515	-312	-2.88%
19	10,827	10,525	-302	-2.79%
23	10,827	10,557	-270	-2.49%
75	10,827	10,565	-262	-2.42%
92	10,827	10,596	-231	-2.13%
56	10,827	10,602	-225	-2.08%
82	10,827	10,620	-207	-1.91%
93	10,827	10,698	-129	-1.19%
94	10,827	10,701	-126	-1.16%
32	10,827	10,724	-103	-0.95%
98	10,827	10,725	-102	-0.94%
97	10,827	10,726	-101	-0.93%
14	10,827	10,728	-99	-0.91%
84	10,827	10,753	-74	-0.68%
76	10,827	10,784	-43	-0.40%

34 districts are underpopulated by at least 5%

10 districts are underpopulated by 3% - 5%

10 districts are underpopulated by 1% - 3%

79	10,827	10,788	-39	-0.36%
9	10,827	10,806	-21	-0.19%
95	10,827	10,820	-7	-0.06%
85	10,827	10,832	5	0.05%
55	10,827	10,865	38	0.35%
44	10,827	10,870	43	0.40%
20	10,827	10,920	93	0.86%
86	10,827	10,922	95	0.88%
71	10,827	10,993	166	1.53%
13	10,827	11,031	204	1.88%
66	10,827	11,041	214	1.98%
43	10,827	11,046	219	2.02%
60	10,827	11,048	221	2.04%
3	10,827	11,061	234	2.16%
11	10,827	11,072	245	2.26%
87	10,827	11,096	269	2.48%
88	10,827	11,338	511	4.72%
45	10,827	11,368	541	5.00%
81	10,827	11,371	544	5.02%
12	10,827	11,383	556	5.14%
61	10,827	11,395	568	5.25%
10	10,827	11,485	658	6.08%
5	10,827	11,494	667	6.16%
35	10,827	11,501	674	6.23%
4	10,827	11,629	802	7.41%
68	10,827	11,648	821	7.58%
80	10,827	11,782	955	8.82%
69	10,827	11,894	1,067	9.86%
6	10,827	11,942	1,115	10.30%
51	10,827	12,016	1,189	10.98%
70	10,827	12,442	1,615	14.92%
54	10,827	12,617	1,790	16.53%
8	10,827	12,752	1,925	17.78%
67	10,827	12,973	2,146	19.82%
96	10,827	13,111	2,284	21.10%
63	10,827	13,544	2,717	25.09%
62	10,827	13,624	2,797	25.83%
64	10,827	14,503	3,676	33.95%
53	10,827	15,757	4,930	45.53%
65	10,827	17,816	6,989	64.55%

23 districts are underpopulated by 5% or more

8 districts are overpopulated by 1 - 3%

10 districts are within -1 to 1% of ideal population