Online map comments, received before 5 p.m. 10/16

CP	Opinion	Comment	Name	Date	Email	City	State
CP1	Like	This map 1 is similar to our historical map. Very fair. The only drawback I see is the splitting of two counties.	Dianne Hansen	2021-10-16	diannedave2@gmail.com	Eureka	Montana
CP1	Like	deviation of only 1, the smallest of any of the options. However the eastern border of District 2 (note district numbers 1 and 2 are reversed for this map as compared to most of the other maps) now divides both Gallatin and Cascade Counties. Two major cities, however are both intact in Eastern District 1: Great Falls and Bozeman with the dividing line in Gallatin being located west of Bozeman as compared to Map 7.	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP1	Like	This is a very good map to divide Montana. It takes both parties fairly into account and it doesnâ€™t gerrymander to any specific party. Dividing Montana by the East and the West is a very good way of going about it, which is why I hope that this map is the 1 to be approved. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-16	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Like	this map is a great fit both now and for the future. All Montanans must be represented in a fair fashion, without the partisan politics we see in other states.	Robert Sharpnack	2021-10-16	rnsharp64@gmail.com	Columbia Falls	MT
CP1	Dislike	party.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP1	Like	This is a good competitive map for both parties and splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between east and west. This map evenly splits the state demographically and geographically. I consider this a fair division, as the largest cities are split so that one side does not outpace the other.	Jennifer J. Redline	2021-10-16	redjenn227@gmail.com	Superior	Mt
CP1	Like	2nd choice	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Dislike	period, I urge the commission not to select map 1 because it divides Gallatin County into two Congressional districts. Even with the diversity that exists in the county, there are many shared interests and commonalities among residents in Gallatin County. This proposed map favors one political party. As the second largest county in the state, the entire county deserves to be in one Congressional district.	Patti Steinmuller	2021-10-16	psteinmul@msn.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Like	This map is the fairest and most constitutional of all the choices. The other maps all seem to give preference to one party only. The nation is watching to be sure that Montana does this in a fair and equitable manner, and therefore, this is the ONLY choice that exhibits that philosophy.	Ron Paul Wirth	2021-10-16	ron.wirth1971@outlook.com	Victor	Montana
CP1	Like	I like this second for the same reasons I like #5.	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP1	Like	east/west split, and it doesn't look like a gerrymander job. It is simple and clean.	Joseph D. Coco	2021-10-16	joe@coco-ent.com	Whitefish	MT
CP1	Like	Nice east/west split -- almost exact per population! Contigious and good split between parties if you look at recent past elections (gov in '16 and Senate 18 race)s. Looks like low deviation per person (as required by state constitution?).	Nicole J Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP1	Dislike	This map clearly violates the objective of not favoring a political party. If these district lines are chosen, the republican party will have two super districts and will have no incentive to consider the opinions of anyone who isn't an ultra-conservative (as is the case now in the legislature). I strongly oppose this district configuraton.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Dislike	This results in a biased electoral map that doesn't reflect actual voter opinions	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP1	Like	it allows for two reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP1	Like	This is the most competitive map for both parties and splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between east and west	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana

CP1	Like	allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. â€¢The population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. â€¢There are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). â€¢This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. â€¢When you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. â€¢Most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	James Keller	2021-10-16	jimk9901@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map is biased to create two Republican districts, and is not approved by Montana's tribal communities. Montana is a purple state. Our districts should be created in such a way that candidates are forced to appeal to all voters based on their ideas, and not just appeal to a district pre-loaded with a majority of people from their party. This map is not competitive.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Like	This map follows the criteria Montana law prescribes most closely with the least deviation and reflects our past history as well as the potential for future population growth. While it does split two Counties, it is nearly impossible to prevent this as the other map options illustrate as well.	Andrew R. Brekke	2021-10-16	arbrekke@bresnan.net	Havre	MT
CP1	Like	This map satisfies the legal requirements of MCA 5-1-115. It shares the Canadian border between districts, put two tribes in the western district, places 2 of the 4 high growth counties in each district and although it splits Gallatin and Cascade county it keeps the cities intact in the eastern district.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP1	Like	This map evenly splits the state demographically and geographically. I consider this a fair division, as the largest cities are split so that one side does not outpace the other.	Erin Darling	2021-10-16	erindarling42@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana

CP1	Dislike	This districting proposal does not consult the Native communities, chops up counties and towns, and creates two GOP superblocks. This map makes a farce out of the redistricting process, and pursues resembling our old district map at the sacrifice of being competitive in any way. Also worth noting we no longer have the old districting for a reason: The world, and Montana, has changed a lot since we last had 2 Representatives!	Ethan Seiler	2021-10-16	ethanseiler@outlook.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Like	allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP1	Dislike	Though this version is the easiest geographical division, it is biased and ensures a Republican majority in both Districts. It would have been more fair had all of Gallatin County been included in District 1 and not split. A very poor choice.	Connie Ostrovsky	2021-10-16	conobozo@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Dislike	I oppose this split. It is biased, not competitive, and splits too many counties.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Dislike	No competitive districts.	Jeff McNeish	2021-10-16	jeff.mcneish@gmail.com	Laurel	MT
CP1	Dislike	Biased and unbalanced	Mitch Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Dislike	This is a bad map	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
CP1	Like	This is the best plan - divides counties/cities the least while making sense of boundaries. It also divides population very equally.	William Rader	2021-10-16	billrader123@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map the best. It does however divide a couple counties which is something I am not thrilled about but it does avoid dividing communities. Clear north to south split which I like. My second choice is VP3	K Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT

CP1	Dislike	I have lived in Idaho, where communities were split to give one party an advantage as on this map. It makes you feel like your vote has been taken away. No matter what happens, Democrats will never have that kind of control in Montana, but at least allow the substantial number of non-Republicans in this state to have some chance of representation.	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP1	Like	I believe the CP1 is the most balanced and fair along with following state law. It would be obvious one political party would have a great advantage if any of the other maps are used. Growth is typical in the cities, so to keep these larger cities spilt is common sense.	LYNN BERVY	2021-10-16	lynnbervy@mac.com	Melrose	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map is uncompetitive, giving one party an exteme advantage. It splits counties and communities of interest. This map should be eliminated due to its extreme bias.	Linda G Semones	2021-10-16	lindasemones@hotmail.com	BOZEMAN	MT
CP1	Dislike	Not competitive	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP1	Like	This map is not competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map because it follows state law, as the districts are compact, contiguous, and allow for both districts to have a border with Canada.	brandon deshaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP1	Dislike	I dislike and OPPOSE this map configuration because it splits counties and hence splits communities of interest and unduly favors the Republicans. The redistricting committee should be working to alleviate partisanship and strive to improve collaboration and compromise for the good of the entire state.	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map because it's a fair east west split for the state. I prefer that the largest towns in the state are properly split across the divide evenly as it helps distribute votes and power more evenly. I do not want the liberal agenda seeping into my county because the Democrats are maintaining more control by conquering another town. I believe in this split as it gives citizens a fair opportunity to vote and live with the values they believe in. My home state was redistricted like how the democrats are proposing and it ruined Virginia.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	Based upon the available choices, this map is the most competitive one for the two main political parties. It also provides the best balance for population and tribe inclusion.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP1	Like	CP 1 has my endorsement. A balanced approach, it meets requirements of the law which many of the other maps fail to do. I believe it represents both the state's demographic and political factions equally while meeting the statutory requirements for compactness and contiguity, with the equal division of only a few counties to meet the fair distribution of growing populaces.	Brian Higgins	2021-10-16	bluesman59901@msn.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP1	Like	I like this map because the population only differs by just one citizen. This map also splits four of the largest growing cities in the state into each district evenly. Lastly this map is closest to the district divide from the past.	Natalie Johansen	2021-10-16	Njohansen2001@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Like	Canada. East-West makes the most sense. The other maps are clearly examples of gerrymandering. Please do not put Flathead with eastern MT or split it up.	Linda Jackson	2021-10-16	vjack@centurytel.net	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	I like the CP 1 map the best. It displays a historical and logical divide between the East and West districts. It appropriately conforms to the MT Code 5-1-115 redistricting criteria. It evenly splits the (4) fastest growing counties and the (4) Native American Indian reservations. It's the most competitive map for both parties and creates an equal population growth split among the two districts.	Michelle Daniels	2021-10-16	michelle.daniels40@gmail.com	Whitefish	Montana
CP1	Like	I like this map best because this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. When you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP1	Like	Yes. Fair split of the state. Reject the games democrats play. I have lived in democrat-controlled states. They love to gerrymander in order to give control to their party and the federal government. Do not give them an inch. We must reject any proposal by them.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map BEST because this map is fair for both parties and complies with the law. This map resembles the historical divide we have had in the past. The East/West line makes it easier for voters and candidates. Most importantly, it does not take away the voice of our Native Americans. Thank you.	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP1	Dislike	good at a glance, state divided half and half it would never work for a fair election.	Kenda Kitchen	2021-10-16	kendakitchen@hotmail.com	Plains	MT

CP1	Dislike	This map is not at all competitive, strongly favoring one party. Also, it splits counties and towns. Very poor choice.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP1	Like	I like map CP #1 because it is a straight line for western Montana and includes all of the cities without any gerrymandering like the other maps.	Verdell Jackson	2021-10-16	vjack@centurytel.net	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	Map 1 is the most compact and constitutional districting possible	Katherine Butterfield	2021-10-16	hikerpawz7@icloud.com	West Glacier	MT
CP1	Like	CP1 should strongly be considered for implementation by the committee as It shares crucial input regarding the Canadian border with both districts. It balances reservations and fastest growing counties in each district. It satisfies the contiguous and compactness requirement along with the communities of interest criteria. The population deviation is near non existent.	Cindia Ellis	2021-10-16	cindia@midrivers.com	Miles City	MT
CP1	Like	by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	Karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Dislike	meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Dislike	population. It divides communities of interest. It will not benefit our democracy.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP1	Like	This map is the only map of the 9 submitted that most closely aligns with the intent of HB 506, therefore its the only map that complied with current Montana Law, it divides the least amount of counties and has the closest split of the total number of people.	Lance Richards	2021-10-16	mii@q.com	Belgrade	MT

CP1	Like	â€¢Big picture, this map appears political motivation is not the driving issue in development. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map. â€¢Best meets intent of constitutional requirements, does not put competitiveness for one party over the other as a priority which is not part of the requirement. â€¢1 citizen deviation, wow. Splits fastest growing counties into both districts. Maintains a university town in each district. Best delineation of districts representing diversity of interests, the use of land and natural resources. This allows representatives to represent Montana fairly back in Washington and not be politically motivated. Looks to be the best fit for all the people of Montana. One negative is it splits 2 counties, which could make election integrity at those counties challenging. Higher degree of diversity of interests by allowing for reservations in both districts. Maintains a broader array of interests in both districts, which will make both parties competitive in both districts. â€¢think this is the best delineated map for the two elected representatives to represent all of Montanaâ€™s.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	Billings	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map the BEST because it resembles the historical divide we have had in the past. The East/West line makes it easier for voters and candidates. This map is fair for both parties and complies with the law. Most importantly, it does not take away the voice of our Native Americans. Thank you for letting us have a voice in this important decision.	Heidi Roedel	2021-10-16	roedel@centurylink.net	Kalispell	Montana
CP1	Dislike	This map unnecessarily splits counties and communities.	Marcia Riesselman	2021-10-16	marciariesselman@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Map 2 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP1	Like	west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. It also most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	David Rowll	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map splits two counties going against one of the goals of the Commission to keep cities, towns and counties together and it favors the Republican party. It is not competitive and will result in disenfranchising many voters.	kathy hadley	2021-10-16	kathyh1016@gmail.com	Galen	Montana
CP1	Like	CP1 map complies with HB506 legal requirements, divides the population equally and is fair for both parties.	Ed Hill	2021-10-16	hillforhouse28@gmail.com	Havre	MT
CP1	Like	This map gives reservations a voice in both districts. It creates compact and contiguous districts which have common interests. Also, the fastest growing counties are split between the 2 districts maintaining a balance for a longer period of time. Issues with the Canadian border are divided evenly. Gerrymandering is eliminated preventing permanent republican and democrat districts which would disenfranchise many voters indefinitely.	James Gomolka	2021-10-16	realfoodwins-1@yahoo.com	Proctor	Montana
CP1	Dislike	Splitting counties and small towns?? Why would I have a different rep than someone who lives 2 streets away?	Denise Faulkner	2021-10-16	snowsoftnmt@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP1	Like	A fair and balanced approach that represents both the demographic and partisan aspects of the state	james heitel	2021-10-16	heitel.james@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP1	Opinion	This map clearly favors one party. (GOP) The goal should be to give every voter an equal voice. Why should 40 to 45 % of the population have NO voice in who represents them? This map is NOT at all competitive.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP1	Like	This map seems to split the state in a fair way, taking growing cities into consideration and separating them in an attempt to keep things fair.	Heather Smith	2021-10-16	lukeandheather@hotmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map creates 2 super districts for the R party, making it impossible for a fair election.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT

CP1	Like	0%. This creates one of the tightest maps by district measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, which allows for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. There are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the Eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). This map also splits the fastest growing 4 counties in the East and West districts and put two reservations in the Western districts. This allows for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. This is the most competitive map for both parties and is my number one choice of all the maps.	GORDON E JACOBS	2021-10-16	bsnurse44@yahoo.com	GREAT FALLS	MT	
CP1	Dislike	This isn't a good choice. It clearly favors one party. It seems that the goal of redistricting should be to provide the best representation of all voters. It makes more sense to me that divisions be made considering like needs; i.e. rural, urban, native, etc. We should think outside the box and the traditional way of doing things in order to best serve all Montanans in this time of rapid change.	Sandra Baril	2021-10-15	sandrabaril@yahoo.com	Sheridan	MT	
CP1	Like	I prefer this version as it seems to maintain what was historically done in MT as well as the only difference appears to be one person. (I say appears because we know that realistically not all people were counted).	Kenneth Leppell	2021-10-15	Ess@3Rivers.net	Great Falls	MT	
CP1	Like	geographic sense, divides population equally, and is the least divisive as far as counties/cities.	Connie Rader	2021-10-15	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT	
CP1	Like	I like Proposed Map CP1 as I believe it follows the precedent with east-west division and it divides the least number of counties. It allows for future growth in counties where the population is mostly occurring.	Shirley V Swecker	2021-10-15	daughterofdestiny@live.com	Manhattan	MT	
CP1	Like	decade. -This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. -This map most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT	

CP1	Like	â€¢ it allows for two reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. â€¢ the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. â€¢ there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). â€¢ this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Keith Morgan	2021-10-15	keynormorgan@gmail.com	Billings	Montana
CP1	Like	*the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. *there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). *this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Dislike	Again, I dislike this map as it splits two counties. I know people like the split because it looks clean, but that isn't why or how we should choose district boundaries. This map violates the goal of not splitting entities such as towns, cities, counties or reservations.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Dislike	I dislike this map because it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP1	Like	This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. It seems like a very good choice.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT

CP1	Like	Map one makes the most sense for MT Congressional districts. It follows the law and best groups any culture, economic and culture concerns. Western MT is not Eastern MT.	Keith Regier	2021-10-15	keithregier@gmail.com	Kalispell	Flathead
CP1	Like	I like this map for several reasons. It shares crucial imput regarding the Canadian border with both districts. It puts 2 reservations in the western district and 2 of the 4 fastest growing counties in each district. It satisfies the contiguous and compactness requirement along with the communities of interest criteria. The population deviation is 0% and even the illegal commissions competitive requirement is satisfied.	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map because it divides the population pretty equally without Gerrymandering the State of Montana.	Joseph Patrick Flynn	2021-10-15	jopflynn@yahoo.com	Belgrade	MT
CP1	Like	This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. This is my #1 choice of all the maps presented as it seems to meet the majority of considerations to be fair and equitable across all sectors.	Leslie Ellington-Staal	2021-10-15	roamus928@hotmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP1	Like	Legal requirements are met and is the most fair map for both parties.	Jolene Regier	2021-10-15	regierjolene@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Dislike	CP1 fails the commissionâ€™s criteria on multiple counts. It capriciously divides Gallatin and Cascade County. As a Helena resident with many social and cultural ties to the nearby cities of Great Falls and Bozeman, it makes no sense to split their voters into the â€œfar easternâ€district. It certainly appears that the intent is to curtail the voting power of urban interests, in addition to minimizing competitiveness in District 2. This plan is blatantly drawn to unduly favor the Republican party.	Linda S Beischel	2021-10-15	lindabee7999@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP1	Opinion	Best overall choice with even populations,clear eastern 3/5 & western 2/5 land area district split. No lopsided dump of either party's supporters on 1 side of the line, any clearly superior candidate could win in either district	perry helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP1	Like	I like this map because it follows the specifics of the Constitution the best.	Susan McCreary	2021-10-15	Geripick2020@gmail.com	Stevensville	Mt
CP1	Opinion	This map is horrible. It splits Cascade and Gallatin Counties putting Bozeman and Great Falls in the District 1, hence nullifying their power.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map because this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT

		the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.					
CP1	Like		Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
		district. the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.					
CP1	Like		Daniel Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT

CP1	Like	I like this map as it seems to split the fast growing counties evenly properly setting the stage for the population growth over the next decade.	Shawn Vicklund	2021-10-15	shawnvicklund@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP1	Like	I feel this map is the most fair. Gallatin County should not be in the west.	Peggy Hart	2021-10-15	peggyhart@me.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map unduly favors one political party and divides Gallatin County, which will disenfranchise voters who share common issues, concerns, economies, values, and needs.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP1	Like	This seems to be the best division	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP1	Like	This looks like the best choice	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP1	Like	complaining that it has more republican demographics? The recent election just showed that we are a majority republican leaning state. Of course any map will show that if it is divided fair and equally based on population. This should not be a political discussion, but rather represent the people that live across the state.We need to capture equal populations per sector and let the political chips fall where they do.	Jim Riley	2021-10-15	jim@livelifedriven.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Lindsey Mishler	2021-10-15	lnmishler@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP1	Like	I like this map. It looks very fair as compared to most of the others!	Wendy Williams	2021-10-15	tawewilliams@mt.net	Helena	MT
CP1	Dislike	I oppose this map as it divides Gallatin County and splits the communities of Big Sky and Gallatin River Ranch. It also creates two districts that are not competitive. Montanans deserve to keep our communities of interest intact and to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP1	Like	I like this map because the districts are based on the East/West portions of the state which have separate issues. The boundaries here will include all types of people, it will make the city folk and the country folks have to work together on issues in their district.	Ryan Darling	2021-10-15	Av8torguy@protonmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Like	MCA.	Randy Brodehl	2021-10-15	rbrodehl@flathead.mt.gov	Kalispell	Flathead
CP1	Like	This looks like the best division of the state to meet criteria.	Timothy Charles Fay	2021-10-15	tfay3030@gmail.com	Wise River, MT	MT
CP1	Dislike	This plan looks tidy, but what's the reason to divide the state like this? Is it not more important to create districts that are competitive and reflect shared values? We are failing our state if we do not try to reduce polarization and increase collaboration. I oppose this plan.	Sandra Baril	2021-10-15	sandrabaril@yahoo.com	Sheridan	MT

CP1	Like	I like this map cause it allows for 2 reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. This map splits the fastest growing counties evenly between east and west districts, allowing for best reflection of poplulation growth	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP1	Like	years when Montana had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. The population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Deborah M Wilson	2021-10-15	dmwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP1	Like	Flathead county belongs in the WEST, not the East that some of these maps show. Do what is right for Montana, not whatever party you belong to. We are sick of phony partisan politics. Just do the RIGHT thing!!!	Alfred Picinni	2021-10-15	ecmsgt@bresnan.net	Bigfork	MT
CP1	Like	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? Map CP1 is the ONLY map that fully meets the intent of HB506. It has a difference of only 1 person between the two congressional districts, it divides only 2 counties, and it is the MOST COMPACT of the 9 proposed maps with the north-south and east-west dimensions being the most equal.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP1	Like	The only thing wrong with this map. Is the Rocky Mountains the road is not nice when you are campaigning	Lila J Evans	2021-10-15	Boyd.Evans@gmail.com	Browning	Montana
CP1	Like	This Map is fair.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP1	Like	This map in my opinion is preferred. Although it does split two counties, it balances urban and rural populations. If the political control is split, the split counties representation would be more fair to both parties. The division is straight forward and does not have the appearance of gerrymandering as most of the other proposals do. I am not sure why so many comments stress making sure the Indian reservations are all in one district. Are they not equally considered members of the state like the rest of us? Is the mentality of dividing and segregating the country on its way back? That is the last thing we need.	Kenneth Hinzman	2021-10-15	ken149@centurytel.net	Columbia Falls	Montana
CP1	Like	This is a good map, I believe it allows to adopt a map that complies with Montana law and rejects gerrymandered districts in the West.	Deborah Woodahl	2021-10-15	debbiew12020@aol.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Like	Not only is there no gerrymandering on this map it also meets both the population requirements and all of the Montana Constitution requirements.	Chris Van Fossen	2021-10-15	Chrisvf12@comcast.net	Laurel	Montana
CP1	Like	This map meets the population requirements as well as meets all the Montana Constitution requirements. With no gerrymandering.	Al Wilson	2021-10-15	acwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP1	Like	maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana.	Thomas Millett	2021-10-15	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP1	Like	This map is the best at meeting the constitutional requirements and cannot be accused of somehow being a gerrymandered map. Pick this one.	Theron Nelson	2021-10-15	terryndar@hotmail.com	Hamilton	MT
CP1	Like	Map CP â€“ 1 Looks visually like realistic districts. I very much respect the skill of lining up so many details for the districts to be compact and even balance with population and other details.The most important factor is to meet all the legal requirements!. Map CP-1 does that well.	Eileen Guthrie	2021-10-15	eileen@wedeliverwellness.com	Bozeman	MT

CP1	Dislike	super districts. There is no reason to run the divide north to south except to ineffectively hide blatant partisanship. Neither district is competitive and it only benefits the republican party. Just because the state districts used to be cut from north to south doesnâ€™t mean itâ€™s fair or considers the demographic needs of urban voters. Montana has changed considerably in the decades since it last had two U.S. legislative districts. We donâ€™t drive our cars from the rear view mirror and we certainly shouldnâ€™t run our state from a rear view mirror. This plan clearly disenfranchises our urban areas. Furthermore, Montanaâ€™s Native American tribes do not support this map. I adamantly oppose this map.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana	
CP1	Like	This map seems to best comply with Montana law. Also the other maps seem to be gerrymandered in the West.	Ward Guthrie	2021-10-14	wguthrie@mail.com	Bozeman	MT	
CP1	Like	I like this map becaue both Congressional districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. Even though there Cascade and Gallatin are split, the map keeps both major cities intact)Great Falls and Bozeman). This map splits the fastest growing four counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Henry Kriegel	2021-10-14	henrykriegel@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana	
CP1	Like	I like this map because it allows two reservation to be included. It is the closest in voters of each party and it most closely resembles the historical divide when we had two districts.	Darlene M Kolczak	2021-10-14	darkolczak@yahoo.com		442	27

CP1	Opinion	1. Division by natural boundaries. Fail 2. Division by population. Pass 3. Division by exterior border with Canada. Pass 4. Division by county representation. Scale 1-5(best) = 4--could be difficult for the western parts of Cascade and Gallatin Counties. 5. Division by Indian population. FAIL 6. Division by Urban/Rural population. Pass 7. Division by Commerce. Scale 1-5(best) 3 8. Division by Tourist Trades. Pass 9. Division by political parties. Scale 1-5(best) 4---I could support this map, but prefer the Rocky Mountains as a our states most natural boundary and crossing this causes travel problems in the northern counties many of the winter months.	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT
CP1	Dislike	I am a Montana voter and this map is unfair. This map is not a balanced and should not be used to determine Montana's Congressional districts. It would create non-competitive districts and would not represent equal populations.	Courtney Miranda	2021-10-14	courtney.miranda13@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Dislike	This map does not meet the constitutional requirement to not draw lines for the purpose of favoring a political party. It's blatantly clear Republican members of the commission want to split Gallatin County and group it with Billings in order to gerrymander the state for their own gain. I urge you to reject this blatant partisanship and draw lines based on communities of interest, compactness and competitiveness instead.	Thomas Cuezze	2021-10-14	tcuezze@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

Map 1 â€“ I like this map because

â€¢ allows for two reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district.
â€¢ the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana.
â€¢ there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman).
â€¢ this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.
â€¢ when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available.
â€¢ most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.

CP1 Like | Richard A. Pence | 2021-10-14 | rapence45@gmail.com | Billings, | Montana

CP1	Like	Map 1 â€“ I like this map because ï‚· it allows for two reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. ï‚· the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. ï‚· there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). ï‚· this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. ï‚· when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. ï‚· most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP1	Like	this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Like	This is the best map moving forward for our state.	Katherine Holmes	2021-10-14	klholmes97@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	This is the cleanest fairest division for the districts.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana
CP1	Like	This map is the best of the 9 because it does not Gerrymander the districts, it has the best split of populations and splits just 2 counties.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana
CP1	Like	As a Bozeman resident I am ok with splitting Gallatin county as long as it doesn't run through Bozeman and Belgrade proper. This map doesn't. I personally think the whole county should be in the east but understand the need to balance the populations of growing areas west of town.	David Herbst	2021-10-14	Dherbst@afphq.org	Bozeman	Montana

CP1	Like	This map passes the smell test. It is even, contiguous and fairly splits populations.	Nicholas Schwaderer	2021-10-14	nicholas.schwaderer@gmail.com	Superior	MT
CP1	Dislike	Once again, this map does not offer an opportunity for the 46% of Montanans who are currently voiceless at the Federal level to receive representation. Suddenly all those who were complaining about split counties in other proposals that resulted in one out of two competitive districts are quiet. There is nothing magical about a North-South divide between districts.	SHIRLEY N ATKINS	2021-10-14	zoolmntree@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT - Montana
CP1	Like	CP 1 provides the most compact geographical Congressional districts and keeps the population in the two districts as close as possible. Growth in the south and west is rapidly occurring, so CP 1 will likely maintain a better population balance between the two districts over the next decade than the maps that combine the south and west.	Karlina Popwell	2021-10-14	fos2day@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	Proposal 1-CP1 is the best all around choice. The population is equal with is good for all parties. Bozeman and Great Falls both larger cities are in the Eastern district which is well thought out. This Proposal 1-CP1 gives Native Americans more say in Montana moving forward . After reviewing the other maps this one makes the most sense and is the most honest and fair districting.	Hill Mescall	2021-10-14	Mescall.hillary04@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	Proposal 1-CP1 is the best all around choice. The population is equal with is good for all parties. Bozeman and Great Falls both larger cities are in the Eastern district which is well thought out. This Proposal 1-CP1 gives Native Americans more say in Montana moving forward . After reviewing the other maps this one makes the most sense and is the most honest and fair districting.	Hill Mescall	2021-10-14	Mescall.hillary04@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	Unlike the other maps that are not compact maps and therefore do not meet the constitutional requirements, this map is contiguous, splits the population very evenly, follows the historical 80yr precident of west/east and keeps the major cities intact.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	This map most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we used to have two districts, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the past decade.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-13	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana

CP1	Like	The population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. There are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade.	Natalie A	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT	
CP1	Like	I like this map because it allows for two reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. Also, the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. There are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). This map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. When you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. I strongly believe this is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. This map most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	Mike Schauf	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula, Montana	MT	
CP1	Dislike	Why split up Gallatin county? Man up and take it or leave it - I think it should be in District 2	Terry Apa	2021-10-13	apa7064@icloud.com	Billings MT	MT	
CP1	Like	Like this map, as map follows constitution to divide population evenly, width and length criteria are followed.	Justin Cleveland	2021-10-13	huskers@3rivers.net	Fairfield	MT	

CP1	Dislike	Map CP 1 is an obvious example of Gerrymandering by favoring one party vote by not forcing a candidate to prove they are worthy to serve Montanans and decide the future of our state. The candidate who runs will be elected before the election is held. Two counties are split. The dividing line does not meet the commissionâ€™s criteria. Please under no circumstances choose this map as it is not an example of representative government provided for in our constitution.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP1	Dislike	Looks good until you realize it is splitting similar communities and counties unfairly. Splitting counties is never good, but the split of Gallatin County is pretty bad for representation.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt
CP1	Like	Although exactly balanced in population at the census moment, this is a very bad option due to splitting counties and communities in unfortunate ways.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP1	Like	Geography and population balanced, which is great, but two counties are divided.	Lin Dsay	2021-10-12	Lindsay3979@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map is a plan drawn to unduly favor the Republican Party and eliminate competition in our state so they can send someone to Congress who lives in Santa Barbara instead of Montana.	Shelby Fisher	2021-10-12	shelby.fisher.mt@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map creates a trivial barrier between the east and west with limited consideration for the demographics needs of each group. The map chosen should make an effort to preserve the voices of urban and rural voters by creating an urban-focused district and a rural-focused district. This map fails to do that and would disenfranchise many of the urban voters whose voices need to be taken into account. Additionally, this splits Montana's reservations, which would take away the power of the extremely important Native American voting block. As we now have two districts this should be seen as an opportunity to make their voices more heard not less.	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-10	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map favors a single party representation for all of Montana voters. We have a chance to have equitable party representation for Montana and should take this opportunity to adhere to our constitutional responsibilities.	Pamela Diedrich	2021-10-09	pdiedrich959@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP1	Like	Perfect.	Kim Hover	2021-10-09	khover@aol.com	Stevensville	Montana
CP1	Dislike	This map does not minimize dividing counties, and it creates two districts that favor one party.	Julia Shaida	2021-10-08	juliashaida1@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP1	Dislike	This map splits 2 counties, one of them being Gallatin. It does not minimize dividing cites, towns and counties which is one of the basic requirements. It tends to favor one political party over another. Montana has changed. There are urban areas with specific interests that need equal representation.	Judy Lewis	2021-10-08	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
CP1	Like	I like this proposal because it creates two compact districts following a natural division (Continental Divide) that has also been a historical social division as well between eastern and western Montana.	Wendy Beye	2021-10-08	wbeye@bitterroot.net	Roundup	MT
CP1	Like	This map does not meet the constitutional requirement to not draw lines for the purpose of favoring a political party. It's blatantly clear Republican members of the commission want to split Gallatin County and group it with Billings in order to gerrymander the state for their own gain. I urge you to reject this blatant partisanship and draw lines based on communities of interest, compactness and competitiveness instead.	Thomas Cuezze	2021-10-08	tcuezze@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	This map makes sense. The district boundary is logical and treats all people equally. It is not based on the racist presumption that all voters of color are democrats.	Andy Fisher	2021-10-08	akfisher@montana.com	Arlee	MT
CP1	Like	This map makes sense. District boundaries are logical and treats people equally. It ignores the racist presumption that all voters of color are democrats.	Andy Fisher	2021-10-08	akfisher@montana.com	Arlee	MT
CP1	Like	perfect, divided by population not parties..	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map is NOT competitive and disproportionately will favor republicans/ conservatives and undermine voters of color.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map 2 is highly political, creating a democrat stronghold district AND a republican stronghold district. Against the LAW (5-1-115) to do such political gerrymandering.	Dianne Hansen	2021-10-17	diannedave2@gmail.com	Eureka	Montana
CP2	Dislike	Call this one Satanmander. It has horns, a forehead scale and looks like it wants to eat the rest of the state. Shame.	David A. Skinner	2021-10-16	daskinner@centurytel.net	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	I can appreciate that this map does not split any of the counties. However, Montanaâ€™s tribal communities are all in the 2nd District which is why they are against this map. I can understand that; their voices are drowned out by putting all the tribal communities into one voting district. Our tribal communities make up over 8% of our stateâ€™s population. Once again, not fair and I do not support it.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT

CP2	Dislike	This is not a good option and shouldn't be considered. Canadian border should be shared with both districts.	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map is a very bad way to divide the state. This map doesnâ€™t divide the state evenly down the middle to give both parties a chance in elections. This is an obviously very gerrymandered map in order to give the Democrats a massive advantage in upcoming elections, when it should be completely even between the 2 parties. The best way to make it even would be to divide the state down the middle, splitting it into the East and the West. No party deserves an unfair advantage in elections, which is why I humbly request that this map be thrown out of consideration. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-16	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP2	Dislike	This map is an obvious attempt to gerrymander the districts, creating a super democratic district. The border with Canada isn't divided, and the candidate has an enormous distance to travel.	Jennifer J. Redline	2021-10-16	redjenn227@gmail.com	Superior	Mt
CP2	Opinion	Map #2: Has a relatively large deviation of 175, The boundaries make little sense from the standpoint of being compact and contiguous. The Western District (1) is an enclave in the surrounding Eastern District (2) and only a small portion of one of the reservations is in this district. The western district is isolated from the profitable Canadian border.	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP2	Like	This is a pretty good map. I like that all of the counties remain intact. The best part of the configuration is that is creates a truly competitive district where all the candidates have to works with their constituents, regardless of party affiliation. (Our legislature used to work this way. More compromise would be very welcomed!) This map is among my second choices for our new legislative districts.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP2	Dislike	This is unfair. Reservations are divided nothing close to fairly. District 2 has no Canadian border. It should not even be considered.	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	Yikes! It doesn't take a genius to see that this is maniputated (read: gerrymandering anyone?! How is the candidate supposed to represent this whole district? We want to make it so the rep can do the job!). I'm a fan of the straight split. Also please pick a map with less deviation, as required. Please be fair and make it so the Rep can represent us...make it contigous...by NOT PICKING THIS ONE. Thank you :)	Nicole Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP2	Dislike	This map is ridiculous! The reason we are getting two Legislative Districts is because the size of population and area. It is hard for a Representative to represent that many people in that many miles. It is 800 miles from Ekalaka to Troy. We are cutting the number of people, why not the miles? We have heard some noise about competitive districts which was never the Founding Fathers intent. They wanted the districts to be representative.	Steve Hinebauch	2021-10-16	stevehinebauch@midrivers.com	Wibaux	MT
CP2	Dislike	I oppose this map. It looks like a gerrymander job. This map is too difficult to explain or defend.	Joseph D. Coco	2021-10-16	joe@coco-ent.com	Whitefish	MT
CP2	Like	This is a fair map.	Loren Dunk	2021-10-16	dunkman68@yahoo.com	Power	Montana
CP2	Opinion	This map breaks the law violating 5-1-115 (3b,c,d) and doesn't come close to resembling the historical divide we've had in place for 80 yrs w/our two previous congressional seats.	Susan M Cox	2021-10-16	yarnmarm@frontiernet.net	Kalispell	mt
CP2	Like	This is not a bad map, and is fairly representative.	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT

CP2	Dislike	â€“ I dislike this map because it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. this map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. it encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP2	Like	This map is a suitably equal division of the population, while maintaining the possibility of equal representation of voters. Another plus is that it doesn't split any counties. However a negative is that it is not supported by Montana's tribal communities.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP2	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is an egregious example of gerrymandering which does not at all represent the historical divide and creates a Democrat Super District	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana

CP2	Dislike	Map 2 â€“ I dislike this map because â€¢ is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. â€¢ obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. â€¢his map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. â€¢his map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. â€¢ encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	James Keller	2021-10-16	jimk9901@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Like	This is one of the better maps as it allows some representation of the democrat party. No matter what way the lines are drawn, someone isn't going to be happy. To be able to represent all of Montana fairly, non republicans need to have a fighting chance.	Rochelle Dunk	2021-10-16	rdunk56@yahoo.com	Power	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map is another illegal attempt to gerrymander the districts. It fails to meet the compact and contiguous requirement, isolates one district from the Canadian border and illegally uses voter registration to create a super democratic district.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP2	Dislike	This map is a great example of gerrymandering. You've specifically clustered the strongly democrat SW and the four large cities, excluding only Billings because it would have been too obvious to grab an island in the eastern part of the state. This clearly violates MT state 5-1-115(3b,c,d) for this reason based on the political affiliation of the registered voters. This also does not accurately reflect our historical practice of splitting the state more fairly to the east and west. Further, this leaves only one congressional district to manage our border with Canada.	Erin Darling	2021-10-16	erindarling42@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP2	Dislike	it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. this map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. it encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP2	Like	I support this map, though it isn't my favorite. It does not split any counties, which is nice. It creates a competitive voting district.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT
CP2	Like	The only responsible options are to have at least one district where the races are competitive. I recommend CP2, CP6, or CP8. As a democrat I am thinking of leaving the state because I am never represented here.	Rochelle Dunk	2021-10-16	rdunk56@yahoo.com	Power	MT
CP2	Like	Not my most preferred, but a reasonable option	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	STEVENSVILLE	MT
CP2	Like	Good map	Mitch Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT

CP2	Dislike	This is a terrible map. Makes absolutely no sense how the boundaries were drawn.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Dislike	â€¢ Obviously a violation of compact â€¢ It is in violation of Federal Election Law because it has no tribal nations or very little in the new western seat. Very unfair	Barb Ellis	2021-10-16	rockinspurranch@aol.com	Belgrade	MT
CP2	Dislike	Did anyone give any consideration to the poor soul that would represent district 2? Population dictates that district 2 will cover more acres and distances but this map will not provide for the best representation of district 2. Also it should be a north-south split. Keep west west and east east	K Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP2	Like	This map is competitive.	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP2	Like	I like Map 2 is population equal, competitive, and follows county lines. any district that is considered population equal, competitive should be considered	Lora Wier	2021-10-16	lorawier@outlook.com	Choteau	MT
CP2	Like	Map 2 is population equal, competitive, and follows county lines. Park and Gallatin Counties are in the same District, which follows their close relationship.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP2	Dislike	This is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it makes the population deviation requirement 175 citizens (.0 2%) is it is a travesty of compactness and contigious nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City spending 10 hours of time, when they got to either, the needs of both communities are Polar Opposites as well.	C	2021-10-16	584blondi@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP2	Dislike	I dislike this map because it breaks state law. The districts are not compact, not contiguous, and do not allow for both districts to have a border with Canada.	Brandon DeShaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP2	Opinion	I don't think this is a good map since it cuts the southern and SW edge of the Flathead Indian reservation off. All of the Reservations should be kept whole. Though I doubt that it will do them any good as far as representation will be. Other wise this is not a bad map.	Kenda Kitchen	2021-10-16	kendakitchen@hotmail.com	Plains	MT
CP2	Like	This map is competitive and does not divide counties, it is competitive.	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT

CP2	Dislike	I strongly dislike and oppose the CP 2 map for many reasons. It DOES NOT and FAILS have a logical, historical East/West divide. This gerrymandered map displays a small SW section of our state (less than a quarter of Montana) to represent the west as District 1 and excludes all (4) tribal governments in this district. This proposed map violates the MT code 5-1-115 (3 b,c,d), strongly favors the Democratic political party, and FAILS to display a well-balanced redistricting map.	Michelle Daniels	2021-10-16	michelle.daniels40@gmail.com	Whitefish	Montana
CP2	Dislike	This map is garbage. Why on earth would it make sense to have all of the super power cities under one democratic seat for majority votes. We need a fair divide across the state splitting the east and west. It also inaccurately represents everyone who lives in this state.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map is perhaps one of the worst and isn't even close to the historical divide we had when our state had two congressional seats.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP2	Like	This is a good map. It doesn't split any counties, and it's competitive, contiguous and relatively compact. It keeps most of the Tribal votes together.	Janet Maul-Smith	2021-10-16	janmaulsmith@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness in a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to travel over 700 miles of time from Libby to Miles city, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well.	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT

CP2	Dislike	This map a complete tragedy of what the commission is supposed to be doing. First off, why are the same gerrymanders always on the commission? Why do logical people have to always compromise with illogical people? This map ensures a communist/socialist/democrat would win by encircling Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021! It obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. This should be tossed out immediately.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP2	Dislike	No. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values.	Michael James Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Like	This is a good map. It doesn't split any counties, and it's competitive, contiguous and relatively compact.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP2	Dislike	it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	Karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map would require a candidate from the one District to traverse huge mileage to meet with his constituents while allowing the other district to travel less. It also does not allow for the fact that all the native tribes would be limited to one representative	James A Milford	2021-10-16	revjam@hotmail.com	Park City	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map does not allow for the representation of all of Montana's diverse population. It divides communities of interest. It will not benefit our democracy.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT

CP2	Dislike	CP2 â€¢First look at this map makes me uncomfortable for a fair representation for all of Montana interests back in Washington. Obvious political motivation displayed in developing these district breakouts. This map as split and derived would promote political divisiveness for the 2 representatives. We need representatives that can work together for all of Montana. â€¢By putting all reservations into 1 district you are removing a piece of diversity within the proposed districts. â€¢cannot support this ideologic breakdown of districts. Compactness is questionable due to east west size of District 2. Appears trying to create a district (1) that favors one political ideology. A representative for District 2 would have a hard time representing their constituents on-the-ground due to extensive east west size.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	Billings	MT
CP2	Like	This map keeps all counties intact. It also collects all reservations except for a small portion of Flathead into one district for a more powerful voice in their representation.	Marcia Riesselman	2021-10-16	marciariesselman@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Dislike	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP2	Like	It creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. It splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP2	Like	No split counties and a chance for balanced representation.	Denise Faulkner	2021-10-16	snowsoftnmt@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT

CP2	Dislike	This map does not follow the rules of compactness. It is obviously a gerrymandered map attempting to create a democratic district rather than maintain communities with common interests. Seriously, wrapping one district around another?	James Gomolka	2021-10-16	realfoodwins-1@yahoo.com	Proctor	MT
CP2	Opinion	#2 A highly competitive map. All citizens need to be represented and this map is fair and allows all voices (R,D,I) to be heard.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP2	Like	Not the best map, and the tribal community is not in support, but it is better than a couple of the other maps.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map splits up western Montana areas with similar economic interests.	Cameo Flood	2021-10-16	cflood@bresnan.net	Missoula	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map is a terrible example of gerrymandering; the districts are clearly split up to have a strong democratic district and a strong republican district. Furthermore, in this map, there is only one congressional district bordering Canada.	Emma Moerman	2021-10-15	moeemm02@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Dislike	-This is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. This is a travesty of compactness and is a contiguous nightmare. -This map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years. -This encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governments.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map has serious flaws that can't be overstated. *It obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. *This is an obvious attempt to create a Democrat Super District by encircling Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman. *This map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state.	Melisa Schelan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP2	Like	I too like this map as it does not split cities, towns or reservations; and has equal split in population. Again, what's with the deal of bordering Canada? Show me where that is a criteria.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT

CP2	Dislike	This map is an insult to all Montanans. The only criteria it satisfies is equal population while creating a Democratic super district. It does not share the crucial Canadian border while ignoring the commanalites and compact and contiguous features of an east and west district. It violates the law by using political affliations of registered voters to create a strong democratic district and republicans everywhere else. Gerrymandering at its best!	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	No map with one border district with Canada should be considered.	Leslie Ellington-Staal	2021-10-15	roamus928@hotmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP2	Like	This map meets almost all of the criteria and recognizes similarities in populations allow them to vote for their best interests. Cascade County belongs to District 2. I have lived here for 73 years and I know my county.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP2	Like	This map is best for equality. It supports reservations by keeping them in a block rather than dividing them. It favors rural areas and farmers as a block and republicans. It does not divide counties. Notice that it has the most red and green dots equality split suggesting equality and competitiveness, also allowing for equal representation.	Jeff Griffin	2021-10-15	jeffreygriffin@live.com	Great Falls	MT
CP2	Opinion	Can you say GERRYMANDER ? An obvious ploy to link every democrat voter stronghold state-wide (except Billings and the Crow reservation). Do you think it's fair to MT. voters that less than 1/4 of MT."s land area gets it's own U.S. H.D.? Salt in the wound is the lopsided dump of Republicans into the "everywhere else" district that would be created by this farce!	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP2	Like	I support this map because it creates districts of equal population without splitting counties. Additionally, it creates a competitive district which will give all Montanans the ability to have their views represented in Congress.	Brandon DeMars	2021-10-15	demars.brandon@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP2	Like	This map keeps the counties intact as well as recognizing that Cascade County has an interests more closely aligned with those of Gallatin and Missoula Counties than with the counties in District 1. Having the Tribes in District 1 (with the exception of the Little Shell in Great Falls) actually gives them more power in that District. Splitting the tribes between the two Districts weakens their power. District 2 will take the needs of the tribes into consideration regardless as there are many urban Native Americans in the cities.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP2	Dislike	I dislike because this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT

CP2	Dislike	it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. this map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. it encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
CP2	Dislike	I dislike this map because it represents gerrymandering in the extreme. It doesn't remotely meet the requirements of compactness. It looks nothing like the previous setup for two seats that we had for 80 years. It is clearly designed to create an artificial advantage for a small group of people instead of acurately meet the requirements for compactness like the prior historical divide did much better. Please reject this manipulative map.	A.	2021-10-15	wbsouplbjebhzduhoo@adfskj.com	Billings	MT

CP2	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. this map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. it encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP2	Like	This map is the only one to keep all counties intact, which is a goal. It also divides the population equally (as best as possible). This map provides the possibility of a competitive district while not unduly favoring one party or the other, meaning that all Montanans have the chance to elect a representative who reflects their values and understands the issues facing each district.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP2	Dislike	Not a good choice	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP2	Like	Obvious gerrymandering - representation for We the People should reflect the cooperation of the two, maturely acting politicial parties and not a personal view that Montana is changing and growing.	jerelyn sandtner	2021-10-15	jwsandtner@gmail.com	kila	montana

CP2	Like	I support this map as the populations are equal in population (as practicable), it follows county lines and it creates one district that is competitive. Montanans deserve to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map.	Lindsey Mishler	2021-10-15	lnmishler@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Like	This one does a good job of representing Montana in the way it is changing and growing.	Sandra Baril	2021-10-15	sandrabaril@yahoo.com	Sheridan	MT
CP2	Dislike	I dislike this map because the larger cities will have control over one district, the rural folks will be under represented in these areas. City folks will be underrepresented in the other district.	Ryan Darling	2021-10-15	av8torguy@protonmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP2	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map does not meet those requirements, it's not compact and has a difference of 87 people.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP2	Dislike	it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. In addition its obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Like	This is the only proposal that retains county integrity, which I think is very important and would make the voting process simpler and effective. The population difference is minimal between the two districts z d within constitutional guidance. This proposal makes sense all the way around.	Mark Sant	2021-10-15	Markandrenee40@gmail.com	Silver Star	Montana

CP2	Dislike	I dislike this map because of the obvious gerrymandering. It encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District. This one should be voted "most likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governments" Map of 2021. This map does not even begin to resemble the historical divide Montana had for 80 years with our previous tow congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in the ease west divided map.	Deborah M Wilson	2021-10-15	dmwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP2	Dislike	Obvious Gerrymandering.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map clearly violates our Montana constitution of being contiguous. It is gerrymandering at its best and would be contested.	Al Wilson	2021-10-15	acwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map voilates the constitutional requirement of compact and contiguous. It is clearly gerrymandered to favor a political party and election results from 2016 and 2018 clearly show a very strong republican district and very strong democrat district. The opposite of competitive.	Theron Nelson	2021-10-15	terryndar@hotmail.com	Hamilton	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b, c, d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. In addition, this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state.	Thomas Millett	2021-10-15	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP2	Like	The primary benefit of this map is that it provides for one truly competitive district which wonâ€™t favor the republican party, thus ensuring that the representative from the 1st District will have to consider the needs of every voter, regardless of party. It also has the benefit of not splitting any of the counties. The downside is that Montanaâ€™s Native American tribes do not support this map, probably because it puts all of Montanaâ€™s tribal communities at the mercy of the republican party in the 2nd District. While I favor this map, it is not my first choice.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana

CP2	Dislike	1. Division by natural boundaries. FAIL 2. Division by population. Pass 3. Division by exterior border with Canada. FAIL 4. Division by county representation. Pass 5. Division by Indian population. FAIL 6. Division by Urban/Rural population. FAIL 7. Division by Commerce. Fail 8. Division by Tourist Trades. Fail 9. Division by political parties. Scale 1-5(best) 1	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT
CP2	Like	I feel this map is the most competitive. No counties are split and the tribes are all in one district, giving them a unified voice on issues of importance to them. The population is also evenly split.	Terri Roach	2021-10-14	terriroach@hotmail.com	Missoula	MY

CP2	Like	Map 2 â€“ I dislike this map because ï‚· it is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. ï‚· it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. ï‚· this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. ï‚· this map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. ï‚· it encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€ Map of 2021!	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP2	Dislike	just horrible, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d)... essentially creating a Democrat district and a Republican district... a Democrat Super district that doesn't reflect the state's best interests.	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana
CP2	Dislike	This redistricting leaves one long border district with Canada, several peninsulas jutting in and out of the district and a larger population difference between the 2 districts. Eastern part of the state has different issues than the Western part like water and forests and the whole west should have it's own representation in that regard.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana

CP2	Like	This looks like the best map in that it keeps counties contiguous AND creates a "competitive" district. Why are the GOP so afraid of a "competitive" district? It certainly does not lean Democrat. The only reason I don't support this one as fully as CP8 is because the tribes endorse #8, and I believe we should also listen to the tribes who have been given short shrift for far too long.	SHIRLEY N ATKINS	2021-10-14	zoolmntree@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT - Montana
CP2	Like	No counties are split and tribal boundaries are maintained. This is the best map to represent Montanans.	Rita Docken	2021-10-14	rmdocken@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map is a perfect example of gerrymandering. It is unconstitutional in that it is not contiguous.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Like	As a resident of a gerrymandered county, I appreciate the value of redistricting fairly. This version respects traditional county boundaries and populations.	thomas humphreys	2021-10-14	swptwng@hotmail.com	Noxon	MT
CP2	Like	The only map to respect county boundaries. The indigenous people deserve a more effective voice nationally and locally, and this map includes tribal boundaries in a single district. Urban and rural interests may conflict over some issues; both populations deserve representation. This map allows for both.	martha d humphreys	2021-10-13	martyhumphreys@gmail.com	Noxon	MT
CP2	Dislike	This is not the map to use! It encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021! This map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found inan east west divided map.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-13	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana

CP2	Like	This is the one. After carefully reading the MT Constitution this map best reflects the contemporary interests of a state that is rapidly growing in very specific areas. Our small towns are becoming micro metropolitan centers and have pressing interests that diverge from the ongoing and important needs of our farmers, ranchers and and rural Montanans. I like that we would have a representative specifically for rural interests and another that would reflect some of the challenges and opportunities present in growing urban areas. Other strengths of this map is the valiant effort to preserve a Native American voting block. This map meets the criteria for population balance, protects minority rights, is contiguous and opens a space for a competitive election (several of these maps insure alternatives to republican voices are never heard). Communities are kept as together as possible (e.g. Gallatin/Park counties, south eastern portion of the state with Billings as an economic driver, the northwestern part of the state with its economic ties to tourism and Canada. There are two repeated some of the proposed maps that I think are straw dog arguments: burden of travel (not an issue like it was in the 1800s and certainly was not an issue when we were one district) and the simplistic allure of an east/west divide as if the mountains have some political meaning). Well done on this map.	Nancy Cornwell	2021-10-13	crestain@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

		This map is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw. Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. it obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. this map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. The map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. This map encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!					
CP2	Dislike		Mike S	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula	MT

CP2	Dislike	This map is one of the worst examples of gerrymandering one could draw!! Although it meets the population deviation requirement at 175 citizens (.02%), it is a travesty of compactness and a contiguous nightmare. A candidate would have to traverse over 700 miles to get from Libby to Miles City, spending 10 hours of time, and when they got to either, the needs of both communities are polar opposites as well. â€¢ obviously breaks the law, violating 5-1-115(3b,c,d) because when you search the political affiliation of registered voters in the counties represented, it creates a very strong democrat district in the SW, and a very strong Republican district everywhere else. â€¢his map creates only one congressional seat bordering the huge economic driver of Canada with the state. â€¢his map doesnâ€™t even begin to resemble the historical divide we had for 80 years with our previous two congressional seats, keeping communities of interest intact based upon the commonalities easily found in an east west divided map. â€¢ encircles Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman to create a Democrat Super District, and should be voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Natalie A	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Like	This map is a good split of the state. Native Nations in one district seems good. Both districts have diverse biogeography, so each representative might need to care about protecting wilderness.	Suzanne Hendrich	2021-10-13	suzhendric@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP2	Opinion	CP2 seems to be fairly competitive, so I like this option.	Patricia A Hogan	2021-10-13	reckless50@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT
CP2	Dislike	I understand the population split and no counties split, but reading the parameters set forth in the constitution, it does not fit the area criteria.	justin w cleveland	2021-10-13	huskers@3rivers.net	Fairfield	MT
CP2	Like	Map CP 2 would be my second choice with Map CP 9 my first choice. It provides districts that are representative and more competitive than some other maps thus providing for a balanced democratic representation. Urban, rural and tribal requirements are met. Map CP 2 allows medical and economic hubs as well as bedroom communities to allow voters to participate freely in the election process that includes their interests. Map CP 2 meets the commissionâ€™s criteria as well as the Constitution and does split counties.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT

CP2	Like	This map is great--no split counties--very intuitive and conducive to effective representative democracy	David Allen	2021-10-12	davidnelsonallen@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP2	Like	I prefer Map 2 because no counties are split (the only one to do so), deviation is only .02%, good balance between rural and urban counties, and conservative and moderate, puts all Indian Reservations together for more power for Native Americans. Additionally maps 1,3,5,7 divides large population areas within Gallatin County (Bozeman, Big Sky, Gallatin River Ranch, Gallatin Gateway).	Patricia Simmons	2021-10-12	psimmons100@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Like	This is good in that no counties are split, and provides good representation between rural and urban interests. District 1 is relatively compact and the reservations are kept together. I agree with the other support comments.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt
CP2	Like	This map is competitive and a good representation of voter interests in the rural and urban areas of the state.	Christian Black	2021-10-12	blackak@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Like	This map is close to balanced in terms of population at the time of the census. It has a major virtue that it does not split any counties or local governments/jurisdictions. District 1 is reasonably compact. I agree with the comments of the other supporters. Regarding one of the negative comments--I doubt it would be possible to devise districts that are close to equal in both population and geographic size, so geographic equality should not be a selection criterion.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP2	Dislike	I like that it keeps all counties and reservations intact, but I dislike the twists and turns -- it looks too deliberate in the selection of counties. And I don't know the rules, but shouldn't the districts be comparable in geographic size?	Lin Dsay	2021-10-12	Lindsay3979@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
CP2	Like	I agree with the support comments for this map. Urban and rural areas both need legislative support and the lines need to be drawn to be fair to both sides of the political isle to allow representation. The Native American population needs a strong voting block as well.	Laura B Gerlach	2021-10-11	lbsargent@gmail.com	Helena	MT

CP2	Like	This map does an excellent job of creating representatives districts for Montana's population. The first way it does that is through creating two districts that effectively divide between the more urban and more rural parts of the state, which guarantees appropriate representation on that basis, something that in a growing state such as Montana is the most important objective we can have. Additonally, this map maintains the power of the Native American voting block by keeping most of the native population in a single district and therefore giving them more influence over the representative chosen. This map is a fair and representative way for Montanans to be represented in congress and would be an excellent choice by the redistricting committee.	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-10	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Dislike	This would make it very difficult for the District 2 representative to serve all his constituents. Imagine driving from the southeast corner of the state to the northwest corner for meeting during the winter months. There would also be extremely divergent needs between those two populations.	Wendy Beye	2021-10-08	wbeye@bitterroot.net	Roundup	MT
CP2	Like	This map makes sense. Urban and rural areas have different needs. Communities of interest are addressed here while not so much in the east-west split type map. Population is within the +/- 1% deviation. It is contiguous without county splits. It allows a chance for either political party to win in local elections by being balanced.	Judy Lewis	2021-10-08	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
CP2	Like	When MT had a single seat, somehow one Representative was able to handle the entire state. I see no reason why that person can't now handle less. This map is the fairest in terms of population, contiguity, and to give ALL Montanans a chance at fair representation. It is one of the few with no county splits, making election administration easier.	Jon Nehring	2021-10-08	jon.nehring@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP2	Dislike	not good!	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP2	Dislike	This split poorly represents the economic and geographical interests of the regions. The mountainous region in the west has different needs than the plain region of the east. The eastern district is spread over 700 miles making representation difficult	Richard Hulse	2021-10-08	rthulse@gmail.com	Hamilton	MT
CP2	Like	This map is competitive and a good representation of voter interests in the rural and urban areas of the state.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Dislike	This map pits mostly urban areas against mostly rural areas	John D Agnew	2021-10-07	johnrozagnew@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP3	Like	This does not support a political party. I highly recommend this map 3.	Dianne Hansen	2021-10-17	diannedave2@gmail.com	Eureka	Montana

CP3	Like	It does not favor a political party. It creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana It splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Jennifer J. Redline	2021-10-16	redjenn227@gmail.com	Superior	Mt
CP3	Like	I think this is a fair option	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map creates two Republican super districts. Itâ€™s really very simple. Not fair and I do not support it.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP3	Dislike	Having lived in rural Gallatin County and in Bozeman for a combined 30-year period, I urge the commission not to select map 3 because it divides Gallatin County into two Congressional districts. Even with the diversity that exists in the county, there are many shared interests and commonalities among residents in Gallatin County. This proposed map favors one political party. As the second largest county in the state, the entire county deserves to be in one Congressional district.	Patti Steinmuller	2021-10-16	psteinmul@msn.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP3	Opinion	Map #3: Very similar to Map 1, but with a higher deviation of 560. Reject this map.	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP3	Like	Great to have the east/west split so the rep can easily do the job and represent the people. More deviation than Map 1, so not as good as that, but better than those with more deviation. Also both parties evenly represented and reflecting growth.	Nicole Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP3	Like	Maybe 3rd place	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map clearly violates the objective of not favoring a political party. If these district lines are chosen, the republican party will have two super districts and will have no incentive to consider the opinions of anyone who isn't an ultra-conservative (as is the case now in the legislature). I strongly oppose this district configuration.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP3	Dislike	This results in a biased electoral map that doesn't reflect actual voter opinions	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT

CP3	Dislike	This map creates two unequal districts: unequal in population, and unequal in the chance for fair representation of all Montanans. In addition, this map is not supported by Montana's tribal communities. The purpose of redistricting is to give everyone a fair shot at congressional representation. This map is drawn in a way that totally prevents that.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because it allows for two reservations to be included within the western district, allowing for a stronger voice for the tribes in the new western district. the population deviation is just 1 citizen (0%), creating one of the tightest maps by that measure, and both districts are almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. there are split counties in Cascade and Gallatin, but it keeps both major cities intact in the eastern district (Great Falls and Bozeman). this map splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the decade. when you follow the commissionsâ€™ illegal goals of competitive, it is the closest number of voters for each party using the 2016 Governorâ€™s race and the 2018 Senate race for each district. This is the most competitive map for both parties in the choices available. most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion.	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP3	Like	I like this map because it does not favor a political party is similar to CP-1 and meets Montana legal requirements	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	MONTANa
CP3	Like	This map is fair to both of the main political parties and accurately reflects expected population growth.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP3	Like	This is a legal map which satisfies our historical north - south divide and sharing of the Canadian border. It splits the 4 high grow counties between the 2 districts and is compact and contiguous.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP3	Dislike	This is a horrible map. The districts are not competitive, not equal in population size, and it splits two counties. This would favor Republicans in both districts, disenfranchising almost half of Montana's residents.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because it has all the characteristics of the CP-1 map, with a slightly larger population deviation at -560 (-0.1%). The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact and in the east. it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP3	Dislike	This map unnecessarily divides communities of interest, creates two GOP super-districts, and dissects Gallatin County like a high school biology class.	Ethan Seiler	2021-10-16	ethanseiler@outlook.com	Missoula	MT
CP3	Dislike	This is a horrible map creating two R superdistricts!	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	STEVENSVILLE	MT
CP3	Dislike	Two GOP super districts	Mitch Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP3	Dislike	No competitive districts.	Jeff McNeish	2021-10-16	jeff.mcneish@gmail.com	Laurel	MT
CP3	Dislike	Comparing this map with map 1, this one divides more counties, so not as good.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Like	I prefer CP5 better but this is very good also. Allows for even divide of fastest growing counties. Reservations are represented in both districts. Places University towns in both districts. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map.	Paul Ellis	2021-10-16	ellispaul4@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Opinion	This keeps my home county of Hill intact and splits the state into east and west districts so I could live with this map but I favor CP1	K Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP3	Dislike	I don't like communities being split between districts. It creates confusion in voting, and keeps neighbors from hashing out their preferences.	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP3	Dislike	Not competitive.	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana

CP3	Dislike	This map looks like a shoe in for the Republicans to me. It does look good as far as dividing the state in two fairly equal land masses. But I would be against this map. It also divides two countys which I don't think is good.	Kenda Kitchen	2021-10-16	kendakitchen@hotmail.com	Plains	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map is non competitive and gives one party an advantage. It divides communities when there is no reason to do so. It should be rejected.	Linda G Semones	2021-10-16	lindasemones@hotmail.com	BOZEMAN	MT
CP3	Dislike	Map 3 is NOT population equal and NOT competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because it follows state law, as the districts are compact, contiguous, and allow for both districts to have a border with Canada.	Brandon DeShaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP3	Dislike	Oppose Division of counties and towns unacceptable non competitive, favors Republicans	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. Additionally, it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana.	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP3	Like	This map would be a good compromise if the socialists on the commission reject map 1 because it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. It creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana It splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade. Just a suggestion, the parties should not have the same people on the commission over and over. New people and new ideas are what is needed.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP3	Like	Yes. Fair split of the state. Reject the games democrats play. I have lived in democrat-controlled states. They love to gerrymander in order to give control to their party and the federal government. Do not give them an inch. We must reject any proposal by them.	Michael James Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT

CP3	Dislike	Rejected. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values. If you want those values and mountains, CA still has plenty of space.	Michael James Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Dislike	This is a bad map. It divides counties and strongly favors one political party.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP3	Like	it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat.	karen cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map does not allow for the representation of all of Montana's diverse population. It divides communities of interest. It will not benefit our democracy.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP3	Like	This is a good map because it is very similar to the CP-1 map with a slightly larger population deviation. It also doesn't favor a political party.	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP3	Like	CP3 â€¢ could support this map over CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8 and CP9, but prefer CP1. â€¢ Pretty similar to CP1. However, I do not favor the splitting of Gallatin County in 3 separate blocks. Although overall the map may meet the contiguous parameter, it deviates from compactness and will make election integrity harder at the county level. As in CP1, I like that there is reservation representation in both districts for diversity within; splits fastest growing counties in both districts; and represents an East West interest for use of lands and natural resources. â€¢ Larger deviation of population than CP1. â€¢ Generally, does not appear politically motivated to create a district to favor one party over the other. â€¢ Allows representatives to better meet with their constituents on-the-ground, without long East West travel distances as in CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8 and CP9.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana
CP3	Like	This map splits the fastest growing four counties evenly allowing for either political parties to win with strong candidates in the coming years.	Heidi Roedel	2021-10-16	roedel@centurylink.net	Kalispell	Montana

CP3	Dislike	This map is an outrageous example of splitting up counties and communities, and should be rejected.	Marcia Riesselman	2021-10-16	marciariesselman@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Like	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because it has all the characteristics of the CP-1 map, with a slightly larger population deviation at -560 (-0.1%). The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact and in the east.	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP3	Like	Similar in ways to CP1 this map is fair and balanced to demographic and partisan characteristics	james heitel	2021-10-16	heitel.james@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP3	Opinion	# 3>>>This map is as unfair as #1. It is not competitive and gives everything to the Republican party. Blatantly unfair as it favors one party.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map creates two super districts for the R party taking away any sort of fair chance at an election. A big NO for this map.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP3	Like	-This map does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. -This map creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana This splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP3	Like	This is favorable in that it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. Further, it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. Finally, it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP3	Like	This map again acheives compact and contigious goals, splits the fastest growing counties, shares the canadian border between districts and appears to allow success for either party in a fair election with a strong candidate.	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Like	This map does not favor either political party. It also splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP3	Dislike	Again, what is it about dividing counties? Every time a map is presented with a divided county, town or reservation, it violates one of the goals that we are trying to reach.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Opinion	Not a bad geographic split, Bozeman and Great Falls inclusion in the east district will rapidly take care of the small eastern population deficit. Just like CP1 there is a chance for a superior candidate to win in either district	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP3	Dislike	This map is like Map 1 ... awful. It divides Cascade and Gallatin Counties. The fact that a map looks nicely divided does not make it equally divided on the criteria that matter. This map gives a competitive edge to one party in both areas. And again, having all the Tribal nations except one in District 1 is advantageous to the tribes.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT

CP3	Like	it has all the characteristics of the CP-1 map, with a slightly larger population deviation at -560 (-0.1%). The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact and in the east. it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
CP3	Like	I like this map because it has all the characteristics of the CP-1 map, with a slightly larger population deviation at -560 (-0.1%). The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact and in the east. it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP3	Dislike	All Montanans deserve representation, but by dividing communities of interest the way this map does, it will disenfranchise votes and will favor one political party unfairly.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP3	Like	This could wor	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT

CP3	Dislike	I oppose this map as it divides Gallatin County and splits the communities of Big Sky, Gallatin Gateway, and Gallatin River Ranch. The two districts are not equal in population (as practicable) compared to other maps (1,119 difference) and it creates two districts that are not competitive. Montanans deserve to keep our communities of interest intact and to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP3	Dislike	This one doesn't meet the basic requirements required by Montana law.	Sandra Baril	2021-10-15	sandrabaril@yahoo.com	Sheridan	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map because its similar to CP-1, I like the East/West division and splits the fastest growing counties.	Ryan Darling	2021-10-15	ryan@laserverify3d.com	Missoula	MT
CP3	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map does not meet those requirements it has a difference of 560 people between the two districts, which ELIMINATES this map as an option.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP3	Like	it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Like	This Map is fair.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP3	Like	Looks good	Oxana Gamba	2021-10-15	oxy_dnepr@hotmail.com	Billings	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map clearly favors one party for both districts, creating two republican super districts. There is no reason to run the divide north to south except to ineffectively hide blatant partisanship. Neither district is competitive and it only benefits the republican party. Just because the state districts used to be cut from north to south doesnâ€™t mean itâ€™s fair or considers the demographic needs of urban voters. Montana has changed considerably in the decades since it last had two U.S. legislative districts.We donâ€™t drive our cars from the rear view mirror and we certainly shouldnâ€™t run our state from a rear view mirror. This plan clearly disenfranchises our urban areas. Furthermore, Montanaâ€™s Native American tribes do not support this map. I adamantly oppose this map.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana

CP3	Opinion	1.Ð Division by natural boundaries. FAIL 2.Ð Division by population. Pass 3.Ð Division by exterior border with Canada. Pass 4.Ð Division by county representation. 5.Ð Division by Indian population. Fail 6.Ð Division by Urban/Rural population. Pass 7.Ð Division by Commerce. Fail---farm/ranch and forestry/tourism are too divided. 8.Ð Division by Tourist Trades. Pass 9.Ð Division by political parties. Scale 1-5(best) = 4	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT
CP3	Dislike	I am a Montana voter and this map is unfair. This map is not a balanced and should not be used to determine Montana's Congressional districts. It would create non-competitive districts and would not represent equal populations.	Courtney Miranda	2021-10-14	courtney.miranda13@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Like	Map 3 â€“ I like this map because ï‚· it has all the characteristics of the CP-1 map, with a slightly larger population deviation at -560 (-0.1%). The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact and in the east. ï‚· it does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. ï‚· it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana ï‚· it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP3	Like	I like this map... it splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana

CP3	Dislike	To those who are claiming this map does not favor either political party, do your homework. You like this map because it creates two Republican districts. This map continues to keep 46% of Montanans voiceless at the Federal level.	SHIRLEY N ATKINS	2021-10-14	zoolmntree@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT - Montana
CP3	Like	I like this map because it has all the characteristics of the CP-1 map, with a slightly larger population deviation at -560 (-0.1%). The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact and in the east. It does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. It creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana. It splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Like	I like this map for it doesn't favor either political party. Either party could win either district. it creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana and prevents any lawsuits. This map has similar characteristics of the first map, with less than a 0.1% population deviation. The only difference is the southern part of Gallatin around West Yellowstone and Hebgen Lake Estates will be in the western drawn map. Slight changes in the western parts of the county as well, but the cities of Great Falls and Bozeman remain intact.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-13	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana
CP3	Like	The map does not favor a political party. Either district could be won by Republican or Democrat. It creates both districts of almost the same shape, allowing for compact and contiguous parameters to meet the legal requirements in Montana It splits the fastest growing 4 counties evenly between the east and west districts, allowing for the best reflection of population growth over the next decade.	Natalie A	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP3	Dislike	Map CP 3 is a poor division for the state. Map 3 at first glance looks like a good north south division but this map is obviously geared toward one party and voter suppression and is not taking into account other factors which the commission has on their agenda. Map CP 3 does not allows voters to select representatives who have had to get out and try to demonstrate their agenda that will to align with voter needs. Please do not choose this map.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP3	Dislike	Very much dislike the way the 2 counties are split, especially Gallatin. Agree with the comment on the result of splitting Gallatin and Cascade.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	ennis	mt
CP3	Dislike	Despite having an aesthetically pleasing nearly north/south dividing line, and quite close population balance, in my view it is a very serious deficiency to split two of the most populous counties (Cascade and Gallatin) and their communities between the districts. With these districts, we could, for example, easily have BOTH representatives reside in either Gallatin or Cascade Counties, which would not provide the Montana-wide representation we deserve. Gallatin and Cascade are two of the five most populous counties, and together they have ~20% of our population.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP3	Like	Boundary makes sense, doesn't "look" like anyone is trying to pick one county and not the other; however, could Cascade or Gallatin county be "all in" or "all out," in one district or the other, so the counties are not divided?	Lin Dsay	2021-10-12	Lindsay3979@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
CP3	Like	This map is a plan drawn to unduly favor the Republican Party and eliminate competition in our state so they can send someone to Congress who lives in Santa Barbara instead of Montana.	Shelby Fisher	2021-10-12	shelby.fisher.mt@gmail.com	Ronan	MT
CP3	Like	This map makes sense. The district boundary is logical and treats all people equally. It is not based on the racist presumption that all voters of color are democrats.	Andy Fisher	2021-10-08	akfisher@montana.com	Arlee	MT
CP3	Dislike	Like all maps, nothing is perfect. This one comes close! Separate by population numbers not parties.	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP3	Dislike	This map is NOT competitive and will disproportionately favor republicans/ conservatives and undermine voters of color.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP4	Dislike	This map still gerrymanders to a particular party over another. I have absolutely no idea how maps that gerrymander this much can even get to a potential final approving stage, when everybody knows whatâ€™s going on. Elections are meant to be completely fair between every party involved, with none of them having an unfair advantage such as this. Dividing Montana by the East and the West is the best thing to do, and this map clearly doesnâ€™t do that concept correctly. I humbly request that this map be thrown out of consideration also. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-17	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This map still gerrymanders to a particular party over another. I have absolutely no idea how maps that gerrymander this much can even get to a potential final approving stage, when everybody knows whatâ€™s going on. Elections are meant to be completely fair between every party involved, with none of them having an unfair advantage such as this. Dividing Montana by the East and the West is the best thing to do, and this map clearly doesnâ€™t do that concept correctly. I humbly request that this map be thrown out of consideration also. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-17	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP4	Dislike	Call this the Fryingpanhandlemander. Ridiculous to reach for HALF of Flathead County. Bzzzt.	David A. Skinner	2021-10-17	daskinner@centurytel.net	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map still gerrymanders to a particular party over another. I have absolutely no idea how maps that gerrymander this much can even get to a potential final approving stage, when everybody knows whatâ€™s going on. Elections are meant to be completely fair between every party involved, with none of them having an unfair advantage such as this. Dividing Montana by the East and the West is the best thing to do, and this map clearly doesnâ€™t do that concept correctly. I humbly request that this map be thrown out of consideration also. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-17	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This map still gerrymanders to a particular party over another. I have absolutely no idea how maps that gerrymander this much can even get to a potential final approving stage, when everybody knows whatâ€™s going on. Elections are meant to be completely fair between every party involved, with none of them having an unfair advantage such as this. Dividing Montana by the East and the West is the best thing to do, and this map clearly doesnâ€™t do that concept correctly. I humbly request that this map be thrown out of consideration also. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-16	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana

CP4	Dislike	This map still gerrymanders to a particular party over another. I have absolutely no idea how maps that gerrymander this much can even get to a potential final approving stage, when everybody knows whatâ€™s going on. Elections are meant to be completely fair between every party involved, with none of them having an unfair advantage such as this. Dividing Montana by the East and the West is the best thing to do, and this map clearly doesnâ€™t do that concept correctly. I humbly request that this map be thrown out of consideration also. Thank you.	Dylan Stokes	2021-10-16	GornPower125@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP4	Dislike	Map #4: While the deviation is small, the awkward and unseemly peninsula created penetrating the Western District (1) with Flathead, Pondera and Teton Counties in the eastern District (2) seems a direct contradiction of continuous and compact. Further, parts of Pondera need to be carved out for the reservation. Reject this map	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP4	Dislike	this is not fair, bad idea	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	At a minimum, this map keeps two tribal communities together, but it still favors one party. I do not support this map.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP4	Dislike	No, no, no! Look at that crazy arm going out into the west section! C'mon, that IS "gerrymandering" and NOT FAIR! I mean, really? Please go with the straight east/west split like in Map 1 and whatever you do, please don't get pulled into that arm....or is it a nose, like Pinocchio full of lies or a witch trying to pull someover? Do witches do that? Somebody is trying to here. Please don't do this. It's too obvious. I mean, I wouldn't want any gerrymandering on my conscience. Thank you :)	Nicole Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP4	Dislike	Talk about gerrymandering! Why would you cut a hole in a map like this?	Steve Hinebauch	2021-10-16	stevehinebauch@midrivers.com	Wibaux	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness, adopted by the Commission, the divide through the places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to democratic strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university towns into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest county in the state. It is a gerrymandered map.	Susan M Cox	2021-10-16	yarnmarm@frontiernet.net	Kalispell	mt

CP4	Dislike	I oppose this map. Whitefish should be included in Flathead County. The long thin stretch through Flathead County doesn't even try to cover up the gerrymandering intentions of this option.	Joseph D. Coco	2021-10-16	joe@coco-ent.com	Whitefish	MT
CP4	Like	This is an okay map.	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP4	Dislike	I dislike this map because this gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double-digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This is gerrymandered and violates Montana laws	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana

CP4	Dislike	Map 4 â€“ I dislike this map because â€¢ This gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double-digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. â€¢ Looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ â€¢ It has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	James Keller	2021-10-16	jimk9901@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	This is another gerrymandered map attempting to create a democratic super district in the west and a double digit advantage republican district in the east. It split Flathead county and violates the communality of interest by placing it in the east.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP4	Dislike	This map is another nice example of gerrymandering. Communities must be kept intact, and this divides the Flathead. It is clear this was done to join up a strongly Democratic portion of the Flathead with the liberal cities in the SW. This map also does not take into account future growth, as the western portion will out pace the other district. It is true that the Reservations are more evenly split on this map, but this doesn't makes up for the gerrymandering and violation of the "keeping communities of interest intact" test required to get there.	Erin Darling	2021-10-16	crochetgeek79@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP4	Like	This map creates 2 districts that are population equal and competitive. I also like how our reservations are split between the districts instead of all in one district. This does offer up some unsavory county splits, so it's not my favorite map.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT

		I dislike this map because this gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double-digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead						
CP4	Dislike	County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt	
CP4	Like	OK option but not my most preferred	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	STEVENSVILLE	MT	
CP4	Like	Viable option but not my favorite	Mitch Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana	
CP4	Dislike	Terribly drawn map.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT	

CP4	Dislike	I dislike and strong oppose the CP 4 gerrymandered map. It illogically and recklessly divides the northern and southern areas of Flathead County into TWO separate East/West voting districts. I live in beautiful NW Montana in the Flathead County. I believe this map would add A LOT of confusion and resentment if our fast growing Flathead County were divided in half like this. This map creates an unlawfully and favored western voting District 1 for the Democratic party that would include the cities of Helena, Butte, Missoula, Bozeman and Whitefish and placing the remaining cities of Kalispell, Great Falls and Billings in eastern voting District 2. This is a very unbalanced redistricting map. It doesn't come close to lawfully meeting the Montana Code 5-1-115 redistricting criteria.	Michelle Daniels	2021-10-16	michelle.daniels40@gmail.com	Whitefish	Montana
CP4	Dislike	I like the competitive aspect of the western district, but using districting to force Kalispell into eastern Montana because of party preference seems like exactly what we should try to avoid.	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP4	Dislike	Love that little "finger" poked west to include Kalispell in the eastern district. What is the matter with you people? Ridiculous!	K Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP4	Like	Competitive.	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP4	Like	Map 4 is population equal and competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP4	Dislike	I dislike this map because it breaks state law. The districts are not compact, not contiguous. It also just looks weird, don't you think? Why is there that "tentacle" that reaches to the west? It looks unnatural. That is probably a good indicator that something is wrong with this one.	Brandon Deshaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP4	Like	Support this Map Competitive for both parties. Reservations are kept as a whole. Close to equal populations	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT
CP4	Opinion	Support this Map Competitive for both parties. Reservations are kept as a whole. Close to equal populations	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT
CP4	Like	As a Helena resident with social and recreational contacts on the land of the Blackfeet Nation, I feel this map meets more of the criteria than other the others. This map is one of only two that contain at least two tribal nations in each district. CP4 is equal in population, it keeps communities of interest intact, including Tribal communities, and it creates a competitive district. It does not unduly favor one political party over the other.	Linda S Beischel	2021-10-16	lindabee7999@gmail.com	Helena	MT

CP4	Dislike	It has only one positive feature allowing for two reservations to be included within the Western District however that is outweighed because it violates the Compact and contigous tests with partisan half taken from Flathead County placing Kalispell in the eastern district. that's why I dislike this	C	2021-10-16	584blondi@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map is horrible for the sake that it divides Flathead county. The county should be kept as a whole like everywhere else. There is no need to separate the county into a more liberal area when each city within the county is very close. Another ridiculous proposition by ignorant sneaky democrats.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map fails to be compact and divides communities with like interests. It is ridiculous and an obvious attempt at gerrymandering.	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP4	Dislike	This gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Socialist super district in the west, and a double digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. This type of map is why you need to have different people on the commission every 10 years.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP4	Dislike	Rejected. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values. If you want those values and mountains, CA still has plenty of space.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	Rejected. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values. If you want those values and mountains, CA still has plenty of space.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT

CP4	Like	This isn't a terrible map. It's somewhat competitive, but not the most compact boundaries. Only slightly favors one political party over the others.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP4	Dislike	looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Like	This map provides for a competitive district, and keeps communities of interest whole. It will do.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered and needs to be rejected outright. Seriously! Who puts this type of map together except someone with an OBVIOUS agenda! REJECT, REJECT, REJECT...oh, did I mention REJECT?	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP4	Dislike	CP4 â€¢Overall, first look it is not contiguous or compact. Appears politically motivated to favor 1 political party over the other in District 1. Same ideologic motivation as CP2, CP6, CP8, and CP9 just different arrangement of lines drawn for district boundaries. â€¢Like CP2, CP6, CP8, and CP9, this breakout could create divisiveness between our two representatives back in Washington. We need representatives that will work for the best interest of all Montanans. A district that is broke out that will favor 1 political party will likely never work for all of Montana interests. Largest growth is anticipated in proposed District 2 and would not be a fair/balanced district representation for all of Montana. â€¢Even though I like the fact that reservations occur in both districts, I cannot support this map.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana

CP4	Dislike	This map is so ridiculous I cannot believe any serious person could come up with it. Reaching into the western part of the state to tie it in with the eastern this way makes NO sense whatsoever. Unless you are trying to create a Democrat district and REALLY want to include Whitefish. I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Like	I dislike this map because looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth.	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP4	Dislike	I see many comments liking or disliking based on the most probable party to control a district. THIS IS NOT A CRITERIA! This map fails in compactness and divides communities with like interests. It is a ridiculous and obvious attempt at gerrymandering.	James Gomolka	2021-10-16	realfoodwins-1@yahoo.com	Proctor	MT
CP4	Opinion	While #4 is not as unfair as 1,3,5 and 7, it too is not truly competitive. What kind of election is it if one party is handed the victory? Every voice needs to be heard.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP4	Like	Even though it favors one party in District 1, the tribal community supports it and it is not the worst division.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP4	Like	I support this map because one of the criteria (called by some "illegal"?) of creating a new district is to create at least one competitive district. This is a principle of fair representation. This map accomplishes that. In addition, it provides representation for tribal communities in both districts.	Julia Shaida	2021-10-16	juliashaida1@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map does not do a good job of keeping communities of interest intact. Furthermore, the divide through the Flathead puts all the strongly republican districts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west. This links the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university towns into one district.	Emma Moerman	2021-10-15	moeemm02@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP4	Dislike	This map violates the compact and contiguous tests and will create a Democrat Super District.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Like	It is important that we do not leave out targeted communities when selecting a map. This map is fair, competitive, and is one of only two options that contain at least two tribal nations. I hope the commission selects either this map or number 8.	Laurel Hesse	2021-10-15	laurel.hesse@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP4	Dislike	Perhaps the most obviously goofy map. Whitefish and Columbia Falls are 15 miles apart and share many commonalities yet this map separates them into different districts. It says Whitefish has more in common with Glendive and Absaroka than Columbia Falls. Such a notion is nuts from an agricultural and geographic perspective.	Don McBurney	2021-10-15	burnjj@charter.net	Whitefish	Mt
CP4	Dislike	This map it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district. This map is obviously gerrymandered.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP4	Dislike	This is a textbook gerrymandering map. How does splitting flathead county and placing Kalispell in the east satisfy compact and contiguous and commonalities of interests? The intent is clear by linking the democratic leaning northern part of flathead county with the democratic strongholds of Missoula while throwing the republicans to the east. What does it achieve other than insuring the creation of a democratic stronghold?	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map is unfavorable for a number of reasons. Looking at future growth, this map favors the western district and the population growth with quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP4	Dislike	Probably the worst map - ever.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP4	Dislike	Short and sweet. This is a terrible map!	Leslie Ellington-Staal	2021-10-15	roamus928@hotmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP4	Opinion	What is that weird shaft in the east district that goes almost to Idaho? Oh yeah, i think it's called GERRYMANDERING! This ploy splits 3 counties, and just like CP2, asks for a lopsided dump of one party's supporters into the eastern district. How can that be fair to MT. voters? To the party that's always screaming about suppression and disenfranchisement i can only say, try looking in the mirror!	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP4	Dislike	Divides Flathead County.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT

CP4	Dislike	I dislike this map because this gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double digit political lead in the east for the Republicans.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com		Missoula	MT

CP4	Dislike	this gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double-digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
CP4	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering. If we take into account future population growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!"	Liana Orsolini	2021-10-15	lorsolini1@gmail.com	Condon	Montana

CP4	Dislike	I dislike this map because this gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double-digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP4	Like	I support this map because it meets more of the criteria than other the other maps: it's equal in population, it keeps communities of interest intact, including Tribal communities, and it creates a competitive district. It does not unduly favor one political party over the other.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP4	Dislike	I don't like this choice	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP4	Like	An obvious and flagrant attempt at violating the compact and contiguous tests.	jerelyn sandtner	2021-10-15	jwsandtner@gmail.com	kila	montana
CP4	Like	I support this map as the populations are equal in population (as practicable) and it creates one district that is competitive. Montanans deserve to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT

CP4	Dislike	looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€	Lindsey Mishler	2021-10-15	lnmishler@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP4	Dislike	Map 4 is a very clear attempt to gerrymander the addition of the districts. Attempting to split the Flathead strips of the blinders and reveals the overt desire to weaken the Republican vote, in total opposition to the MCA. Please don't do this.	Randy Brodehl	2021-10-15	rbrodehl@flathead.mt.gov	Kalispell	MONTANA
CP4	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map does not meet the compactness requirements, it has one district going very far West into another district. It causes the districts to not be as equal as possible in length and width PLUS it divides 3 counties.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP4	Like	I have looked at most of the comments on the other maps, both pro and con. This map provides borders for both districts, it seems to provide Native American representation in both districts, it would seem to allow manageable access to constituents for representatives for both districts, it would be competitive for both political parties. I don't like that it splits counties, but given the other benefits it is workable.	Mark Sant	2021-10-15	Markandrenee40@gmail.com	Silver Star	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double-digit political lead in the east for the Republicans.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT

CP4	Dislike	This map is gerrymandered. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena and Butte and combines both major university towns into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west.	Deborah M Wilson	2021-10-15	dmwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP4	Dislike	Obvious Gerrymandering.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map doesn't make sense	Oxana Gamba	2021-10-15	oxy_dnepr@hotmail.com	Billings	MY
CP4	Dislike	Blatant gerrymandering, no one should support this.	Chris Van Fossen	2021-10-15	Chrisvf12@comcast.net	Laurel	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This map is terrible, gerrymandering at it's finest. Clearly violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County.	Al Wilson	2021-10-15	acwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP4	Like	This map still favors the republican party for the 2nd District but does not do so as blatantly as maps #1, #3, #5 and #7. It also looks pretty gerrymandered. However, the map is endorsed by Montanaâ€™s tribal community, probably because it keeps the CSKT and the Blackfeet Reservation in the same district. This map is clearly superior to maps #1, #3, #5 and #7. I support this map but it is not my first choice.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP4	Like	I am not wild about the shape of this map, but I can see that it will keep Missoula and Bozeman together which are both college towns and have a shared interest in that respect. Minuses of this map are 3 split counties and it doesn't do a perfect job of keeping the reservations together.	Vickie M Sehy	2021-10-15	vickiems42@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

	1. Division by natural boundaries. FAIL 2. Division by population. Pass 3. Division by exterior border with Canada. Pass 4. Division by county representation. Fail--too many pieces and parts of counties divided by mountains. 5. Division by Indian population. Fail 6. Division by Urban/Rural population. Pass 7. Division by Commerce. Fail 8. Division by Tourist Trades. Pass 9. Division by political parties. Scale 1-5(best) = 2					
CP4	Dislike	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT

CP4	Dislike	ï,· this gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. ï,· looking at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ ï,· it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This map has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana

CP4	Dislike	This District has this horribly obvious gerrymander to reach Flathead county. Flathead has more of the Western issues than what would be in the Eastern part of the state.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This map is probably the worst map submitted in regards to obvious gerrymandering. Come on man ! This map obviously favors the western district over the next decade of growth	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	mt
CP4	Dislike	This is not a good choice! It is a gerrymandering nightmare. It obviously creates another Democrat super district in the west. CP 4 has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-13	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana

		This gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. If you look at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.					
CP4	Dislike		Mike S	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula	MT

CP4	Dislike	This gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double digit political lead in the east for the Republicans. If you look at future growth, this map will obviously favor the western district, and we can be sure the population will quickly ruin the equality of both these districts over the next decade of growth. Letâ€™s vote this one â€œthe most likely to render the Ravalli county Republican stronghold and the majority Democrat eastern tribes in Montana impotent in their new seats!â€ it has only one positive feature, allowing for two reservations to be included within the western district. However, that is outweighed because it violates the compact and contiguous tests with the partisan half taken from Flathead County, placing Kalispell in the eastern district.	Mike S	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula	MT
CP4	Dislike	This gerrymandered map is the best example of violating keeping communities of interest intact. Kalispell is the county seat of government, is where all the airports reside, and is the social and economic hub for the county. When you look at the illegal criteria of competitiveness adopted by the Commission, the divide through the Flathead places all the strong republican precincts in the east, and the democrat ones in the west, linking them to the democrat strongholds of Helena with Butte and combines both major university town into one district. This has the double effect of dividing the political power of the strongest Republican county in the state. This obvious gerrymandering continues when you evaluate all the locations, creating another Democrat super district in the west, and a double digit political lead in the east for the Republicans.	Natalie Adams	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP4	Dislike	Not a good map, maybe for a jigsaw puzzle.	justin w cleveland	2021-10-13	huskers@3rivers.net	Fairfield	MT
CP4	Dislike	Map CP 4 is an interesting idea. However, it splits the tribal vote even though the Blackfoot Reservation is together and 3 counties are split. It also splits Park and Gallatin counties into 2 Districts when they have close ties economically, educationally, and domestically and for that reason should remain in the same district. Voter interests are not served with map 4 as it promotes voter suppression which is gerrymandering and should not be a part of Montana politics.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP4	Dislike	Population is balanced but it sure doesn't give good representation. Agree with the other dislike comments and opinion	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt
CP4	Dislike	This proposal is perfectly balanced in population as of the census date. However, by relegating Kalispell--an economic, business, educational, and healthcare regional center--to the eastern district, this proposal really divides the northwestern corner of the state. Moreover, it is unfortunate that it splits three counties in the same area.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map interrupts too many counties and like-minded communities.	Lin Dsay	2021-10-12	Lindsay3979@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map splits 3 counties and is not really contiguous. It favors the status quo and does not address the change in demographics of the larger cities like Bozeman. It also places Park county in a district different from Bozeman. We are communities of interest, with many people working in Bozeman and living in Livingston. This map does not reflect the political changes in urban populations in the last 10 years.	Judy Lewis	2021-10-08	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
CP4	Dislike	Nope! Love the split, not!	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT

CP4	Opinion	This map does a noble job in getting the CSKT and Blackfeet Reservations in the same district. However, Kalispell belongs in the Western District since it is a regional economic hub in western Montana. The C67 or PM 238 Map allows Kalispell and Bozeman remain in the Western District, and the counties to remain whole with the exception of Pondera because of the Blackfeet Reservation. Please consider the C67 Map as an alternative to this one. The 2020 Senate race should be the most considered because the former Governor turned out to be the strongest Democratic candidate during the 2020 election cycle. The proposed C67 Western District vote was 50.6% Republican in a +10 GOP election for U.S. Senate. This makes the C67 Western district a naturally competitive district. The deviation is only +/- 0.07% in each district with the reason for the deviation not being 0% is the counties are not broken up, with the exception of Pondera because of the Blackfeet Reservation, to ensure communities of interest remain intact. C67 Map Link: https://districtr.org/plan/49176	John Wright	2021-10-07	jwright68@hotmail.com	Westby, MT	MT
CP4	Dislike	This map fails to adequately provide for a competitive (50/50 chance of republican or democrat winning) district. Map leans toward keeping the status quo in Montana, which does not properly reflect demographic trends in the state.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Like	#1 I think this is the best choice and balanced fairly.	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Opinion	Map #5: This has the needlessly high deviation of 7551. The eastward protrusion of the Western District (1) into Liberty and Hill Counties seems inconsistent with being compact. It also divides Hill County	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP5	Dislike	This map also creates two Republican super districts. Everyone does not have a seat at the table in this scenario. I strongly oppose this map.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP5	Dislike	Having lived in rural Gallatin County and in Bozeman for a combined 30-year period, I urge the commission not to select map 5 because it divides Gallatin County into two Congressional districts. Even with the diversity that exists in the county, there are many shared interests and commonalities among residents in Gallatin County. This proposed map favors one political party. As the second largest county in the state, the entire county deserves to be in one Congressional district.	Patti Steinmuller	2021-10-16	psteinmul@msn.com	Bozeman	Montana

CP5	Like	Yes, I say! Look at that nice, fair east/west split. Two big counties on each side so it really represents the state and the Rep can really represent us without wasting time or doing strange contortions like a circus clown in some of those other manufactured options. PLUS, three reservations on the left gives them power and voice that the Rep can then bring forward in Congress. This is good. This is FAIR. This is a real district map. Thank you :)	Nicole Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP5	Like	This is my favorite. There are 4 tribes in the east and 3 in the west. Kalispell, Missoula and Helena are in District 1 and Bozeman and Billings are in District 2 which seems to be a good idea. Three tribes in District 1 and Four in District 2. People groups are divided fairly evenly. The boundaries of both district to Canada are somewhat close to the same distance. Very little dividing of counties.	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map best because it is a fair and equal division of land and areas of representation. The Indian reservations are as equally represented as is possible. Both the West and the East side are located on the Canadian border so each would be well represented. Each side has large counties which will be fairly represented and each has a large college so the the dividing line is fairly drawn.	Sharon Shropshire	2021-10-16	shrop@centurytel.net	Kalispell	Montana
CP5	Dislike	This map clearly violates the objective of not favoring a political party. If these district lines are chosen, the republican party will have two super districts and will have no incentive to consider the opinions of anyone who isn't an ultra-conservative (as is the case now in the legislature). I strongly oppose this district configuration.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP5	Like	In our opinion, this is the best map of the nine. This map makes it manageable for a Representative to represent their district. That is what the Founding Fathers wanted. It basically only splits one county--Hill County.	Steve & Beth Hinebauch	2021-10-16	stevehinebauch@midrivers.com	Wibaux	MT
CP5	Dislike	This results in a biased electoral map that doesn't reflect actual voter opinions	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT

CP5	Dislike	This map creates two unequal districts: VERY unequal in population, and unequal in the chance for fair representation of all Montanans. It creates two districts that are pre-loaded with voters in one party. That is not fair competition for votes or ideas. In addition, this map is not supported by Montana's tribal communities. The purpose of redistricting is to give everyone a fair shot at congressional representation. This map is drawn in a way that totally prevents that.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map because it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP5	Like	I like this map because it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP5	Like	I like this map because it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP5	Like	I like this map because resemble historical divides, splits the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, and allows for fair competitiveness between parties	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana
CP5	Like	I like this map best for several reasons. It divides the state along relatively established districting boundaries. The fastest growing counties in the state are equally divided. The reservations are also equally divided. With the benefit of keeping Rocky Boy intact. Two counties seem to be split for that purpose and nothing else. There is some small population discrepancy but unavoidable to make the gains possible. Population growth could easily make up the difference and hopefully level growth differentials for the next ten years. By far the best.	Mark Shropshire	2021-10-16	iwrite@centurytel.net	Kalispell	Montana
CP5	Like	This is a good map and provides good balance for the two main political parties.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP5	Like	I like this map best. It is the least invasive to county integrity while attempting to place 3 tribal governments in the west. It shares the Canadian border between districts and splits the fastest growing counties between the districts. It will allow for a superior candidate of either party to prevail.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP5	Dislike	This proposal creates two very unequal districts in terms of population, and does not create competitive voting districts. These are the two most important criteria for districts as far as I'm concerned. It splits two counties on top of that. Bad proposal.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT
CP5	Like	it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP5	Dislike	This proposal creates a massive population imbalance, makes two almost-guaranteed Republican districts, and divides counties for no reason. Do better.	Ethan Seiler	2021-10-16	ethanseiler@outlook.com	Missoula	MT
CP5	Dislike	Very bad map!	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
CP5	Dislike	Two GOP super districts	Mitchell Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP5	Dislike	No competitive districts.	Jeff McNeish	2021-10-16	jeff.mcneish@gmail.com	Laurel	MT
CP5	Dislike	Map #1 is far superior - this one looks completely manipulated.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP5	Like	I prefer CP5 but also like CP3. Allows for even divide of fastest growing counties. Reservations are represented in both districts. Places University towns in both districts. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map.	Barbara Ellis	2021-10-16	rockinspurranch@aol.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Dislike	This would not be great because it splits counties	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP5	Like	Allows for even divide of fastest growing counties. Reservations are represented in both districts. Places University towns in both districts. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map.	Paul Ellis	2021-10-16	ellispaul4@aol.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Dislike	Not competitive	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP5	Like	Not competitive	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP5	Dislike	This map is not competitive and favors one party over the other. It is not population equal. It splits counties and communities and splits the Rocky Boy Reservation. lt should be rejected.	Linda G Semones	2021-10-16	lindasemones@hotmail.com	BOZEMAN	MT
CP5	Like	I don't like that this map splits Hill County, Considering that what map lines we pick for our congressional districts will most likely be used for our legislative districts this is not the best choice	K Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP5	Dislike	Map 5 is NOT population equal and NOT competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map because it follows state law, as the districts are compact, contiguous, and allow for both districts to have a border with Canada.	Brandon J Deshaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP5	Dislike	Not competitive	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT
CP5	Like	This map is not as good as CP1, but it does allow for an even divide of the four fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, , thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade.	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map because it's a fair east west split for the state. I prefer that the largest towns in the state are properly split across the divide evenly as it helps distribute votes and power more evenly. I do not want the liberal agenda seeping into my county because the Democrats are maintaining more control by conquering another town. I believe in this split as it gives citizens a fair opportunity to vote and live with the values they believe in. This will also allow proper growth within the towns in that state that are having a surge.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP5	Opinion	This would be my third favorite map. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. It definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. By using the voting records of Donald Trump, by far the most popular candidate in 2016 and 2020, the reporters failed to recognize the both the Republicans and Libertarians came out and voted for the best candidate. The data you should use for your creative gerrymandering is not the Trump triumph, but the 2016 and 2020 Governor races.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP5	Like	Yes. Fair split of the state. Reject the games democrats play. I have lived in democrat-controlled states. They love to gerrymander in order to give control to their party and the federal government. Do not give them an inch. We must reject any proposal by them.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Dislike	This is an unfair map in that it strongly favors one political party over the other, and is obviously designed to disadvantage the tribes.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP5	Like	CP5 receives my support.. Allows for even divide of fastest growing counties in Montana. Reservations are represented in both districts. Places the University towns in both districts. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map. Delineation of districts represents diversity of interests, the use of land and natural resources. This allows representatives to represent Montana fairly, back in Washington and not be politically motivated. This alternative would promote representatives working together in Washington for interests of all Montanaanâ€™s, regardless of party affiliation. I rank the CP5 Districts Map as number One, CP3 Districts Map as number Two, and CP1 Districts Map number Three.	Bill Ellis	2021-10-16	billellis58@aol.com	Miles City	Montana

CP5	Like	CP5 â€¢ receives my support. â€¢ Allows for even divide of fastest growing counties. Reservations are represented in both districts. Places University towns in both districts. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map. Delineation of districts represents diversity of interests, the use of land and natural resources. This allows representatives to represent Montana fairly back in Washington and not be politically motivated. This alternative would promote representatives working together in Washington for interests of all Montananâ€™s, regardless of party affiliation.	Cindia Ellis	2021-10-16	cindia@midrivers.com	Miles City	MT
CP5	Like	It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Dislike	This map does not allow for the representation of all of Montana's diverse population. It divides communities of interest. It will not benefit our democracy.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP5	Opinion	Not the best map (CP-1 is the best) but this is better than most.	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP5	Like	CP5 â€¢ would support this map over CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8, and CP9, but my preference is CP1. â€¢ Allows for even divide of fastest growing counties. Splits 2 counties but has a larger population deviation than CP1. Like CP1 it has reservations represented in both districts. Does not appear politically motivated i.e., puts University towns in both districts so that ideology is represented in both districts. Generally, meets intent of constitutional requirements, does not put competitiveness as a priority which is not part of the requirement. Competitiveness of one party or the other is not inherent in this map. Delineation of districts represents diversity of interests, the use of land and natural resources. This allows representatives to represent Montana fairly back in Washington and not be politically motivated. Looks to be a better fit than CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8, and CP9 for all the people of MT. â€¢ Like CP1, CP5, and CP7 this alternative would more likely result in representatives working together in Washington for interests in all Montananâ€™s, regardless of party affiliation.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana

CP5	Dislike	This map splits counties and communities and should be rejected.	Marcia Riesselman	2021-10-16	marciariesselman@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Like	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off.	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP5	Opinion	No to this map. It is not competitive and favors one party which is unfair. The goal should be for everyone to have a equal voice in voting. Not competitive means there is no real choice. The outcome is certain that a Republican would be elected.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP5	Dislike	Creates a one-party super state. A great big no for this map.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP5	Like	I favor CP5	Barbara Jacobs	2021-10-16	BJacobs336@aol.com	Whitefish	MT
CP5	Like	This is the overall best solution and most closely represents Montana's historical two districts. It splits our seven tribes (3 district, 4 district 2). It splits our four largest and fastest growing counties equally with Missoula and Gallatin leaning one party while Flathead and Yellowstone leaning to another. It affords both districts bordering Canadian Provinces and does not place undo distances to either elected Representative. Several of the maps would require the District 2 Representative to travel over 700 miles from MW Montana to SE Montana. It meets all criteria.	edward byrne	2021-10-16	Edwardjbyrne860@gmail.com	Creston	MT
CP5	Dislike	This fails to create at least one competitive district in the state.	Julia Shaida	2021-10-16	juliashaida1@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP5	Like	-This map closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. -It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Like	This map allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Further, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP5	Like	This map is an attempt to use our historical divisions of east and west, shared Canadian border, compact and contigious parameters and 3 tribes in the west. The map seems to favor neither party in determining election outcomes.	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Like	I favor this map because it most closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts, before adjusting for population and tribal inclusion.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP5	Dislike	For the same reason as the others, I see two counties that have been divided among the two districts. It certainly looks unfavorable to equal representation.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Opinion	This proposal does give a superior candidate the chance to win in either district, but the population disparity of 3700 + seems too high. CP1 and CP3 seem like better choices for MT. voters.	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP5	Dislike	This map has all of the faults of the other Republican offerings. It divides Hill County and, while it looks pretty, it does provide an unequal advantage to one party.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map because it allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT

CP5	Dislike	This map unduly favors one political party and does not divide the population equally.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map because it does the best at a clean divide in the state while keep counties the most intact. I would prefer all of Hill Co to go to the east, but of the options this gives county residents a clear idea of what district they are in. It has a bigger population deviation than others, but it is still very small.	Seth Cunningham	2021-10-15	cunningham.seth@gmail.com	BILLINGS	MT
CP5	Like	it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
CP5	Like	This seems the closer to what we had historically with a more evan split and meets compactness. Seems a much more even split of the state.	A	2021-10-15	wbsouplbjebhzduhoo@adfskj.com	Billings	MT

CP5	Like	I like this map because it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP5	Like	Not my first choice but if chosen I could live with it	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP5	Dislike	I oppose this map as the two districts are not equal in population (as practicable) compared to other maps (7,551 difference) and it creates two districts that are not competitive. Montanans deserve to keep our communities of interest intact and to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT

CP5	Like	This is the best option in my opinion. I have lived in both proposed districts approximately 20 years each. This map keeps Bozeman and Missoula split into different districts. In NO WAY should a district include both universities! This map would force each congressman to work TOGETHER to work on issues related to those university matters. Geographically, and historically, it makes sense. The districts on this map each would have reservations included. In NO WAY, should one district have all reservations in it! Each have unique circumstances culturally and geographically that would best be served by each having representation by both districts. That would force each congressmen to work TOGETHER other on matters on reservation/tribe matters and law! This map also splits the fastest growing counties into both districts. 10 years is a long time, and migration to Montana is increasing. In NO WAY should growth favor one district! This map requires each congressional district to work together on common interests, regardless of political affiliation.	Kevin Conners	2021-10-15	kcconners@gmail.com	Lewistown	MT
CP5	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map does NOT meet those requirements. It has a difference of 3,775 people between the two districts and should NOT even be considered.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP5	Like	It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus ensuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP5	Like	This map meets the population requirements as well as meets all the Montana Constitution requirements.	Oxana Gamba	2021-10-15	oxy_dnepr@hotmail.com	Billings	MT

CP5	Dislike	This map clearly favors one party for both districts, creating two republican super districts. There is no reason to run the divide north to south except to ineffectively hide blatant partisanship. Neither district is competitive and it only benefits the republican party. Just because the state districts used to be cut from north to south doesnâ€™t mean itâ€™s fair or considers the demographic needs of urban voters. Montana has changed considerably in the decades since it last had 2 U.S. legislative districts. We donâ€™t drive our cars from the rear view mirror and we certainly shouldnâ€™t run our state from a rear view mirror. This plan clearly disenfranchises our urban areas. Furthermore, Montanaâ€™s Native American tribes do not support this map. I adamantly oppose this map.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP5	Opinion	This map does a better job of keeping the Indian Reservations together. However, it splits up the interest block of Missoula and Bozeman. There is a chance that this map will produce two Republican seats. However, it seems that putting Kalispell against Missoula in the east and Bozeman against Billings in the west, could lead to a more balanced outcome.	Vickie M Sehy	2021-10-15	vickiems42@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Opinion	1.Division by natural boundaries. Fail--our most natural boundary is the Rocky Mountain chain and this make for difficult travel with only U.S. 2 travel for the upper counties. It's a tough road during our long winter months. 2.Division by population. Pass 3.Division by exterior border with Canada. Pass 4.Division by county representation. Fail--this would be difficult for Hill County and makes no sense. 5.Division by Indian population. Pass 6.Division by Urban/Rural population. Scale of 1-5(best) = 4 7.Division by Commerce. Scale of 1-5(best) = 3 This does include a fair amount of tourism, but divides out dryland farm country which has almost no voice anymore. 8.Division by Tourist Trades. Pass 9.Division by political parties. Scale 1-5(best) = 4	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT

CP5	Dislike	I am a Montana voter and this map is unfair. This map is not a balanced and should not be used to determine Montana's Congressional districts. It would create non-competitive districts and would not represent equal populations.	Courtney Miranda	2021-10-14	courtney.miranda13@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Like	Map 5 â€“ I like this map because ï‚· it is an attempt to get three tribes in the western district as its primary goal, and falls just under the requirement of .75%, with 7551 population deviation (.7%). It could be argued to fall just under our requirements for compact and contiguous in the effort to get three tribes in the west, splitting the Rocky Boy Reservation components in Hill and Choteau counties off. ï‚· it also closely resembles the historical divide Montana had for 80 years when we had two districts before, adjusting for population and tribe inclusion. ï‚· It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade. ï‚· it definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP5	Like	The map allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana

CP5	Dislike	This map has a bad imbalance of population between the two districts, is not competitive, and randomly decides that a North/South divide is somehow "fair" when such a divide has nothing to do with representing the interests of those in the districts. To give voice to the 46% of Montanans who are NOT represented by the GOP, our new district needs to be at least competitive, not favoring the dominant party.	SHIRLEY N ATKINS	2021-10-14	zoolmntree@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT - Montana
CP5	Opinion	I agree with John Wright. Keep Hill County whole and put Teton county in the East district. Otherwise this is the best map.	Greg Salveson	2021-10-14	helisnd@hotmail.com	Sidney	Montana
CP5	Like	This map is okay in regards to continuity and contiguous geography, but it's not as good as map #1 in that regards. It is very good as far as maintaining the population split. It definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesn't split any reservations. Both of these districts are very competitive based upon the 2016 Governor & 2018 US Senate race results allowing for either major party in MT a chance for victory.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Like	I like this map the most. It closely resembles the divide from the past. It equally splits the 4 fastest growing counties between the two parties. This map is the most equitable compromise of all the maps. It also keeps the reservations intact.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-13	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana
CP5	Like	It definitely keeps communities of interest intact and doesnâ€™t split any reservations. Again, if we consider the illegal requirement of competitiveness adopted by the commission, both of these districts are very competitive based upon 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results, allowing for either major party in Montana a chance for victory. This map could easily be â€œthe great compromise map of the lot.â€ It allows for an even divide of the 4 fastest growing counties in Montana, 2 on each side, thus insuring the population growth in both seats would keep things even as we grow in the next decade.	Natalie Adams	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Dislike	Map CP 5 splits the tribal vote. Map 5 blatantly favors one party thus suppressing votes north and south in the state by allowing any candidate who runs to automatically be elected before the election is held. This places Gallatin and Park County in District 2 which further suppresses votes. Two counties are split which is not in the best interests of those residents.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP5	Dislike	Population imbalance is largest of all while splitting counties.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt

CP5	Like	This map has the largest population imbalance and is not politically competitive. Although it only splits Hill and Choteau Counties, it significantly splits the American Indian votes, which could result in the further marginalization of those important communities.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP5	Dislike	This map is a plan drawn to unduly favor the Republican Party and eliminate competition in our state so they can send someone to Congress who lives in Santa Barbara instead of Montana.	Shelby Fisher	2021-10-12	shelby.fisher.mt@gmail.com	Ronan	MT
CP5	Opinion	Here is a modification of the CP5 Map which incorporates Cascade County into the Western district. This allows the Western district to be only 50.6% Republican according to the 2020 U.S. Senate results in a +10 GOP Senate election. The deviation is .44% with this map. Map Link with CP5 incorporating Cascade County: https://districtr.org/plan/61857	John Wright	2021-10-11	jwright68@hotmail.com	Westby, MT	MT
CP5	Opinion	Here is a similar map that includes the Ft. Belknap Reservation with the CSKT and Blackfeet Reservations in the Western district at .28% deviation Map Link: https://districtr.org/plan/61152	John Wright	2021-10-08	jwright68@hotmail.com	Westby, MT	MT
CP5	Dislike	This map splits counties, has a population variance that is .70% and and tends to be non competitive in favor of Republicans. The demographics of Montana have changed in the last 10 years. We need to have competitive districts.	Judy Lewis	2021-10-08	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
CP5	Opinion	Constructive Criticism: This map needs to keep Hill county whole. This can be done by placing Teton county in the Eastern district. This new configuration lowers the deviation from .70% to .18%. The only county that is not whole is Choteau because of the Rocky Boy's Reservation. Having three reservations in the Western district makes it more diverse and competitive. The deviation from 0% can be justified by keeping counties whole, except Choteau because of the Rocky Boy's Reservation, which allows communities of interest to remain intact. The 2020 U.S. Senate result had the Western district at 52.6% Republican in a +10 Republican election. The 2018 U.S. Senate results should be considered for competitiveness of the Western district as well. CP5 with changes map link: https://districtr.org/plan/60576	John Wright	2021-10-08	jwright68@hotmail.com	Westby, MT	MT
CP5	Dislike	This map is NOT competitive and will disproportionately favor republicans/ conservatives and undermine voters of color.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP6	Dislike	It splits Sanders County and you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. It also only has on rep for the Canadian border.	Jennifer J. Redline	2021-10-17	redjenn227@gmail.com	Superior	Mt
CP6	Dislike	Not fair	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP6	Like	Map #6: While this has a low deviation of 1 it has the same failings as Map #2	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP6	Dislike	Do you see it? It's a CHICKEN sitting on top of OHIO! Why do we want (a clearly GERRYMANDERED) Ohio and a chicken for Montana? Who came up with this? I mean, really, could you honestly look us Montanans in the eye and tell us this is a district that isn't gerrymandered? How is the Rep supposed to represent this? I mean, I guess if they're from Ohio or really good at raising chickens? C'MON! Please be FAIR and CONSCIENTIOUS and don't pick this map that no one can say is a real district. It's a chicken district...bawk bawk... afraid of just representing us as we are! We are not chickens nor Ohioans. Thank you :)	Nicole Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP6	Dislike	This map, at least, creates one competitive district. However, Tribal communities do not support it. I do not support this map for this reason.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map is ridiculous! The reason we are getting two Legislative Districts is because the size of population and area. It is hard for a Representative to represent that many people in that many miles. It is 800 miles from Ekalaka to Troy. We are cutting the number of people, why not the miles? We have heard some noise about competitive districts which was never the Founding Fathers intent. They wanted the districts to be representative.	Steve Hinebauch	2021-10-16	stevehinebauch@midrivers.com	Wibaux	MT
CP6	Like	This is a pretty good map. I don't like that Gallatin and Park counties are split. However, it does create one truly competitive district that isn't overwhelmed by the republican party. All candidates will have to work for constituents of the democratic and republican parties, as well as independent. This map is one of my second choices.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP6	Dislike	I oppose this map. It is not as simple or unbiased as other options.	Joseph D. Coco	2021-10-16	joe@coco-ent.com	Whitefish	MT
CP6	Like	This is a fair map.	Loren Dunk	2021-10-16	dunkman68@yahoo.com	Power	Montana
CP6	Like	This is an okay map.	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT

CP6	Dislike	â€“ I dislike this map because it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it violates Montana legal requirements for compactness and contiguity	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana
CP6	Like	This map allows for an equal population split and allows for the possibility of equal congressional representation for all Montanans in Congress. No international border issues between Canada and Montana will be unilaterally decided by a single district representative anyway, so it is not important that each district borders Canada. Other states with international borders have single districts along the border as well. It is more important that as many Montanans have as equal a chance for representation as possible.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP6	Dislike	I do not like this map because it creates a super democrat district and a super republican district.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP6	Dislike	This is an illegal map with all the Indian tribes in the east. A violation of federal law. It is a throw away map for the Democrats. It creates a super Democratic district in the West with exposure to the Canadian border. It also fails the compact and contiguous parameters.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP6	Like	This map is at least competitive. Montana desperately needs a way for non republicans to have a say. It is important that the reservations have a chance to be recognized also.	Rochelle Dunk	2021-10-16	rdunk56@yahoo.com	Power	Montana
CP6	Like	I like this proposal because it provides an even population split and creates a competitive district. I like how the Flathead Reservation is in district 1. It does split 3 counties, but two of the splits are quite small and the only significant county split makes sense because it helps keep the Flathead reservation intact, and goes through a lightly populated area. This is one of my favorite proposals. I do not understand the comments about leaving only one representative with the Canadian border. What happens along the Canadian border impacts all of Montana, whether or not you live along the border. Both of our representatives will be involved in border issues, I expect.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT
CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€ Map of 2021!	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt

CP6	Dislike	I dislike and strongly oppose the CP 6 map for many reasons. It's a power grab map for the Democratic party, because it separates (5) of our major cities: Great Falls, Helena, Missoula, Butte and Bozeman into a proposed western voting District 1 that ALSO EXCLUDES ALL Tribal governments from this voting district. This map places only (2) of our major cities, Kalispell and Billings, into voting District 2. This proposed map violates the legal redistricting requirements of MT Code 5-1-115 #2 (c) and (d) that requires districts to be contiguous and compact; and #3 requires a district may not be drawn for the purposes of favoring a political party. It doesn't represent IN THE LEAST having two fair, balanced or even LAWFUL voting districts.	Michelle Daniels	2021-10-16	michelle.daniels40@gmail.com	Whitefish	Montana
CP6	Like	This option creates a competitive district that doesn't give the advantage to one party over the other.	Connie Ostrovsky	2021-10-16	conobozo@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP6	Like	Viable option	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
CP6	Like	Viable option	Mitchell Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP6	Like	Well, it is not ideally compact, but the population splits are good and it does not give either party a big advantage. I don't know why Canada should be considered in our state's representation. It still splits a county, but I think that has to happen to keep the reservations all intact. I guess this is the least bad option.	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP6	Dislike	Completely dislike this map on all accounts.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP6	Dislike	â€¢ Violation of compact â€¢ It is in violation of Federal Election Law because it has no tribal or very few nations in the new western seat.	Barbara Jones	2021-10-16	rockinspurranch@aol.com	Bozeman	MT
CP6	Like	Map 6 is population equal and competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it violates state law. The districts are not compact, not contiguous, and do not allow for both districts to have a border with Canada. It also just looks strange, don't you think? Doesn't have that clear east/west kind of divide.	Brandon J Deshaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP6	Like	Wow! Can't believe this map even got into the finals. A big NO on this gerrymandered mess	K Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP6	Like	Equal populations, not compact though, competitve for both parties	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT

CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because there is no compactness or contiguousness. This map is terrible and gerrymandered to favor one party over another. Look how far apart the cities in one district are...the representative will have to travel from the border with ND to the border of Idaho. Crazy!	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map is ridiculous and is perfect example of gerrymandering and does not meet the area requirements. This is a hard pass.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map is a result of socialists on the commission who just want to gerrymander the congressional districts to increase the likelihood one district will go socialist. It has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map, and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œanother most likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP6	Dislike	Rejected. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values. If you want those values and mountains, CA still has plenty of space.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP6	Like	This map isn't too bad. It's not the most compact, but at least it doesn't severely disadvantage one political party.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT

CP6	Opinion	Dividing counties is not ideal. Still, this map keeps communities of interest whole, and provides an opportunity for all of Montana's diverse views to be represented.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map is terrible and gerrymandered to favor one party over another. Look how far apart the cities in one district are...the representative will have to travel from the border with ND to the border of Idaho...crazy!	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP6	Dislike	CP6 â€¢ Like CP2, CP4, CP8 and CP9, does not have contiguous or compact boundaries and appears politically motivated to favor 1 political party over the other as drawn. Like these other maps mentioned due to East West distance the representative in District 2 would be disadvantaged on travel for meeting with constituents. â€¢ Appears the same political ideology driven delineation as CP2, CP4, CP8 and CP9, just different lines. â€¢ Like CP2, CP4, CP8, and CP9, this alternative would favor 1 party in District 1 and could create divisiveness in WA for representatives to work together for all of Montanaâ€™s interests. â€¢ cannot support this map as we should not be creating a district that makes it more competitive for 1 party over the other.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana
CP6	Dislike	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map, and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties.	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elk-hunting-tips.net	Seeley Lake	Montana

CP6	Dislike	This map is another failure in compactness. It is clearly reaching into democrat areas to create a strong democrat district without regard for actual valid redistricting criteria. It is not compact and divides by party.	James Gomolka	2021-10-16	realfoodwins-1@yahoo.com	Proctor	MT	
CP6	Opinion	#6 is one of the three most competitive maps (8,6 and 2). It is fair, all voices can be heard. It does not unuly favor one political party over the other. Fairness and all voices heard should be the goal of redistricting.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT	
CP6	Like	Not the best division, and not supported by the tribal community, but better than some of the other maps.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT	
CP6	Dislike	This map only has one district representing the Canadian border, and you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. Additionally, the districts are divided to create a strongly republican district in the East and a strongly democratic one in the West.	Emma Moerman	2021-10-15	moeemm02@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT	
CP6	Dislike	This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT	
CP6	Dislike	This is another attempt to create a super district for democrats while leaving the rest of the state to the republicans. It falls short on sharing the Canadian border, tribal representation, commonality of intrest and compact and contigious. It again succeeds in creating a democratic super district. The only races will be primaries.	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT	
CP6	Dislike	This map violates the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. Further, even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT	
CP6	Dislike	Not a big fan. This map divides a county, even though it is not my county, I think there has to be a better way. Trying to be consistent.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT	
CP6	Opinion	This is yet another obvious attempt to GERRYMANDER in most all democrat strongholds into less than 1/4 of MT.'s geographic area, AND dump a lopsided number of republicans into another "everywhere else" district as well. Adding insult to injury is splitting 3 counties to do it, how is this fair to MT. voters? For people who are always whining about disenfranchisement, it sure seems to be a one way street you are fond of driving on!	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.	

CP6	Dislike	This map seems to fall short in the majority of areas for consideration, i.e., division by natural boundaries, division by tourist trades, division by Indian population. It seems questionable in regards to division by political parties.	Leslie Ellington-Staal	2021-10-15	roamus928@hotmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP6	Like	This map is good because it keeps all but a tip of Lake County together. It also prevents one party advantage in both counties. It doesn't look pretty, but it fits all of the criteria but that. Again, the tribes benefit from speaking as one voice in one District.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP6	Like	This is a good map that divides the population equally and creates a competitive district which will be good for all Montanans. This map does not unduly favor one political party.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP6	Dislike	This is blatent Gerrymandering in the extreme. Its a rediculous looking map that meets none of the compactness. And is so far apart from the historical divide we used for 80 years. Its drawn for one reason only to create and entirely democratic run district. Please reject this map it doesn't meet the requirements.	A	2021-10-15	wbsouplbjebhzduhoo@adfskj.com	Billings	MT
CP6	Dislike	it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana

CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€Map of 2021!	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP6	Dislike	No!	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP6	Like	Obvious gerrymeandering.	jerelyn sandtner	2021-10-15	jwsandtner@gmail.com	kila	montana
CP6	Like	I support this map as the populations are equal in population (as practicable) and it creates one district that is competitive. Montanans deserve to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP6	Dislike	it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties.	Lindsey Mishler	2021-10-15	lnmishler@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP6	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map SPLITS 3 counties and FAILS to meet the compact criteria more than all the others.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT

CP6	Dislike	it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). It fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has almost all of the failures of the CP-2 map and is another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. This map fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface.	Deborah M Wilson	2021-10-15	dmwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP6	Dislike	Doesn't make any sense	Oxana Gamba	2021-10-15	oxy_dnepr@hotmail.com	Billings	MT
CP6	Dislike	Obvious Gerrymandering.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP6	Dislike	Another terrible map. Meets the population requirement but not the area requirement.	Al Wilson	2021-10-15	acwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP6	Like	This map is very good because it provides one district where the candidates will need to consider the concerns of every voter, regardless of party. It follows all county boundaries except for a small piece of Lake County. It also keeps the Native American reservations intact. The downside of this map is it does not have the support of the Tribal communities. I strongly support this map but it is not my first choice.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP6	Dislike	1. Division by natural boundaries. Fail 2. Division by population. Pass 3. Division by exterior border with Canada. Fail 4. Division by county representation. Scale 1-5(best) = 3 5. Division by Indian population. Fail 6. Division by Urban/Rural population. Fail 7. Division by Commerce. Fail--the forest side of the state and not all those counties are included in favor counties that do not include much in this area of commerce. 8. Division by Tourist Trades. Fail--leaves out the great Glacier Park area and all of its attractions. 9. Division by political parties. Scale 1-5(best) 2	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT

CP6	Dislike	Map 6 â€“ I dislike this map because ï‚· it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map, and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. ï‚· it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). ï‚· Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. ï‚· This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€ Map of 2021!	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP6	Dislike	Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana
CP6	Dislike	This District has peninsulas all around it's perimeter and does not border with Canada. This lack of border with Canada leaves the Western part of the state with unique issues like Water and Forestry without Federal representation.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana
CP6	Like	This map creates a competitive second congressional district which is important in a state where over 40% of voters do not get fair representation at the federal level. Note it makes it "competitive," not that it awards a seat to the minority party. It splits the population fairly, and keeps most counties entirely within the district.	SHIRLEY N ATKINS	2021-10-14	zoolmntree@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT - Montana

CP6	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map, and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. Tt splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a Super Republican district out of the east, which by the way has all the other tribes in it. This map is the one most likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governments!	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP6	Dislike	This is bad idea. This map will invite a lawsuit due to the way it consolidates most of the reservations in the eastern district. This map also manages to create another Democratic super district. The map is also in violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. The map radically splits Sanders county.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-14	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana
CP6	Like	CP 6 honors the historical precedent of keeping Missoula and Gallatin Counties in the same Congressional district. These communitiesâ€™ shared interest in advocating for and advancing higher education is essential to Montanaâ€™s economic health, research cooperation, and quality health care. CP 6 does not unfairly favor one political party thereby encouraging candidates to understand the issues important throughout the district. The populations of districts 1 and 2 are essentially equal. The Flathead Reservation is in district 1 thereby making sure the diverse needs of Montanaâ€™s native populations are addressed in both Congressional districts. The high line communities are kept whole. Eastern and western Montana continue to share a representative who will need to be responsive to the agriculture, tourism and energy industries throughout the district and the needs of rural areas which are losing population, east and west.	Susan Malek	2021-10-13	suemalek@gmail.com	Missoula	MT

CP6	Dislike	This one has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map, and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties. it splits Sanders County, only so you can maintain the boundary of the only Tribe in district (CSKT). Even though it splits up the 4 fastest growing counties evenly, it fails the communities of interest test and leaves one Congressman representing the Canadian interface. This map creates a Super Democrat District out of the west, and a super republican one, which by the way has all the other tribes in it, for the east. This one could be very competitive however for being voted â€œmost likely to be sued by both the GOP and all Tribal governmentsâ€ Map of 2021!	Mike Schauf	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula	MT
CP6	Like	Again, another competitive map that isn't favoring any political party. A strong contentder	Kristin Cordingley	2021-10-13	kristins88@hotmail.com	HELENA	MT
CP6	Dislike	Not good, split by population, but not area.	justin w cleveland	2021-10-13	huskers@3rivers.net	Fairfield	MT
CP6	Dislike	Map CP 6 splits the tribal vote and it promotes voter suppression for other counties. An example is splitting Gallatin County into District 1 and putting Park County in District 2. Map CP 6 is an example of gerrymandering so that a candidate who runs for office automatically is elected before any votes are cast. This map has the largest deviation in population. Park and Gallatin counties are closely tied together for work and living. Gallatin and Park counties have large numbers of people commuting to both counties for work, medical care, shopping for staples and schooling. These counties should not be split as they are too closely tied together. Voters should be allowed to vote, live, and work in the same voting district. All of Montana is affected by the northern border so any elected representative would be cognizant of border issues.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP6	Opinion	Really dislike splitting 3 counties. Jefferson would seem to have more in common with Butte-Silver Bow (on the west), Lewis and Clark (on the north) and Madison and Gallatin (to the south).	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt

CP6	Opinion	This map is balanced population-wise as of the 2020 census date and it splits 3 counties (not so good), while keeping the Flathead Reservation together in the same district (very good). Some of "eastern" Montana would be along the Idaho border. I don't have a strong opinion about the need for both districts to border Canada.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map unduly favors a political party. Agreed that both representatives should have northern border representation.	Rae Grulkowski	2021-10-11	rae@carpsinc.com	Great Falls	MT
CP6	Like	This map does a good job of properly representing both Urban and Rural interests which is what we need in a district map. This map also does a decent job of protecting the Native American voting block, which is incredibly important. This maintains two compact districts that stay within the boundaries they need to without unnecessarily reaching for one border or another as some of these maps do. Ultimately, while not the best plan proposed here, this map would do Montana good.	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-11	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP6	Opinion	Park and Gallatin counties should be together in the same district. They are communities of interest.	Judy Lewis	2021-10-08	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
CP6	Dislike	Not a good choice! Both representives should have the northern border in their district.	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP6	Dislike	Nope, not this map!	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP6	Like	This map is competitive and a good representation of voter interests in the rural and urban areas of the state.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP6	Dislike	This map places emphasis on urban areas verses rural areas	John D Agnew	2021-10-07	johnrozagnew@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP7	Like	I probably like this map the most. It follows historical boundaries to a large degree, shares the Canadian border and splits the fastest growing counties. It is very compact and contiguous.	Jennifer J. Redline	2021-10-16	redjenn227@gmail.com	Superior	Mt

CP7	Opinion	This one is okay but not was good as some of the others like Map 1 but what I like is that it is split east/west, making it easier for the Reps to represent us. I like, like the other east/west splits, that each district borders Canada. I forgot to mention that in the other pro east/west-split districts like Map 1 and 5 and 3. I like that it keeps Flathead together (where we live) but it doesn't include Glacier, which is a similar county). It divides the state in a way that econimcally makes sense in that the businesses of the districts are similar (except that Flathead/Glacier split and maybe part of Bozeman split)...that would make it a lot easier for the Rep. Also, with Canada, as a state, we want two Reps that can benefit MT as a whole in DC...so these East/West split maps are good. It's more about the geographic and business/economics/livelihoods (like farm v tourist) and the state than making it about parties. Please represent us as a whole state and don't make it political. Be FAIR. Thank you :)	Nicole Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP7	Like	1st choice: Map # 7: This provides compact and contiguous districts with a logical eastern boundary between Districts 1(western) and 2 (eastern), largely defined by a prominent geographical feature, the Continental Divide. Population deviation is close to the lowest (1) at 9 people, though the land area and perimeter of the new district is significantly larger. Both districts have borders with Canada and can take advantage of access to and from that country. Minority rights are protected with the inclusion of tribal lands in both districts and communities of interest are protected at the state level with farming predominant in the east and forestry in the west. Tourism and recreation are significant within both districts. Gallatin is the one county to be split between districts and Bozeman is the only city affected, with the I90 interstate being a credible dividing line between the districts.	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP7	Dislike	I do not like this option	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Dislike	Having lived in rural Gallatin County and in Bozeman for a combined 30-year period, I urge the commission not to select map 7 because it divides Gallatin County into two Congressional districts. Even with the diversity that exists in the county, there are many shared interests and commonalities among residents in Gallatin County. This proposed map favors one political party. As the second largest county in the state, the entire county deserves to be in one Congressional district.	Patti Steinmuller	2021-10-16	psteinmul@msn.com	Bozeman	Montana

CP7	Dislike	Good decisions are easy to make when we know we must explain them to our children. I could never explain fairness and justice to my child while endorsing an affront like this to Montana voters. This is absolutely the worst map on the list.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP7	Dislike	Doesn't spread reservations out properly. NO	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Dislike	Breaks up too many counties. NO!	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Dislike	This is by far the worst map. For starters, this map cuts Bozeman at I90 for no apparent reason other than an republican efforts to hack at the powerful voice of this vibrant and growth city. Furthermore, this map clearly violates the objective of not favoring a political party. If these district lines are chosen, the republican party will have two super districts and will have no incentive to consider the opinions of anyone who isn't an ultra-conservative (as is the case now in the legislature). I strongly oppose this district configuration.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP7	Dislike	This results in a biased electoral map that doesn't reflect actual voter opinions	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map splits the state along a line that may look nice, but in fact creates two non-competitive districts, which is not the goal of redistricting. This map is also not supported by the state's tribal communities, and it is easy to see why.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT

CP7	Like	â€“ I like this map because It most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. it is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP7	Like	I like this map because it most closely resembles the historical east west divide. It is also fairly competitive between parties	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana
CP7	Like	I like this map because it most closely resembles our historical divide.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Like	Map 7 â€“ I like this map because â€¢ most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. â€¢ is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). â€¢ keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. â€¢both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. â€¢both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	James Keller	2021-10-16	jimk9901@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP7	Like	I like this map. It follows historical boundaries to a large degree, shares the Canadian border and splits the fastest growing counties. It is very compact and contiguous.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP7	Dislike	This proposal, while compact, does not create any competitive voting districts. It also splits Bozeman in two, which is highly undesirable. Why should your representative change, depending on where you live within Bozeman. Not good.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT
CP7	Opinion	Map 7 â€“ I like this map because It most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. it is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP7	Dislike	This map seems intent on recreating the old district boundaries, a fool's errand. Also, why divide Gallatin County? This map is a blatant attempt at trying to drown out anyone who would like a fair election. We deserve more competitive boundaries in Montana.	Ethan Seiler	2021-10-16	ethanseiler@outlook.com	Missoula	MT
CP7	Dislike	2 R superdistricts	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
CP7	Dislike	Absolutely not. Two GOP super districts	Mitchell Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP7	Dislike	No competitive districts.	Jeff McNeish	2021-10-16	jeff.mcneish@gmail.com	Laurel	MT
CP7	Like	This is a decently drawn map, but prefer map #1 over this.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Opinion	I don't like dividing Bozeman. That does not seem necessary. Otherwise, this is an ok map.	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP7	Dislike	Not competitive	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP7	Dislike	This map splits the community of Bozeman when it is not necessary to do so. It is not competitive, and is weighted to benefit one political party.	Linda G Semones	2021-10-16	lindasemones@hotmail.com	BOZEMAN	MT

CP7	Dislike	Map 7 is NOT competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP7	Like	I like this map because it follows state law, as the districts are compact, contiguous, and allow for both districts to have a border with Canada.	Brandon J DeShaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP7	Dislike	I like the north-south split but dislike that is splits the community of Bozeman	K.Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP7	Like	I like this map because it is tied with CP1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens and population deviation (.0%). It keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin County north of the expressway through Bozeman.	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP7	Like	This isn't the best but it works. It keeps all communities of interest in tact and provides a decently fair split. I do like that each districts are within single digits for both parties. It also prevents total domination of one side politically.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Opinion	This map keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. Both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be and both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP7	Like	Not the best of the east-west splits, but works. Reject the games democrats play. I have lived in democrat-controlled states. They love to gerrymander in order to give control to their party and the federal government. Do not give them an inch. We must reject any proposal by them.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Dislike	This is a truly terrible map. It splits Bozeman and is obviously designed to give one political party a huge advantage.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP7	Like	This is a good map; the Canadian border is represented by both districts, it is compact and contiguous with a .0% population deviation, and it does the best job of keeping the communities of interest in tact. Furthermore, both districts are within single digits for both parties.	Emma Moerman	2021-10-16	moeemm02@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP7	Like	it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman.	karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map does not allow for the representation of all of Montana's diverse population. It divides communities of interest. It will not benefit our democracy.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP7	Like	This is a pretty good map using the mountains to divide the districts. Not the best (CP-1 is the best) but can work.	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP7	Dislike	This map is the very definition of gerrymandering. It was create to divide counties in a way to create long term GOP domination of the federal vote. It is a direct attack on the voting rights of Montanans and should be opposed by any voter, independent of their political affiliation because it creates a clear advantage for one party which cannot be in the interest of any voter.	Roland Hatzenpichler	2021-10-16	rolandhatzenpichler@gmail.com	Bozeman	Mt
CP7	Like	CP7 â€¢ do not like that it splits the City of Bozeman. Would be hard to maintain voter integrity during elections. However, I like that this alternative splits only 1 county. â€¢ This alternative is one I could support along with CP1, CP3, and CP5. My preference would be CP1 followed by CP7. â€¢ like CP1 over this map as the western district in CP1 includes another reservation to maintain more diversity. I think it better meets contiguous and compactness over CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8, and CP9. Like CP1, CP3, and CP5, maintains some East West interests, uses of the land and natural resources, while still maintaining some diversity of interests. This group does not focus on separating out unique areas of urban vs. rural as does CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8, and CP9. â€¢ By carving out areas to favor 1 political party and set of ideology over the other it is not in the best interests of all of Montanans which I feel CP2, CP4, CP6, CP8, and CP9 do.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana

CP7	Like	This would be my third choice as it passes most requirements. It places the voice of our Native American solely on the east side of Montana. Let's be inclusive to all our people by choosing maps #1 or #3.	Heidi Roedel	2021-10-16	roedel@centurylink.net	Kalispell	Montana
CP7	Dislike	This map is an outrageous example of splitting counties and communities, and should be rejected.	Marcia Riesselman	2021-10-16	marciariesselman@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Dislike	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Like	I like this map because it is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%).	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elk-hunting-tips.net	Seeley Lake	Montana
CP7	Opinion	Another least uncompetitive map. (In the same category as 1,3,and 5). Favors Republicans. How about trying to be fair???	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map does not fairly represent both parties - it far favors the R party. This map is also not supported by the tribal communities.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP7	Like	This map seems to be the most obvious choice to me for splitting the state into 2 districts. East and West have the most obvious differences in economies as well as geography. I support this map over all other proposals.	Cavin Steiger	2021-10-16	csteiger04@gmail.com	Forsyth	MT
CP7	Dislike	The map fails to create even one competitive district.	Julia Shaida	2021-10-16	juliashaida1@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Like	-This map most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. It is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Opinion	Not my favorite geographical split, but population disparity is minimal. A clearly superior candidate could win in either district because there is no "democrat dump" of republicans in either district,and only one county split to do it.	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP7	Dislike	Divides a city ... really? NO!	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT

CP7	Dislike	This map divides Bozeman and Gallatin County. That alone should disqualify this map.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Like	I like this map because both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map is divides the City of Bozeman, which cuts off neighbors from one another and removes the possibility of a competitive district. It disenfranchises Montana voters and is blatantly partisan, favoring the Republican party to create a chokehold on Montana for the next ten years.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	Montana
CP7	Like	It most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. it is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
CP7	Like	This is the best map. It meets the requirements the populations are about equal. It runs the full height of the state and follows natural geographic boundaries. It is compact and close to the historical one used for 80 years. Please use this map it meets the requirements and makes the most sense :)	A	2021-10-15	wbsouplbjebhzduhoo@adfskj.com	Billings	MT

CP7	Like	I like this map because It most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. it is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP7	Dislike	This choice is also too split up	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP7	Dislike	I oppose this map as it divides Gallatin County and splits the communities of Bozeman and Big Sky. It also creates two districts that are not competitive. Montanans deserve to keep our communities of interest intact and to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP7	Like	This is the 2ND BEST MAP at meeting the 2021 Montana Legislative HB506, which was signed into law. It has a difference of 5 people between the two districts, is compact and splits only 1 county.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP7	Like	it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP7	Opinion	To add to my above comments, I think Gallatin county should not be split and should be added to district 1.	Deborah Woodahl	2021-10-15	debbiew12020@aol.com	Missoula	MT
CP7	Like	This is the fairest map divided by historically east and west Montana!	Deborah Woodahl	2021-10-15	debbiew12020@aol.com	Missoula	MT

CP7	Dislike	By far, this is the worst map. This map clearly favors one party for both districts, creating two republican super districts. There is no reason to run the divide north to south except to ineffectively hide blatant partisanship. Neither district is competitive and it only benefits the republican party. Just because the state districts used to be cut from north to south doesnâ€™t mean itâ€™s fair or considers the demographic needs of urban voters. Montana has changed considerably in the decades since it last had two U.S. legislative districts.We donâ€™t drive our cars from the rear view mirror and we certainly shouldnâ€™t run our state from a rear view mirror. Not only will it disenfranchise our urban areas, it cuts Bozeman in HALF! Furthermore, Montanaâ€™s Native American tribes do not support this map. I adamantly oppose this map.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP7	Dislike	I am a Montana voter and this map is unfair. This map is not a balanced and should not be used to determine Montana's Congressional districts. It would create non-competitive districts and would not represent equal populations.	Courtney Miranda	2021-10-14	courtney.miranda13@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Opinion	1.Division by natural boundaries. Pass 2.Division by population. Pass 3.Division by exterior border with Canada. Pass 4.Division by county representation. Pass 5.Division by Indian population. Fail 6.Division by Urban/Rural population. Pass 7.Division by Commerce. Pass 8.Division by Tourist Trades. Pass 9.Division by political parties. Scale 1-5 (best) = 4	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT

CP7	Like	Map 7 â€“ I like this map because ï‚· It most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. ï‚· it is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). ï‚· it keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. ï‚· both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. ï‚· both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œccompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP7	Like	this map is similar to CP-1 - being a compact and contiguous map, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%)	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana
CP7	Like	I like this map because it most closely resembles the historical east west divide Montana had for 80 years, with the north south line actually being the Continental Divide for most of its length. It is tied with CP-1 for being the most compact and contiguous map in the mix, weighing in at just 9 citizens in population deviation (.0%). It keeps all communities of interest intact the very best, with farming in the east and forestry in the west, splitting only Gallatin county north of the expressway through Bozeman. Both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. Both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Like	I am fond of this map and like CP-1, it is a compact and contiguous map. This map has farming in the east and forestry in the west and shares the boundary with Canada. The North South boundary following the continental divide is similar to the familiar boundary we had years ago.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-14	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana

CP7	Like	both districts have shared borders with Canada, as it should be. both of these districts are within single digits for either party based upon the 2016 Governor and the 2018 US Senate races data, creating the second best â€œcompetitive mapâ€ for both districts.	Natalie A	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Opinion	I like this map with the exception of Gallatin CO - The county SHOULD NOT be split - just make it part of the district 1	Terry Apa	2021-10-13	apa7064@icloud.com	Billings	MT
CP7	Dislike	Map CP 7 splits the tribal vote and it promotes voter suppression for other counties. Map CP 7 divides representation so that too many voters have no say in who will be elected because whoever runs for a specific party automatically wins. Map CP 7 is not a viable choice for Montana voters and does not follow voter rights guaranteed by the Constitution or Commission goals.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP7	Dislike	Very much dislike splitting Gallatin County. Would prefer to have Park County, which is more oriented to Gallatin County, included in District 1.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt
CP7	Dislike	This map is a plan drawn to unduly favor the Republican Party and eliminate competition in our state so they can send someone to Congress who lives in Santa Barbara instead of Montana.	Shelby Fisher	2021-10-12	shelby.fisher.mt@gmail.com	Ronan	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map creates two districts that fail to properly cater to rural or urban interests as should be the objective of our redistricting efforts. The consequences of the lack of separation would be substantial and would mean the representatives elected would be representing districts that they can't fully represent. A better map would separate the urban and rural interests and therefore guarantee that elected representatives can fully represent more of their district. Arbitrary lines such as this are a bad match for Montanans	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-11	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map creates two districts that fail to properly cater to rural or urban interests as should be the objective of our redistricting efforts. The consequences of the lack of separation would be substantial, and would mean the representatives elected would be representing districts that they can't fully represent. A better map would separate the urban and rural interests and therefore guarantee that elected representatives can fully represent more of their district. I would not recommend these districts for the next 10 years.	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-11	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP7	Dislike	This map splits Gallatin county and communities of interest. It does not address the demographic changes in population in Montana over the last 10 years. It is not competitive.	Judy Lewis	2021-10-08	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
CP7	Like	This map makes sense. The district boundary is logical and treats people equally. It is not based on the racist presumption that all voters of color are democrats.	Andy Fisher	2021-10-08	akfisher@montana.com	Arlee	MT
CP7	Dislike	This map is NOT competitive and will disproportionately favor republicans/ conservatives and undermine voters of color.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	This is downright gerrymandering at it's best...as in WORST FOR THE STATE. One doesn't border Canada. It does not represent us. And, artistically, it looks like a muscle arm. I mean, I like Rosie the Riveter, we could think of it like that, but I doubt that she's into gerrymandering. Are you? Please don't be. Please be FAIR and do an east/west split that actually represents the people of MT fairly and makes it easy for each Rep to do a great job! Thank you :)	Nicole J Schubert	2021-10-16	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP8	Dislike	This is not a good idea, not fair	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Like	Map #8: While this has a low deviation of 1, the shape of this district division is neither compact nor contiguous. The western district (1) looks like an upside down handgun. The western district would more properly be described as the southern district here and would have no direct access to the profitable Canadian border, or to Idaho, while the Eastern District (2) would have access to the Dakotas, Wyoming and Idaho.	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP8	Like	This map is the only map that offers one competitive voting district and earns the support of the Tribal communities. This map is the most respectful choice and I support it.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP8	Like	I favor this map because it maintains the shared interests of the stateâ€™s two large universities in one district, maintains Gallatin County, where I live, entirely in one district, and has received positive feedback from tribal communities. Additionally, this map meets the goal two districts of equal population and one of the two districts as politically competitive.	Patti Steinmuller	2021-10-16	psteinmul@msn.com	Bozeman	Montana

CP8	Dislike	This map is ridiculous! The reason we are getting two Legislative Districts is because the size of population and area. It is hard for a Representative to represent that many people in that many miles. It is 800 miles from Ekalaka to Troy. We are cutting the number of people, why not the miles? We have heard some noise about competitive districts which was never the Founding Fathers intent. They wanted the districts to be representative.	Steve Hinebauch	2021-10-16	stevehinebauch@midrivers.com	Wibaux	MT
CP8	Like	Aweful!! Breaks up in a weird way.	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	I oppose this map. It puts 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the same district.	Joseph D. Coco	2021-10-16	joe@coco-ent.com	Whitefish	MT
CP8	Like	This is a good map!! It creates one truly competitive district that will require candidates to be responsive to all the constituents regardless of party affiliation. The Native American communities support this map because it gives them a voice in both districts. I also support keeping Gallatin and Park counties in the same district. This is my first choice of all the maps.	Edward Merle Wrzesinski	2021-10-16	emwrzes@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP8	Like	This is one of the options that is fair.	Loren Dunk	2021-10-16	dunkman68@yahoo.com	Power	Montana
CP8	Like	This is a good, representative map.	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT

CP8	Dislike	â€“ I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering!	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map especially because it places 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map is an attempt to create a Democratic super district in the West. While it attempts to place two tribes in the West, their is no commonality of interest between the SKCT and the Crow. It also fails the compact and contiguous requirement and commonality of interest.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP8	Like	This map has not only provides for an equal population split in the state, but it is also approved by Montana's tribal communities and allows for at least one equally competitive district in the state. Too bad we can't have two of them, but our state reflects a lot of the same rural-urban divide seen across the country. At least with this map, everyone in the state gets a solid chance of being represented in Congress, which is what we all should want, regardless of party.	Danette Seiler	2021-10-16	diva_dynamite@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map is gerrymandering nirvana. It creates a Democratic super district in the West and Republican in the East. One party primaries will be the only campaigns respectively. It fails the compact and contiguous parameter royally. It splits Billings and essentially places 3 of the top 4 growth counties in one district.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP8	Dislike	Map 8 â€“ I dislike this map because â€¢ It is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). â€¢ It has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. â€¢ This map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). â€¢ This map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. â€¢ With the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	James Keller	2021-10-16	jimk9901@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

CP8	Dislike	I dislike and strongly oppose this CP 8 gerrymandered map. This grossly reckless map denies two proper and historical east/west voting districts. It DOESN'T even resemble having them. It weirdly splits a lower, winged outer middle section into a "western" voting District 1 and large portion of the upper, middle and eastern/western outer areas of Montana into a "eastern" voting District 2. This strangely proposed map is grossly disproportionate in two major ways: the physical areas of the both proposed districts and it has five of the big cities including Missoula, Helena, Butte, Billings and Bozeman in voting District 1 and only Kalispell and Great Falls in voting District 2. A big NO on this one.	Michelle Daniels	2021-10-16	michelle.daniels40@gmail.com	Whitefish	Montana
CP8	Like	This map allows for a competitive race and that is fair. Both parties should be allowed to have a fighting chance in this state. The tribes should also have some power politically. I am tired of rarely being represented in Montana.	Rochelle Dunk	2021-10-16	rdunk56@yahoo.com	Power	MT
CP8	Like	This map creates two equal and competitive districts, which I like. It splits a lot of counties, with some making sense and others maybe not. Better than some of the proposals, but not perfect.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT

CP8	Dislike	Map 8 â€“ I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP8	Like	This is the best map option!	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
CP8	Like	A good map with a good split between parties and fair to the tribes	Mitchell Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP8	Like	This map is the fairest of the proposals and creates the most competitive district. It represents all of the constituencies, urban, rural and Native American. Number 8 is by far the best option.	Connie Ostrovsky	2021-10-16	conobozo@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	Very poorly drawn. Obvious gerrymandering.	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Opinion	I don't like splitting the city of Billings for no reason. I like it that it keeps the reservations intact, but I guess the only way to do that is to split a county, which does not sit well.	Linda Kenoyer	2021-10-16	lindakenoyer@hotmail.com	Livingston	MT

CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it splits the city of Billings as well as Sanders County along the Flathead Reservation. Even though it is highly competitive, and doesn't favor either political party. Those commenters who dislike this map because of the Billings split, but who supported map 7 which splits Bozeman and Gallatin County are being two faced, partial and hypocritical. (There are 9. I counted them) Major county seats simply should not be split.	Linda G Semones	2021-10-16	lindasemones@hotmail.com	BOZEMAN	MT
CP8	Like	Best choice	Megan Agnew	2021-10-16	Sitaselin@yahoo.com	Billings	Montana
CP8	Like	Map 8 is population equal and competitive.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT
CP8	Like	I like this map because it is competitive and reflects good representation	Lora Wier	2021-10-16	lorawier@outlook.com	Choteau	MT
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it breaks state law. The districts are not compact, not contiguous, and do not allow for both districts to have a border with Canada. It just looks like something is wrong with it, don't you think? There are parts of the districts that creep around in a strange way. No good east/west divide. I think there is a term for this tap of map -- gerrymandered.	Brandon J DeShaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP8	Opinion	This is the map that is best in creating a competitive, fair district for both parties, there are Tribal communities in both Districts giving the reservations full participation. It does not favor either political party as do maps 1,3,5 & 7.	Kaye D Suzuki	2021-10-16	kksukimt@gmail.com	Ennis	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map is a gerrymandering nightmare. Not compact and dividing communities with common interests. Reject!	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP8	Dislike	What does a farmer from Plentywood have inn common with a logger from Libby all in the same district? Clearly a gerrymandered mess. Some sort of north to south division is best	K. Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP8	Dislike	This is another terrible terrible map (number 8 terrible) it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, list with the only legal component being population deviation as one citizen (.0%)	C&evets	2021-10-16	584blondi@gmail.com	Kila	MT

CP8	Like	I agree with Janet Childress. The number 8 map is the best for creating a competitive congressional district. It is highly competitive and fair. It allows ALL voices to be heard which should be the primary goal in redistricting. It also (along with maps 6 and 2) does not unduly favor one political party.	Janet Maul-Smith	2021-10-16	janmaulsmith@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP8	Dislike	Horrible map that shows it was proposed with sneaky political intentions from the democratic side. Like others have said, this puts all of the fastest growing counties in one district which would ultimately give one party (democrats) likely more control. I 100% reject this map as it does not have the citizens best interests at heart for the next 10 years.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Like	This seems like a fairer split, numbers wise, of the population of Montana	Dolores Andersen	2021-10-16	dodieinmont@msn.com	Missoula	Montana
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). This is another fault of having the same people serving on the commission. If you wish hard enough, you may get your way. It has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT

CP8	Dislike	Rejected. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values. If you want those values and mountains, CA still has plenty of space.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Like	This is the best option because it is the most fair to both political parties and to the tribes.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP8	Like	This map seems fair and competitive. It has tribal support. I am in favor of this version.	Marilee B Ramsell	2021-10-16	mramsell@charter.net	Lakeside	MT
CP8	Dislike	it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	Karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Like	This map provides for a competitive district, and keeps communities of interest whole. It will d	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP8	Dislike	Again, one representative to cover both the eastern AND western borders of the state??? Come on! Reject this map for this reason alone!	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana

CP8	Dislike	CP8 â€¢ This map displays an intent to carve out a district to favor one ideology/political party representation. Similarly, as in CP2, CP4, CP8 and CP9 just different boundaries. â€¢ do not like that is puts most of the fastest growing counties in 1 district, this obviously would not be in the best interest for all Montanans. â€¢ This alternative like others would make it harder for the representative for District 2 to travel and work with his/her constituents. Like CP2, CP4, CP6, and CP9 it falls short in meeting contiguous and compactness vs. CP1, CP3, CP5 and CP7. â€¢ Focusing on separating out same interests to have a competitive edge means more divineness and stalemate back in Washington. We need to have districts that represent all interests to the best we can and I feel this alternative would not accomplish this. â€¢ cannot support this alternative. Splits Billings to capture a competitive edge, WOW is all I can say as a Billings resident.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana
CP8	Dislike	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth.	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT
CP8	Dislike	Totally not compact! This puts all the fastest growing counties in one district. Communities with common interests are divided for clearly political reasons.	James Gomolka	2021-10-16	realfoodwins-1@yahoo.com	Proctor	MT
CP8	Opinion	#8. This is the best map for creating at least one competitive congressional district. It is highly competitive and fair. It allows ALL voices to be heard which should be the primary goal in redistricting. It also (along with maps 6 and 2) does not unduly favor one political party.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT

CP8	Like	This map provides fair representation for several underrepresented voter groups, which is vital in representing the diverse people and ideas of this state. 1- It keeps the universities grouped, giving young people a stronger unified voice and making their vote count. 2- It is favored by tribal governments because of the voting power tribal communities have in the southern district. 3- It keeps the I-90 corridor intact, containing most major cities in order to accurately represent urban ideas in the southern district and rural in the northern district. Further, the I-90 corridor has distinct industries and an economy different from that of the rural communities better represented in the northern district.	Isaac Nehring	2021-10-16	isaacnehringmt@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP8	Like	This map looks fairly divided to me and my understanding is that this map is supported by tribal communities.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP8	Like	Many comment on this map looking "gerrymandered." This map seeks to create at least one competitive congressional district in Montana. There is diversity in Montana, between urban and rural, progressive and conservative, and all people and the variety of interests in our state, deserve representation. Dividing the urban vote between East and West, as many of the maps do, leaves those voters under-represented.	Julia Shaida	2021-10-16	juliashaida1@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map is terrible - the whole Canadian border and most of the Idaho border are represented by a single district. It also splits communities of interest in the West. Furthermore, splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This means that almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state are in one district, and there is no way for it to be fair with the potential growth.	Emma Nicole Moerman	2021-10-15	moeemm02@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Like	This is my first choice. This is map has one competitive voting district. This would require the candidates of the 1st district to seriously listen to the concerns of all their constituents, not just the constituents that share their party affiliation. For the State of Montana as a whole, it is competitive and provides for good representation of voter interests in both the rural and urban areas of the state. It has the support of the Montana tribal community.	Sandra Baril	2021-10-15	sandrabaril@yahoo.com	Sheridan	MT

CP8	Dislike	-This map has all the failures of CP-2. This map is the worst violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. -This map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years. -This map places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map fails on multiple fronts. The Canadian border and essentially the Idaho border are in one district as are 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties. It fails at compact and contigious and commonality of interest. It also splits three counties and The City of Billings. While it puts two tribes in the west, the crow and CSKT have never been in the same community of interest. Sad attempt at vailed gerrymandering.	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Like	It is important that we do not leave out targeted communities when selecting a map. This map is fair, competitive, and is one of only two options that contain at least two tribal nations. I hope the commission selects either this map or number 4.	Laurel Hesse	2021-10-15	laurel.hesse@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP8	Dislike	I do not favor this map because it is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years and it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that reconciles over the decade for being fair in regard to potential growth. This map also violates the requirement of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP8	Dislike	Another reason not to accept this map is here you have three counties being split into two districts. There has to be a better way.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT

CP8	Like	After careful consideration, I would like to change my comments about map 8 that I uploaded to the map site. I would like to change from dislike to like. Many voters were not represented in the 2011 redistricting. Looking again at all of the maps, I see that map 8 is competitive and is in no way gerrymandering. Maps 1, 3, 5, and 7 favor the same party in both districts which is the definition of gerrymandering and would disenfranchise voters again. Map 8 includes intact tribal reservations in both districts. Map 8 would require candidates in both districts to earn votes and be accountable to voters and not just sign up to run and be automatically elected because of the predominance of one party in the district. There is no large difference in population between the districts (1 person). The growth centers Great Falls, Flathead Valley, Bitterroot Valley, Heights in Billings in District 2 and Bozeman, Missoula and West Billings in District 1 are evenly divided between the districts and should maintain a similar population deviation for the next 10 years. The reservations are intact and are evenly divided in both districts. This is the 21st century and east/west boundaries no longer serve Montanans because of population changes. It is time to think out of the box with no gerrymandering and choose a competitive map that meets the Commission goals and that satisfies the Constitution with voters needs at the forefront. With this submission Map 8 is my number 1 choice.	Sue Beland	2021-10-15	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP8	Opinion	WOW!, who had the "stones" to propose this little "jewel"? Must have been NANCY PELOSI ! You could put a picture of this map next to the word "GERRYMANDER" in the dictionary and EVERYONE in MT. would know exactly what you're talking about! Yet another dump of Republicans into the "everywhere else" district AND you split 4 counties to do it. Have you no shame?	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP8	Dislike	Even though this map meets or comes close to the criteria, it looks strange. It looks Gerrymandered. And by the way, who said that you need both districts to come into contact with the Canadian Border?	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT

CP8	Dislike	This map divides counties and puts Cascade County into District 1. Cascade County has more in common with the west than the east. It is a purple county and deserves to be able to have competitive races.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP8	Like	I appreciate this map because it is equal population and creates a competitive district. I also like it because urban areas are kept together that share common interests as well as face common issues. It will provide all Montana voters the opportunity to be heard by candidates and representatives.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west.	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP8	Like	I appreciate that this map honors an even and equitable split across our diverse population. Additionally, it provides more emphasis on our Native population, who are so often left behind.	Sarah Marker	2021-10-15	sarahemarker@gmail.com	Frenchtown	MT
CP8	Dislike	Please reject this farce of a map. Its rediculous gerrymandering. It goes to great lengths to make no sense except to trap all high growth areas into one mishapen mess. Its clearly designed with one purpose to disinfrancise most of montanans in favor of a tiny democratic superpower. Its not compact does reach the borders of the state evenly. Doesn't have both sides reaching canada for economic equity and doesn't follow the natural geographic boundaries. Its a mess of a gerrymandering game of twister to try and create a democratic superblock. Please reject this map as it doesn't meet the requirements set forth.	A	2021-10-15	wbsouplbjebhzduhoo@adfskj.com	Billings	MT

CP8	Dislike	it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana

CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP8	Dislike	Too split up	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT
CP8	Like	It has almost all the failures of the CP-2 map and is once again another violation of the legal requirements of compact and contiguous. Even though it complies with a population deviation of 1 citizen (.0%), you have to drive through the other district to get to Mineral and Sanders counties.	jerelyn sandtner	2021-10-15	jwsandtner@gmail.com	kila	montana
CP8	Like	I support this map as the populations are equal in population (as practicable) and it creates one district that is competitive. Montanans deserve to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP8	Dislike	it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west.	Lindsey Mishler	2021-10-15	lnmishler@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana

CP8	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map FAILS to meet that criteria. It splits 4 counties and fails to meet the compactness criteria very badly. It has a "U" shape district and a "M" shape district, superimposed on top of the "U" shape. This map has the distinction of being the MOST in violation of compact & contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the West.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP8	Like	The population is equal in both districts and I like the idea of splitting Billings between the two districts. Billings is Montana's largest city and I think it should influence both districts.	Jeannine Cozzens	2021-10-15	jmcozzens@gmail.com	Billings	MT
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is gerrymandered and it has all of the failures of CP-2. This map is the most in violation of compact and contiguous as well as splitting communities of interest in the west. This map is the most radically different from our historical divisions of 80 years. This would put two tribes in the west, but the CSKT and the Crow have never been in the same community of interest.	Deborah M Wilson	2021-10-15	dmwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP8	Dislike	this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest. this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	Obvious Gerrymandering.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP8	Dislike	Gerrymandering again, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west.	Al Wilson	2021-10-15	acwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT

CP8	Like	This map keeps communities of interest together - especially tribal communities, university towns and those along the 1-90 corridor. As a resident of Park County, I think it's important for us to be partnered with Gallatin County as (whether we like it or not) their county impacts ours a great deal. Likewise, I think it's important for us to be connected to other communities along the I-90 corridor and along the southern rail line. We are economically and logistically connected because of this transportation infrastructure.	Kate French	2021-10-15	kfrench406@gmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP8	Like	I like this map. It encourages candidates to consider all constituents. It provides better emphasis for native populations.	Alice Millard	2021-10-15	alice.millard@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP8	Like	This is the only map that meets my criteria for at least one competitive voting district and Montana tribal support. It has the potential to give the Crow Reservation and the CSKT a stronger voice in the House of Representatives. This map will also require the candidates of the 1st district to seriously listen to the concerns of all their constituents, not just the constituents that share their party affiliation. Itâ€™s easy to see why the Montana tribal community supports this map as they will not be ignored as they have been. I strongly support Map #8.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP8	Like	I like this map, it forces the politicians to have to deal with others outside their base, the political lefties don't want to talk to the prairie folks, and the righties don't want to talk to the mountain folks. I don't like the gerrymandering along the Idaho border, plus the fact that the fast growing counties are in it. I fail to see the importance of having full north/south borders districts. I think that this proposal would force political extremists to have to function together and pay attention to all their constituents. For example only a couple of Democrats have shown any support for Colstrip, our senior senator Tester and our Rosebud/Bighorn "D" reps never attended any meetings on mine/ power plants affecting their constituents. Whether you like us or not, we are entitled to representation. This split might help address that or similar issues	Lawrence Peters	2021-10-15	debnpete83@gmail.com	Park City	MT

		1. Division by natural boundaries. Fail 2. Division by population. Pass 3. Division by exterior border with Canada. Fail. 4. Division by county representation. Fail. 5. Division by Indian population. Pass 6. Division by Urban/Rural population. Fail 7. Division by Commerce. Fail 8. Division by Tourist Trades. Fail 9. Division by political parties. Fail This mess (map)deserves almost no comment...definitely designed for one specific purpose...party superiority. Comments that this map pits rural against urban areas and college educated against people of trades and service shows how this map makes people believe that it divides our population in extreme ways that will reduce the strength of our state. Most every county has urban (any collection of people in small areas) and rural (farms, ranches, forestry, outdoor sporting). Why would anyone in this state comment in such a way? We are Montana!					
CP8	Dislike		Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT
CP8	Like	This is a good map which keeps communities of interest together.	Thomas Cuezze	2021-10-14	tcuezze@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP8	Like	The problem with all of these proposed congressional district maps is that there doesn't seem to be any realistic way to avoid pitting the rural east and north against the more urban college-educated southwest of the state. That being said, my preference for drawing the line is contained in map # 8. First, I think it is essential, to the extent possible, to make the districts competitive. The state as a whole tends to vote quite conservatively in its national elections but more moderately in local elections. Split party ballots are not uncommon. It is certainly conceivable that the #8 redistricting could result in a Democrat being elected from the SW District and a Republican being elected, consistently, from the other District. These Representatives' votes in Congress could well cancel a unified vote from Montana, but at least us Democrat-leaning voters would feel represented (as opposed to the current representative). The map, I think, also divides Tribal voters into the two districts with some semblance of fairness. The commission's self-established criteria seem to be fair. I sincerely hope its collective goal is to re-district lines as fairly as possible for all Montanans and without regard for potential party-line outcomes.	Steve Hanson	2021-10-14	sahanson49@gmail.com	Red Lodge	MT

CP8	Dislike	Map 8 â€“ I dislike this map because ï‚· it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). ï‚· it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. ï‚· this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). ï‚· this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. ï‚· with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP8	Dislike	(hit the wrong button - I dislike this map)... This map placesalmost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that evenpencils out over the decade for being fair with the future growth.	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana
CP8	Like	This map placesalmost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that evenpencils out over the decade for being fair with the future growth.	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana
CP8	Dislike	This is a terrible district with peninsulas wrap arounds and populations being categorized. It has a large population separation and splits 4 counties. It is not a good division for the state.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana

CP8	Like	This map gives the urban voters a chance to be heard, and is one of just two proposals that the tribes support. I have no idea why so many think that our districts need to both touch the Canadian border, as that is irrelevant to elections in the U.S. Perhaps that is simply a convenient way to reject a map that finally gives urban voices a chance to be heard.	SHIRLEY N ATKINS	2021-10-14	zoolmntree@gmail.com	MISSOULA	MT - Montana
CP8	Like	Can you say gerrymandering at its worst ! It violates the contiguous requirement worse than all the other maps. In addition it places 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in one district.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	I dislike this map. The gerrymandering is obvious and restricts the Canadian border to only one district. It is bizarre and in no way represents the requirement of being compact and contiguous. The radical attempt of putting the 4 fastest growing counties into one district is an obvious attempt to favor future elections.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-14	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana
CP8	Dislike	This one is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). It has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. This one is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). This map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth.	Mike Schauf	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula	MT
CP8	Dislike	It is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). â€¢This map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth.	Natalie Adams	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	Does not evenly represent Montana, creates a divide that does does not need to be there.	justin w cleveland	2021-10-13	huskers@3rivers.net	Fairfield	MT

CP8	Dislike	Map CP 8 splits too many counties which in turn splits people who work from where they work and live. Both places are crucial to voters and affect their lives. It would mean they have 2 different representatives and voters could not vote for where they work. This map splits the tribal vote. Map CP 8 is not a viable choice for Montana voters.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP8	Dislike	Counties should not be split, and this one splits 4 of them, including Missoula. District 2 would have a very hard time representing, and getting to, both the eastern and western parts of the district. Disagree that districts should share a northern border.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt
CP8	Like	This map is competitive and a good representation of voter interests in the rural and urban areas of the state. It also divides north and south unlike how we are often divided.	Christian Black	2021-10-12	blackak@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map is balanced population-wise, at the moment of the census and seemingly competitive party-wise. However it splits too many counties, marginalizes the American Indian vote by splitting it, and assigns a significant fraction of the communities along the Idaho border to "Eastern Montana."	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP8	Dislike	I admit, I had some trouble telling which party stood behind each map, but this one takes the cake (so far...I'm not done going through them all). Big Horn and Yellowstone should remain together, they are Yin to the Yang.	Lin Dsay	2021-10-12	Lindsay3979@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map takes an unnecessarily complicated approach for a decidedly bad result. This splits the Native American vote, separating this crucial voting block nearly down the middle, in a way that will disenfranchise this group. They currently stand to gain influence that would allow them to improve their situation or find a representative to fight for them in congress. When we split their vote, it becomes increasingly less likely their voices are heard in conflict with the overwhelming majoritys in their districts.	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-11	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8	Dislike	This map blatantly splits Missoula county straight down the center, cutting the city of Missoula, the cultural and economic hub of the region, apart from neighboring towns (many of which house more and more commuters to the city proper). Rising housing costs shouldn't force us to both commute further AND be unable to vote for our own representation.	Kim Kresan	2021-10-09	capsize.beautiful@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP8	Dislike	Both representatives should have the Northern border in their districts.	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP8	Like	This map is competitive and a good representation of voter interests in the rural and urban areas of the state.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP9	Dislike	No, thank you. More Rosie the Riverter arm that is not at all representing MT at it's best. Make it easy on the Reps. No gerrymandering. You can't honestly say that this isn't. Please be FAIR and represent us as a whole. Thank you :)	Nicole J Schubert	2021-10-17	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP9	Dislike	I do not like this idea	Geof Gratny	2021-10-16	GGRATNY@GMAIL.COM	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Opinion	Map #9: This is another approach to making the Western District (1) an enclave, such as #2 and #6, surrounded by the Eastern District (2). While the Western District is compact and contiguous the Eastern District is widely dispersed, has the majority of the landmass of the state and encompasses communities of widely different interests. This plan satisfies few of the mandatory criteria and goals.	Marc L Sabin	2021-10-16	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
CP9	Dislike	Keep it simple. This map is not endorsed by Tribal communities and lacks competitiveness. It is not fair. I do not support this map.	Maria Loeza	2021-10-16	gabyhidde@gmail.com	Helena	MT
CP9	Dislike	I oppose this map. Prima facie there appears to be no fair-minded justification for this option.	Joseph D. Coco	2021-10-16	joe@coco-ent.com	Whitefish	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map is ridiculous! The reason we are getting two Legislative Districts is because the size of population and area. It is hard for a Representative to represent that many people in that many miles. It is 800 miles from Ekalaka to Troy. We are cutting the number of people, why not the miles? We have heard some noise about competitive districts which was never the Founding Fathers intent. They wanted the districts to be representative.	Steve Hinebauch	2021-10-16	stevehinebauch@midrivers.com	Wibaux	MT
CP9	Dislike	NO!!	Joi Gratny	2021-10-16	jgratny@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	This results in a biased electoral map that doesn't reflect actual voter opinions	Wendy Parciak	2021-10-16	wendy@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT

CP9	Dislike	I dislike and strongly oppose this gerrymandered CP 9 map for many reasons. Firstly, it violates Federal Election Law by not including a Tribal Nation in the new western District 1. It clearly denies a logical and historical and east/west divide of the two proposed voting districts. Both districts are grossly disproportionate. Lastly, this proposed map unfairly lumps five major cities of Bozeman, Helena, Butte, Missoula and Great Falls into western District 1 and Kalispell and Billings and all four Tribal Reservations into eastern District 2. What's is the point of considering the proposed CP 9 map if it excludes fair and balanced representation AND violates Federal law? In conclusion to reviewing all of these proposed maps, I like and approve of CP 1.	Michelle Daniels	2021-10-16	michelle.daniels40@gmail.com	Whitefish	Montana
CP9	Dislike	â€“ I dislike this map because it is another example of gerrymandering prowess, with the only legal component being the population deviation is 1 citizen (.0%). it has all the failures of CP-2, with the added insult of having just one seat border Canada and surprisingly, most of Idaho as well! This map does have the distinction of being the most in violation of compact and contiguous, as well as totally splitting communities of interest in the west. this map is the most radically different from our historical division of 80 years, and although it is an attempt to put two tribes in the west, it is the CSKT and the Crow, which have never been in the same community of interest (coal anyone?). this map literally splits the city of Billings from the West End, the Heights and Lockwood. This fiasco places almost all 3 of the 4 fastest growing counties in the state in one district, and there is no way that even pencils out over the decade for being fair with the potential growth. with the measure of competitiveness, this map gets the award for the most Super Democrat district in the new west, and the most super district for the Republicans in the east. These are double digit differences, so a sure lock for both parties.	Jean Keller	2021-10-16	jeankeller66@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has all the failures of CP-2 and does not meet any of the Montana requirements.	Catherine McWilliam	2021-10-16	chaynkt@sailingesprit.com	Hamilton	Montana

CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map. It has no tribal nations in the new western seat.	Jan Finkle	2021-10-16	jabsog001@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map is a violation of Federal Election law with no tribal nations in the Western district. It once again creates a Democratic super district in the new Western district. It fails on compact and contiguous and commonality of interest parameters as well.	Ann Ingram	2021-10-16	anningram58@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP9	Dislike	Map 9 â€“ I dislike this map because â€¢ has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double-digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. â€¢ is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	James Keller	2021-10-16	jimk9901@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Like	I like this map in that it creates two equal districts and provides for a competitive district. It only splits one county, and does not split it right through a metropolitan area. It is very compact. The main downside of this map is that all of the reservations end up on one district.	Kristi DuBois	2021-10-16	kdubois@montana.com	Missoula	MT

CP9	Dislike	Map 9 â€“ I dislike this map because it has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double-digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	Terry Ewing	2021-10-16	ewing196@gmail.com	Kalispell	Mt
CP9	Dislike	Not great voter distribution	Cammie Edgar	2021-10-16	edgar3mt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
CP9	Dislike	Two GOP super districts	Mitchell Edgar	2021-10-16	edgarm1710@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP9	Dislike	Ridiculous map. Can't believe it is even one of the options!	Connie Rader	2021-10-16	raderconnie@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	This is a ridiculous map! It violates the law state law the districts are not compact not contiguous and do not allow for both districts to have a border with Canada it has no historic East/West divide of Montana	C lester	2021-10-16	584blondi@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP9	Dislike	â€¢ obviously a violation of compact â€¢ is in violation of Federal Election Law because it has no tribal nations in the new western seat.	Paul Ellis	2021-10-16	ellispaul4@aol.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	This is a very Ridiculous map. It violates the state law. The districts are not compact and not contigious it does not allow for both districts to have a border with Canada. It has no historic East/West divide of Montana	C lester	2021-10-16	584blondi@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP9	Like	Map 9 is population equal and competitive. Gallatin and Park Counties are in the same District, honoring their close relationship.	Gail Waldby	2021-10-16	gwaldby@pat7.com	Livingston	MT

CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it violates state law. The districts are not compact, not contiguous, and do not allow for both districts to have a border with Canada. It has no historic east/west divide of Montana.	Brandon DeShaw	2021-10-16	brandondeshaw@gmail.com	Butte	MT
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be "the most likely to be rejected first at the next redistricting meeting."	Elizabeth A Hoffa	2021-10-16	lisahoffa@verizon.net	Helena	MT
CP9	Dislike	Again another gerrymandered mess. Keep voters with common interest together. East side district and west side districts suit the population representation best	K. Brad Lotton	2021-10-16	bradlotton@yahoo.com	Havre	MT
CP9	Dislike	Another horrible map. This is another attempt to keep large populations together for democratic gain in the next ten years. REJECT. This does not have the states best interest in mind.	Ashley Noonan	2021-10-16	ashley.noonan20@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map should be automatically rejected as it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	Elizabeth Ries	2021-10-16	jonandbethries@gmail.com	East Helena	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map favors one political party over the other, is unfair to tribes, and splits one county. The only thing is has going for it is that one district is compact, but the other isn't, and it's overall not competitive.	William D. Bain Jr.	2021-10-16	will@monkeyflower.net	Missoula	MT
CP9	Dislike	Rejected. This is a ridiculous attempt by democrats to keep their large populations of two counties together by gerrymandering our state into something they can control. Reject this and their CA values. If you want those values and mountains, CA still has plenty of space.	Michael Noonan	2021-10-16	mjn687@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT

CP9	Dislike	it has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double-digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat.	Karen Cramer	2021-10-16	karen@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Opinion	Dividing counties is not ideal. Still, this map keeps communities of interest whole and provides at least an opportunity for the interests of Montana's diverse population to be represented.	jasmine krotkov	2021-10-16	jasminekrotkov4mt@gmail.com	Neihart	MT
CP9	Dislike	Another map with one district covering the eastern and western borders of the state? This is INSANE! REJECT, REJECT, REJECT!	Thomas Millett	2021-10-16	simplytom65@yahoo.com	Marion	Montana
CP9	Like	I support map CP9 because it keeps communities of like interests and economies together, is contiguous, and would allow for competitiveness between parties in at least one district.	Don Booth	2021-10-16	donbooth10@gmail.com	bozeman	MT

CP9	Dislike	CP9 â€¢ do not like that all reservations are in 1 district. This alternative displays the ultimate of carving out a republican and a democrat district in perpetuity or at least for the next decade. â€¢ Similar obvious splitting of districts to favor one political party/ideology as in CP2, CP4, CP6, and CP8 just different lines. â€¢ We need to have districts that do not give a competitive advantage to one party over the other. Both should be able to compete in both districts. This alternative would likely result in one republican representative and one democrat representative, which under the current political nature in Washington one would cancel the other out and the interests of all Montanans would be marginalized. Competitive districts for both parties need to occur so elected representatives from either political party would work for interests of all Montanans. CP1, CP3, CP5, and CP7 would better meet this goal. â€¢ cannot support this alternative.	Dennis Sandbak	2021-10-16	dsandbak@msn.com	BILLINGS	Montana
CP9	Dislike	This map makes it very difficult for future candidates to run for office.	Heidi Roedel	2021-10-16	roedel@centurylink.net	Kalispell	Montana
CP9	Like	The only deviation from county borders is to keep the Flathead Reservation in one district, preserving the strength of this minority voice.	Marcia Riesselman	2021-10-16	marciariesselman@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	I believe 1,3 or 5 are the best choices and I would be fine with any of them. Since moving to Kalispell in 2005 I have always heard Montana referred to in terms of Western and Eastern, never North and South. Maps 2 or 4 should be in the dictionary as an example of gerrymander. 6, 7, 8 and 9 are not much better. Obvious attempts to create a Democrat District ignoring the historical way Montanans think of the state.	Mark Allred	2021-10-16	mtbaldeagle@protonmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	David Rowell	2021-10-16	dave@elkhuntingtips.net	Seeley Lake	MT

CP9	Dislike	No tribal nations in the western district. Might not hold up against the legal requirements. One district is compact but the other is not. There are options that better fit the established criteria.	James Gomolka	2021-10-16	realfoodwins-1@yahoo.com	Proctor	MT
CP9	Opinion	#9 Yet another map that favors one party, and, it is the same party favored by maps 1,3,5, and 7(the least competitive of all the maps). Keeping a Republican iron grip on the state seems to be a recurring theme.	Janet L Childress	2021-10-16	ocjcinmt@aol.com	Helena	MT
CP9	Dislike	This is a blatant attempt by the democrats to gerrymander two distinct districts - one red and one blue. It provides one compact district that would lean heavily democratic and one large district spanning the entire Canadian border and reaching over 700 road miles from NW to SE Montana. CP9 is obviously a through away coarse of action and does not meet the criteria.	edward byrne	2021-10-16	Edwardjbyrne860@gmail.com	Creston	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map does not look as though it is fairly divided for the parties.	Maureen O'Mara	2021-10-16	mo1_omara@yahoo.com	Sidney	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map is in violation of Federal Election Law because it has no tribal nations in the new western seat. Furthermore, the eastern seat is a double digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party and a Democratic power house district in the western one. Moreover, this map only has one district representing the Canadian border.	Emma Moerman	2021-10-15	moeemm02@gmail.com	bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	-This map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. -This map creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. It is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest.	Tom Finkle	2021-10-15	jabsog002@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map does not include tribal nations in one of the districts and does not share the Canadian border. It does not pass on compact and contiguous or commonality of interest parameters. It appears to create two super districts which, again, will be decided by the primaries.	David Ingram, MD	2021-10-15	dli1957@yahoo.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is obviously a violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest as well as only allowing one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map.	Julie L Lauritzen	2021-10-15	jllauritzen@hotmail.com	Whitefish	MT

CP9	Dislike	This map actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat, which is a violation of Federal Election Law. This map should be disqualified from consideration.	Melisa Schelvan	2021-10-15	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP9	Dislike	As I go back and relook at this map, I overlooked the splitting up of Missoula County. My mistake. I don't see the need to do that.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Opinion	I could swear i have seen this map before,OH YEAH, CP2 and CP6. Yet another pathetic attempt to GERRYMANDER a democrat stronghold district out of less than 1/4 of MT.'s land area. And YET ANOTHER disenfranchising lopsided dump of Republicans into YET ANOTHER "everywhere else" district. Do you democrats think we should all be grateful you only split 1 county to do it?	Perry Helt	2021-10-15	ghelt.1@netzero.com	Columbus	MT.
CP9	Like	This map obviously makes sense. You can't get any better than an even split in population. Again who said you have to have more than one seat bordering Canada? This is probably the least gerrymandered map out there. These districts should go by population, not land size. This meets all the criteria available and it does not split any counties.	Clinton Nagel	2021-10-15	clint_nagel@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Like	This map would be my second choice because it keeps Cascade County in the west and allows the Tribes to remain together with the exception of the Little Shell. Wye County has the demographics of Lake County and would fit well in District 1.	Sharon S Patton-Griffin	2021-10-15	pattongriffin@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
CP9	Like	This map is equal in population and creates a somewhat competitive district.	Alyson Roberts	2021-10-15	alyson.roberts86@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	Joe Phillips	2021-10-15	3683698@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP9	Dislike	This is not even or compact and doesn't follow the geographic features. or the economic interests of the state or the historical boundaries. Please reject.	A	2021-10-15	wbsouplbjebhzduhoo@adfskj.com	Billings	MT

		it has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double-digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€						
CP9	Dislike		Anne Boychuck	2021-10-15	Aboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	Montana
		I dislike this map because it has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double-digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€						
CP9	Dislike		Dan Boychuck	2021-10-15	dboychuck@me.com	Bigfork	MT
CP9	Dislike	Where are the tribal lands in this choice?	Belle Demeny	2021-10-15	we2rjohnnbelle@yahoo.com	Columbus	MT

CP9	Like	I support this map as the populations are equal in population (as practicable) and it creates one district that is competitive. Montanans deserve to have a competitive choice when electing our representatives.	Jake Dolan	2021-10-15	jakedolan1@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map is ridiculous!	Wendy Williams	2021-10-15	tawewilliams@mt.net	Helena	MT
CP9	Dislike	it has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double-digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same powerhouse groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat.	Lindsey Mishler	2021-10-15	lnmishler@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
CP9	Dislike	During the 2021 legislative session, the Montana legislature passed HB506 which addressed how the two Congressional districts SHALL be divided and was signed into LAW by Governor Gianforte on 5/14/21. Why do we have laws if politicians do NOT follow them? This map is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The length width criteria of the two districts is very unequal. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and this map should be the first to be REJECTED at the next Redistricting meeting.	Connie Dale	2021-10-15	ConstanceDale@yahoo.com	Bigfork, MT	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map is political gerrymandering at its worst. Dreadful.	Kevin Conners	2021-10-15	kcconners@gmail.com	Lewistown	MT
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has all of the failures of CP-2. It is in violation of Federal Election Law because it has no tribal nations in the new western seat. It creates a Democrat super seat with the same power house groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. It is violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest and allows only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map has nothing in common with this map.	Deborah M Wilson	2021-10-15	dmwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP9	Dislike	it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada.	Stefanie Hanson	2021-10-15	shanson@beckmansrealestatemt.com	Kalispell	MT

CP9	Dislike	Obvious Gerrymandering.	Donald Hancock	2021-10-15	freenoise@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map is obviously just wrong. One district bordering all of Canada is unfair. It doesnâ€™t resemble the historical map in the least.	Deborah Woodahl	2021-10-15	debbiew12020@aol.com	Missoula	MT
CP9	Dislike	It is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	Al Wilson	2021-10-15	acwilson@acwei.com	Kila	MT
CP9	Like	One good thing about this map is that both districts arenâ€™t completely dominated by one party. Even so, this map suffers from lack of competitiveness. It has the benefit of keeping most of the urban areas in a single district. However, Montanaâ€™s Tribal community does not support this map. The Tribal communities are already ignored by republicans and will continue to be ignored by the republican who wins the 2nd District. I support this map but it ranks 4th or 5th on my list.	Debra McNeill	2021-10-15	mtnerd@gmail.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP9	Dislike	1.Division by natural boundaries. FAIL 2.Division by population. Pass 3.Division by exterior border with Canada. FAIL 4.Division by county representation. Pass 5.Division by Indian population. FAIL 6.Division by Urban/Rural population. Scale 1-5(best) 2 7.Division by Commerce. Fail 8.Division by Tourist Trades. Fail 9.Division by political parties. Fail	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT

CP9	Dislike	1.Division by natural boundaries. Fail. 2.Division by population. Pass. 3.Division by exterior border with Canada. Fail. 4.Division by county representation. Scale of (worst)1 to 5 (best) = 2 5.Division by Indian population. Fail 6.Division by Urban/Rural population. 1-5 = 2 7.Division by Commerce. Fail. 8.Division by Tourist Trades. Fail. 9.Division by political parties. 1-5 = 1	Liane Johnson	2021-10-14	lsjohn58@pm.me	Cut Bank	MT
CP9	Dislike	Map 9 â€“ I dislike this map because ï‚· it has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same power house groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. ï‚· it is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	chris ryan rosenstock	2021-10-14	chrisrosenstock@yahoo.com	bozeman	Montana
CP9	Dislike	This map is not consistent with the history of our districts here in Montana... does not represent our past OR fairly handle our future growth...	Jacob Balyeat	2021-10-14	jake@glidewell.pro	Bozeman	Montana

CP9	Dislike	This district is way too long on the northern boundary and does not form a good north south split and the state has only one district bordering Canada. The East - West division allows interaction with Canada and the East side of the State has issues that differs from the West side of the state like Transportation and Water.	Nancy Mehaffie	2021-10-14	hunn.mehaffie@gmail.com	Thompson Falls	Montana
CP9	Dislike	I dislike this map because it has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure. The new eastern seat is a double digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results and it creates a Democrat super seat for the new western seat. It also allows only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map.	Tonia Dyas	2021-10-14	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	This is not a viable choice for many reasons! The entire border is in one district. It creates a western seat with no Tribal representation. It is not compact nor contiguous as is required for this process.	Terry Churchill	2021-10-14	terry.churchill74@gmail.com	Clancy	Montana
CP9	Dislike	This map has all the failures of CP-2 map, including its only saving grace is the legality of its population deviation being 1 citizen (.0%). The biggest stand out failure of this map is in violation of Federal Election Law, where it actually has no tribal nations in the new western seat. This failure is compounded by the illegal competitive measure, when one realizes the new eastern seat is a double digit percentage favorite for the Republican Party, when looking at the 2016 Governor and 2018 US Senate race results. It still creates a Democrat super seat with the same power house groups of Cp-2 for the new western seat. It is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	Mike Schauf	2021-10-13	mschauf@att.net	Missoula	MT

CP9	Dislike	This is an obvious violation of compact, contiguous and communities of interest, as well as once again allowing only one seat to represent the critical interface with Canada. The historical map also has nothing in common with this map, and honestly this maps only distinction will be â€œthe most likely to be rejected first at the next Redistricting meeting.â€	Natalie Adams	2021-10-13	natalieadams1219@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Like	This map seems to best balance keeping counties and geographical regions in general whole while grouping urban areas with similar concerns and needs. Making the districts competitive goes beyond which party is currently in the majority. For a long time, rural parts of Montana have been clear that they do not want cities to dictate their way of life. It is now fair to say that cities should also not have their way of life dictated by rural areas. This map accomplishes that in the most compact way possible without gerrymandering.	Steven Allison-Bunnell	2021-10-13	docswab@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Like	This map is compact, has a good split of population, has all Native Nations in one district, which seems better to represent them. One of two best choices.	Suzanne Hendrich	2021-10-13	suzhendric@gmail.com	Missoula	Montana
CP9	Like	This map seems to be the best out of the nine proposals. The population is split evenly, Native American reservations are in a single district, and this map seems to be devoid of any noticeable gerrymandering. One of the issues with this map is the divide in Missoula County, but this is negligible compared to the benefits that this map proposes. I urge the Commission to pick this map over the other eight, as Proposal 9 will serve the wants and needs of Montana voters the best.	Grayson Bonilla	2021-10-13	gluke8445@gmail.com	HELENA	MT
CP9	Opinion	Here's a map that incorporates the western portion of Missoula county with the exception of the portion with the CSKT Reservation. The deviation is 0.72%. Modified CP9 Link: https://districtr.org/plan/63074	John Wright	2021-10-13	jwright68@hotmail.com	Westby, MT	MT

CP9	Like	I urge the Commission to select Map CP 9 for the final redistricting for the next 10 years. Map CP 9 comes closest to following what the framers of our constitution intended for voting rights and it meets the commissionâ€™s criteria. Map CP 9 allows Tribal interests to be in the same district. Map CP 9 keeps the medical and economic as well as the bedroom communities together where their interests lie. This map does not cherry pick the constitution or demonstrate Gerrymandering as many of the other maps do. Anyone who is elected in Montana will be conscious and diligent about concerns with the northern border and would not have to be elected from the bordering counties. The voting divisions must be based on population not on geographic size. Since you commissioners are charged with protecting one of Montananâ€™s most precious freedoms the right to vote and to have every vote count. Please donâ€™t allow our state to slide further away from a democracy toward a dictatorship. Map CP 9 protects those of us who value patriotism and freedom especially the right to vote and have votes count. Please select this map as it is the best option which has been presented.	Sue Beland	2021-10-12	csbeland@yahoo.com	Livingston	MT
CP9	Like	Like the compactness, though I'm not too fond of the Missoula County split except for keeping the reservation intact. It keeps Park and Gallatin together and generally groups similar interests.	Charity Fechter Shirley	2021-10-12	squashflyer@3rivers.net	Ennis	mt
CP9	Like	This one seems like the best so far. Keeps similar economies together.	Christian Black	2021-10-12	blackak@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Like	This map is balanced population-wise as of the 2020 census. It is compact. It keeps the Indian Reservations together. It looks like it splits Missoula County, but keeps the Flathead Reservation in the "eastern" district. It is very important NOT to split any reservation, as those communities are already unduly marginalized. The geographic shape of the "western" district is compact. Gallatin and Park County are kept together, which many commenters have favored.	Bev Hartline	2021-10-12	beverly.hartline@gmail.com	Butte	MT

CP9	Like	This is a remarkably compact and representative map. This keeps together a majority of the native voting block, allowing for their voices to be fully represented in congress. This also creates a beneficial dichotomy by separating many rural voters from many urban voters, allowing for better representation overall. When the two districts focus one on urban and one on rural, they can better represent every member of their district. This will best take advantage of what we stand to gain with a second congressional district. congresspeople better represent the individuals of our state. This map can achieve just that. This is the best option we have, I strongly encourage we take it.	Timothy Cuddy	2021-10-11	timothycuddy814@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Like	After carefully reviewing all the maps, Map 9 best meets the criteria/goals set by the Redistricting Commission. It creates a competitive district and keeps communities of interest intact (e.g., reservations, MSU and U of M, and only a slight splitting of counties). Creating a competitive district where fair, balanced, and diverse congressional representation can occur is critical and this option best provides this opportunity.	Camille Consolvo	2021-10-09	caconsolvo@gmail.com	Great Falls	MT
CP9	Opinion	So, I do like that this keeps all the native voices in one district, helping them to have a greater voice, and out of the nine chosen, this looks to be the best, however, overall, im not a fan of this map, most of Montana's growth is happening on the I-90 corridor, primarily Bozeman, Missoula, and Billings, keeping those three in the same district just makes sense, they have the 3 largest universities as well, and they would have both the state prison and womens prison in the same district. I feel Great Falls would fit better in Dis2 and Helena, honestly could fit well with either Dis, But I would like to see a swap of Lewis and Clark, Meagher, Cascade, Jefferson and Broadwater Counties with Sweet Grass, Stillwater, and Yellowstone Counties. Going that route would still keep the native pop in tact, and it would keep our largest cities together.	James Deere	2021-10-09	jamesdeere96@yahoo.com	hardin	mt

CP9	Like	I've carefully reviewed the 9 district options and feel that map 9 is by far the best choice. It meets all four of the criteria (goals) agreed to by the commission. It makes good sense to keep Montana's two major university towns (Missoula and Bozeman) in the same congressional district. Of utmost importance is that map 9 provides for a competitive district. This is only fair in that district 2 is already heavily stacked in favor of the GOP. We need balance, equity and diversity in our congressional representation and this option provides the best opportunity for this to actually happen.	WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM	2021-10-09	BILLPOLL@3RIVERS.NET	CHOTEAU	MT
CP9	Dislike	This is not a compact choice, and difficult for representation by the District 2 representative.	Wendy Beye	2021-10-08	wbeye@bitterroot.net	Roundup	MT
CP9	Like	I urge the commission to adopt this compact and fair map and keep Missoula and Bozeman together. As an MSU student, I know a lot about the issues unique to students. Universities are an important community of interest, and we have concerns which are not on the top of the mind for voters in Eastern Montana and Billings. Additionally, this map is the only one which keeps Montana's reservations together, ensuring a stronger voice for Montana's largest minority.	Thomas Cuezze	2021-10-08	tcuezze@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	Nope, not this one!	vicky ohara	2021-10-08	vickyohara63@hotmail.com	fort benton	MT
CP9	Like	This map does not split Gallatin from Park counties, it does keep areas with similar interests in the same districts so that the eventual representative can manage those interests without becoming all things to all people.;	James A Kraenzel	2021-10-07	jkrk1973sc@gmail.com	BOZEMAN	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map fails to adequately provide for a competitive (50/50 chance of republican or democrat winning) district. Map leans toward keeping the status quo in Montana, which does not properly reflect demographic trends in the state.	Breeann Johnson	2021-10-07	shadow.runner.vii@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Dislike	This map represents a very large division between the interests of rural areas and those interests of urban areas.	John D Agnew	2021-10-07	johnrozagnew@gmail.com	Bigfork	MT
CP9	Like	The new southwestern district is compact and competitive, just what Montana needs.	Clint Whittle-Frazier	2021-10-06	clint.whittle@gmail.com	Missoula	MT