

CP	Opinion	Comment	Name	Email	City	State
CP1	Dislike	Splitting counties will cause confusion. This also have a gerrymandering feel to it. The only positive is the nice straight line.	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP1	Dislike	Splitting Gallatin County is not recommended; the entire county should go with the western district.	Lucy Morell-Gengler	IMPORTANTMAIL@BRESNAN.NET	HELENA	Montana
CP1	Dislike	I don't support this map. It splits counties, which doesn't make any sense. This map doesn't meet the competitiveness criteria.	Kenny Pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	Whitefish	mt
CP1	Dislike	I don't support this. It splits counties, which doesn't make sense. Lines should be drawn based on competitiveness, and this map does not meet that criteria.	Kenny	kzpannell@gmail.com	Whitefish	mt
CP1	Opinion	Splitting counties violates the "contiguous" rule. This map follows the general concept that I submitted on July 7. My suggestion, although never submitted on these map choices, would be to put Cascade County in the East, and Gallatin County in the West. To be sure, some college sports fans would object, but the Big sky Conference already have them in the same League anyway. In order to gain a near equal balance in populations, a number of Counties that are contiguous to their easterly or westerly neighboring counties could be shifted to the east or west. These counties would be on the "border " as shown in map .	PETER G MANGELS	mtredeye@gmail.com	Polson	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map splits the town of Ulm and all of Cascade County, and Gallatin County. It is also uncompetitive and favors one political party across the entire state. It may look clean if all you're considering is a straight line and geographic area, but in terms of splitting up Montana communities, this map is a mess.	Jacob Foster	jacob.foster1848@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP1	Dislike	This map is a non-starter. Splitting up Gallatin and Cascade counties does not serve the interests of those communities, nor the citizens of the state. Next...	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Like	This east/west configuration seems to be a solid way of dividing the state.	Mark R. Smith	mark@aspen-island-ranch.com	Lavina	MT
CP1	Like	If we have to split the state this seems to make the most sense, but I agree with the comment that having the representatives at large and not divide the state makes more sense.	Robert Shearer	bobanene@gmail.com	Roundup	MT
CP1	Dislike	Splitting Gallatin County makes a division in southwestern Montana's communities that further polarizes our population. This is not a good idea.	Debra Hanneman	whgeol@gmail.com	Whitehall	MT
CP2	Opinion	Forgot to mention, my map also does not split any reservations whereas this one does	Tonia Dyas	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Opinion	If you like the fact that this map does not split any counties, then perhaps the commissioners should have selected this map that I sent them in early Sep. It does not split any counties, it is contiguous AND the population deviation is +/- 50 https://app.districtbuilder.org/projects/e0a5c47f-4434-47ff-b0a9-01233ba3a87b	Tonia Dyas	tonia@tbase.biz	Bozeman	MT
CP2	Like	I like county lines are boundaries.	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP2	Like	I support this map. It is population equal and competitive.	Kenny Pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	Whitefish	mt

CP2	Dislike	This map keeps all counties intact, but unfortunately splits the Flathead reservation. So not the best choice.	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Dislike	Does follow any type of standard boundary. Don't split up counties...and Gallatin twice. Doesn't make sense	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	BOZEMAN	Montana
CP3	Dislike	This map is not competitive.	Kenny Pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	Whitefish	mt
CP3	Dislike	This map is a non-starter. Splitting up Gallatin and Cascade counties does not serve the interests of those communities, nor the citizens of the state. Next...	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP4	Dislike	Splits up counties - doing so causes voter confusion.	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP4	Dislike	This map isn't fair. It includes Flathead County with eastern Montana counties in a strange, illogical way. There's nothing natural about that.	kenny pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	whitefish	mt
CP4	Opinion	While this map has benefit of offering good representation and a competitive district for reservations and many counties in Western Montana, it also splits up counties (Flathead in particular) so wouldn't be my first choice on the basis of fairness and keeping counties intact.	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP4	Dislike	This doesn't seem like a good plan. Looks pretty gerrymandered and doesn't make sense. While it balances the population overall, it doesn't make sense to have a "Tail" like that.	Jennifer Keith	jamethiel@gmail.com	Lolo	MT
CP4	Dislike	Besides not being compact, it makes no sense to put the Flathead in with Eastern MT. Tons of people arguing that Bozeman should be part of the western district, it fits way better into the Eastern side than the Flathead in every metric but political affiliation, which is not a requirement!	Seth Berglee	longbowman85@yahoo.com	Joliet	MT
CP5	Dislike	Splits up counties - doing so causes voter confusion.	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP5	Dislike	I think all of Gallatin County should go with the western district.	Lucy Morell-Gengler	IMPORTANTMAIL@BRESNAN.NET	HELENA	Montana
CP5	Dislike	This map isn't competitive. Two counties are split, which doesn't make sense. It isn't population equal, which is an important criteria.	kenny pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	whitefish	mt
CP5	Like	Should put Helena and Bozeman in the purple. It will be competitive and no single party can count on it.	Rich Janssen Jr.	jakenjen@ronan.net	Ronan	MT
CP5	Dislike	This map is a non-starter. It splits up counties and separates Gallatin County from its communities of interest in Western Montana. It doesn't set up a competitive district nor serve the interests of fair political representation for the citizens of the state. Next...	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP5	Dislike	This is a biased electoral map.	mcosta	margie@costa.net	Missoula	MT
CP6	Like	I don't like splitting up counties. However, I can see the value for attempting to keep the Flathead Reservation whole.	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP6	Like	I favor this map because it fair and competitive.	kenny Pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	whitefish	mt

CP6 Like	This map is highly competitive and equally distributes Montana's population. It represents Montana's tribes in both districts and doesn't split any towns or any of Montana's major communities. It's also compact.	Jacob Foster	jacob.foster1848@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP6 Like	This map is one of my favorites and deserves serious consideration as a keeper. It provides good balance by keeping counties and reservations largely intact, and includes the Flathead reservation with other communities of interest in a competitive district for most of Western Montana. It serves the interest of the citizens of the state.	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP6 Dislike	Almost a donut there, trying to balance too much to give a majority to a party perhaps? Doesn't seem like a good way to split up the area competitively.	Jennifer Keith	Jamethiel@gmail.com	Lolo	MT
CP6 Like	This map offers a balance in population, keeps tribal boundaries intact, and makes for two competitive districts.	DeAnna Bublitz	deannabublitz@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP7 Dislike	Splits up counties - doing so causes voter confusion. Using a creek in the Gallatin valley!? The creek will be moved with further development. Seems problematic.	Teri Lums	idlumsden@yahoo.com	Bozeman	Montana
CP7 Like	I do not favor Map # 7, although I initially liked the east/west designation. This map favors one political party. Also, it divides Bozeman.	Sharon Lamar	lamar@blackfoot.net	Condon	MT
CP7 Dislike	This map is not competitive.	kenny pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	whitefish	mt
CP7 Dislike	This map is a non-starter. Splitting up Gallatin County and Bozeman does not serve the interests of communities in that growing county, nor the sense of fair play and political representation for citizens of the state. Next...	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP8 Like	IMO the best of the three "competitive" options. Very balanced, both politically and demographically. Not perfect but nothing will be.	Nick Davis	shineheadswede@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
CP8 Like	I like this map because it makes both districts competitive without favoring either party. Finding a fair balance is difficult but critical to the spirit of democracy. This map does that the best. To not do so would leave a large number of Montanans unrepresented indefinitely and is a betrayal to that minority. Giving the minority a fair chance is not the same as favoring that party. It just evens out the playing field. To not do so would be favoring the majority party.	Carol Van Tuinen	carolvantuinen@gmail.com	Billings	Montana
CP8 Like	I support Map # 8 because it best represents the intent of the Montana Constitution. The population is evenly distributed. The tribal reservations are intact. It does not favor one political party over another.	Sharon Lamar	lamar@blackfoot.net	Condon	MT
CP8 Like	I favor this map because it's competitive and respectful.	kenny pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	whitefish	mt
CP8 Opinion	This map has a lot going for it, including keeping most of the I-90 corridor together, incorporating multiple reservations with fast growing counties in South and Western Montana, and providing for a competitive district. Unfortunately, it also splits up Yellowstone County, which I think we should on principle try to avoid for all counties in the state. So not my first choice.	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT

CP8	Dislike	As a Billings Heights resident, I object most strongly to the idea of a district boundary separating me from my neighbors. It would make much more sense to keep all of Yellowstone County in the "southern" district.	Richard Barndt	fbc.barndt@gmail.com	Billings	MT
CP8	Like	At first glance of the 9 proposals, it is the one I find most respectful of all voters. Since I live in a very gerrymandered district, having my vote count means a lot to me.	jacqueline heffern	froggy_447@hotmail.com	St Ignatius	Montana
CP8	Dislike	This map is the definition of a gerrymander. No one should in good conscience support a map clearly drawn to benefit one party (the Democrats). It is not compact (Carter and Ravalli counties in the same district?), does not follow historic splits, and is clearly partisan.	Forrest J Mandeville	forrestmandeville@gmail.com	COLUMBUS	MT
CP9	Like	This is the best way to group areas of similar interests.	Lucy Morell-Gengler	IMPORTANTMAIL@BRESNAN.NET	HELENA	Montana
CP9	Like	This is a competitive map.	kenny pannell	kzpannell@gmail.com	whitefish	mt
CP9	Like	This map deserves serious consideration, as it keeps most counties and reservations together, creates a compact and reasonably competitive district in SW Montana, and includes communities of interest in Gallatin and Park counties. However, as drawn it doesn't include any reservations in the new district. So I would urge Commissioners to consider adjusting it by removing Cascade County and including all of Missoula County, the Flathead reservation and perhaps some combination of Mineral, Lake and Sanders counties to even up the population split. That would be my first choice and I think best serve the citizens and interests of the state.	Garth Neuffer	gneuffer@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
CP9	Like	Need to add the Reservations to the purple and keep Flathead County with the blue.	Rich Janssen Jr.	jakenjen@ronan.net	Ronan	MT