SAVA and LFC Joint Meeting



Classic Values, Innovative Advice

Retirement System Overview for Legislators

Agenda



- Pension Overview
- Risk Assessment Examples
 - Historical Trends
 - Maturity Considerations
 - Stress Testing
 - Sponsor-specific risks
- Active Management of Pensions and Risk





Pension Overview



Pension Overview: Static Valuation





-CHEIRON 🧩

Dynamic Pension Reality





Legislators ≠ Board



- System Board as fiduciaries focus is the System:
 - Act "solely in interest of the participants and their beneficiaries"
 - Make decisions for "exclusive benefit" of those in plan
- Legislators focused is the State as a whole with System as a component of that for budget and proposed legislation:
 - Responsible to plan members as well, but also to taxpayers as a whole
 - "Duty of loyalty"



Frequently, System (via Board) takes many risks related to pensions, while that risk is borne by the State (as taxpayers)

Varies by System whether risks related to benefit structure are made by the System or the legislating body



But the sponsor is who bears the risk typically







Relative size of pension to the budget as a whole and other items is typically very significant



Obligations for pensions are long-term, but not bonded like many long-term obligations



In Systems with fixed contributions, positive experience can lead to pressure to increase benefits, which increases the risks to the State from the System



Pension Assessment and Management







To assess and manage, it's important to first define your objectives

Contributions

- Magnitude
- Volatility
- Predictability

Benefits

- Level
- Certainty
- Purchasing Power

Workforce

- Recruitment
- Retention
- Retirement





Risk Assessment



Risks to Pension Systems



Actual vs.

Expected

- Remainder of session will focus on ways to assess and manage pension risks
- But it is important to think about the likely causes of these "deviations from expectation" emerging
- Many, but most significant typically:
 - Investment risk
 - Contribution risk
 - Demographic risk



Risk assessment should include consideration of:

Historical trends, including gain/loss experience

Evaluation of maturity and other risk metrics

Stress testing and other projections such as scenario analysis and ruin conditions

Today's session will introduce these approaches rather than discussing in detail

Will also propose some questions for consideration in implementation





- Cheiron makes a tool available to look at items contained in the <u>https://publicplansdata.org</u> database
- This includes PERS and TRS for Montana
- Available at: <u>https://cheiron.us/cheironHome/content/</u> <u>resources/databases/public-plans-risk-</u> <u>metrics</u>
- Highlighting just a few of these today



UAL History - Gain/Loss Analysis





Unfunded Amortization History - TRS



UAL Principal Rate





Unfunded Amortization History - PERS

UAL Principal Rate



Survey Data from Public Plans Data as of 1/25/2024



March 14, 2024

3

Funding Ratio Questions



- What is the funded status of the System?
- What has been the historical trend of these values?
- Have there been events I should know about impacting that trend?
- What is the forecast for the future funded status?
- What are the most significant risks likely to impact that future forecast?



Funded Ratio Trends Example



AVA Funded Ratio



March 14, 2024

HEIRON 🧩

Member Support Ratio

- Equals the number of inactive members divided by active members
- "How many inactive members supported relative to the number of active members"
- Dependency ratio another name

CHEIRON 🧩





Member Support Ratio Questions



- What is the current support ratio?
- What has the recent trend of this ratio been?
- What does the projection of this ratio trend into the future look like?
- Are there significant risks I should know about that may impact those projections?



Support Ratio Historical Trends





March 14, 2024

Classic Values, Innovative Advice

HEIRON 🧩

Historical and Projection Example



Classic Values, Innovative Advice

HEIRON 🧩

Stress Testing Projections





March 14, 2024

R

Stress Testing Projections

Classic Values, Innovative Advice





March 14, 2024

33

Stress Testing Introduction



Appropriate stress testing will vary by System being studied, when it is being studied, who is studying it, and for what purpose

Consider System and Sponsor characteristics



Recognize limited resources, prioritize!



Identify key outcomes, risks, and concerns



Stress Testing Introduction

- Deterministic stress testing is based on one set of assumptions
- Stochastic testing expands to range of results
 - Demonstrates the likelihood 45%
 of certain events under 40%
 thousands of random return 30%
 scenarios (contribution rates, 15%
 funded status)
 - Thousands of trials

HEIRON







Stress Testing Approaches Comparison

Deterministic

- Advantages: simpler and easier to evaluate; can clearly convey directional trend of expectation
- Disadvantages: give no idea of volatility or uncertainty; little insights into risk/reward

Stochastic

- Advantages: risk/reward tradeoffs with alternatives easier to tell; communicates information on volatility
- Disadvantages: complicated; takes time to run

Questions – often a good compromise

Classic Values. Innovative Advice







Classic Values, Innovative Advice





Classic Values, Innovative Advice











Additional Stress Test Considerations





Investment returns are universally significant



Funding policy also universally significant



Behavioral and other economic drivers should be considered Mortality

Retirement and other member behavior Inflation and COLAs Unique plan features





- Frequently developing graphs answering "questions" can be superior to the basic distribution graphs for decision useful information.
 - What is the chance that the contribution increases by more than 40% in the next five years?
 - By more than 10% in a given year?
 - Funded ratio drops below 80%?
- Need to think about specific System and Sponsor in selecting the risk questions.





- What conditions would represent problems or even ruin?
 - For the Sponsor (and taxpayers)?
 - For the member's benefits?
- What variables are most significant in terms of possible drivers of deviations of experience from expectations?
 - Investment returns
 - Contributions received
 - Demographic assumptions
- Consider level and direction of questions

Question Example







Pension Risk Assessment

No universal answer

- appropriate assessment varies based on characteristics of System and State and purpose

General process can and should be followed



Recognize limited resources, prioritize!

Classic Values. Innovative Advice

Generally, start with deterministic and expand into stochastic and "questions"



Sponsor Specific Pension Risk Assessment



Risks regarding System relative to economy/budget as a whole Interactions of System with other parts of State operations (such as healthcare costs)

Interactions of conditions leading to contributions requirements and revenue Consider implications of System "fiscal stress" on tax demands or other service cuts





Active Management



Active Management



- Once you've assessed your risk and have identified the most significant risks to your plan in terms of failing to meet your objectives and having "ruin" conditions occur, the next step is to consider approaches to manage these risks
- Approaches include
 - Actuarial methodologies
 - Policy changes
 - Plan design changes



- Asset smoothing parameters
 - Length of smoothing
 - Corridors
 - Rolling vs. closed period
- If funding based on an actuarially determined basis, amortization policy parameters, particularly layers and amortization pattern and basis
- Degree of conservatism in assumptions





- Funding policy: basis for determining sponsor contributions
- Investment policy changes such as duration matching and adjusting target allocations focused on reducing downside risk
- Actuarial equivalence basis for optional payment forms





- Risk-sharing provisions such as variable employee contributions and contingent COLAs
- Adjustments to benefits to better align benefits with the established objectives for offering the plan
 - Increased efficiency in terms of meeting these objectives
 - Reflecting demographic changes
 - Better aligning with intentional risk allocation









Questions







Classic Values, Innovative Advice